

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

BEFORE THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR
REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 1650
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

DATE: SEPTEMBER 28, 2017
9 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CSR
CA CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO. : 2017-20

I N D E X

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
1. CALL TO ORDER.	4
2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.	4
3. ROLL CALL.	4
CLOSED SESSION	7
4. DISCUSSION OF PERSONNEL: APPOINTMENT PRESIDENT/CEO (GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 11126, SUBDIVISION (A); HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 125290.30(F) (3) (D)).	
ACTION ITEMS	
5. CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT OF NEW PRESIDENT, INCLUDING COMPENSATION PACKAGE.	8
6. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO INFR4: ALPHA CLINICS NETWORK EXPANSION AWARD.	18
7. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO CLIN 1: PARTNERING OPPORTUNITY FOR LATE STAGE PRECLINICAL PROJECTS AND CLIN 2: PARTNERING OPPORTUNITY FOR CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS.	69
CLOSED SESSION	NONE
8. DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OR WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION DATA, FINANCIAL INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH OR DATA, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INFORMATION RELATING TO CLIN 1: PARTNERING OPPORTUNITY FOR LATE STAGE PRECLINICAL PROJECTS, CLIN 2: PARTNERING OPPORTUNITY FOR CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS AND INFR4: ALPHA CLINICS NETWORK EXPANSION AWARD (HEALTH & SAFETY CODE 125290.30(F) (3) (B) AND (C)).	

I N D E X (CONT'D.)

OPEN SESSION

9. CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT OF NEW SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. 138

10. CONSIDERATION OF FINAL ADOPTION OF NEW INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RULES FOR NEW AWARDS. 139

11. CONSIDERATION OF CLINICAL PROGRAM BUDGET FOR 2017. DEFERRED

12. CONSIDERATION OF ACTION ITEMS FROM THE TRANSITION SUBCOMMITTEE: DEFERRED

REPORTS & DISCUSSION ITEMS

13. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT. 155

14. CLINICAL PROGRAM UPDATES. 109

15. PUBLIC COMMENT. 147

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA; SEPTEMBER 28, 2017

9 A.M.

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: GOOD MORNING, EVERYBODY.
WE WOULD LIKE TO CALL THIS MORNING'S MEETING OF THE
ICOC AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE TO
ORDER. BEAUTIFUL DAY IN OAKLAND. WE LOOK FORWARD
TO QUITE A MEATY AGENDA, LOT OF VERY INTERESTING
TOPICS. SO, MARIA, WOULD YOU LEAD US IN THE PLEDGE
OF ALLEGIANCE.

(THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MARIA, WILL YOU PLEASE
CALL THE ROLL.

MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.

DR. BLUMENTHAL: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.

DR. BOXER: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: KEN BURTIS.

DR. BURTIS: PRESENT.

MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.

DR. DEAS: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: JACK DIXON.

DR. DIXON: HERE.

MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
HOWARD FEDEROFF. JUDY GASSON.

1 DR. GASSON: HERE.
2 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
3 DR. HIGGINS: HERE.
4 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELSGAARD.
5 SHERRY LANSING. BERT LUBIN.
6 DR. LUBIN: HERE.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
8 DR. MALKAS: HERE.
9 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
10 DR. MARTIN: HERE.
11 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
12 DR. MELMED: HERE.
13 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
14 PADI LLA.
15 DR. PADI LLA: HERE.
16 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
17 MR. PANETTA: HERE.
18 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
19 DR. PRIETO: HERE.
20 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT. AL
21 ROWLETT. JEFF SHEEHY.
22 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: HERE.
23 MS. BONNEVILLE: OSWALD STEWARD.
24 DR. STEWARD: HERE.
25 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.

2 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

3 MR. TORRES: HERE.

4 MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.

5 DR. VUORI: HERE.

6 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

7 MS. WINOKUR: HERE.

8 MS. BONNEVILLE: ARE THERE ANY MEMBERS OF
9 THE PUBLIC AT THE OFFSITE LOCATIONS?

10 DR. DIXON: NONE IN SAN DIEGO.

11 DR. MELMED: NONE IN LOS ANGELES.

12 MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.

13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: BEFORE WE START HERE, A
14 FEW MICROPHONE ANNOUNCEMENTS. ONE IS THESE ARE
15 PUSH-TO-TALK MICS, NO. 1. NO. 2, WHEN YOU'RE NOT
16 TALKING, SO AS TO PRECLUDE STATIC, IF YOU COULD MAKE
17 SURE YOUR MICS ARE OFF. FOR THOSE OF YOU ON THE
18 PHONE, IF YOU COULD KEEP IT ON MUTE EXCEPT OBVIOUSLY
19 WHEN YOU ARE SPEAKING, WE WOULD APPRECIATE IT.
20 THANK YOU.

21 BEFORE WE GET TO THE AGENDA, I WANTED TO
22 INTRODUCE TO YOU, WE HAVE A NEW BOARD MEMBER HERE
23 TODAY, DAVE MARTIN, TO MY RIGHT. WE ARE DELIGHTED
24 TO HAVE HIM ABOARD, AND I'VE ASKED HIM TO SAY A FEW
25 WORDS OF INTRODUCTION.

1 DR. MARTIN: THANK YOU, J. T. SO I'M
2 TRAINED AS A PHYSICIAN AT DUKE. DID A RESIDENCY
3 THERE. WENT TO NIH WEARING A YELLOW BERET TO AVOID
4 THE VIETNAM WAR AND THEN MOVED TO UCSF WHERE OVER 13
5 YEARS BECAME A PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE AND
6 BIOCHEMISTRY AND A HOWARD HUGHES MEDICAL INSTITUTE
7 INVESTIGATOR. MOVED FROM THERE TO GENENTECH AS THE
8 FIRST HEAD OF R&D, LEFT THERE WHEN ROCHE BOUGHT IT
9 THE FIRST TIME, AND WENT TO DUPONT MERCK AS HEAD OF
10 R&D. MOVED BACK TO CHIRON, WHERE THERE ARE A NUMBER
11 OF PEOPLE THAT I KNOW FROM THERE WHO ARE HERE. THEN
12 I STARTED A COUPLE OF BIOTECH COMPANIES. THE MOST
13 RECENT ONE IS ONE CALLED AVIDBIOTICS IN SOUTH SAN
14 FRANCISCO WHERE I'M THE CHAIRMAN AND CEO.

15 WHEN I WAS AT UCSF, ALL OF MY COLLEAGUES
16 IN THE DEPARTMENT OF MEDICINE THOUGHT I WAS A REALLY
17 GOOD BIOCHEMIST, AND ALL OF MY COLLEAGUES IN THE
18 DEPARTMENT OF BIOCHEMISTRY THOUGHT I WAS A REALLY
19 GOOD PHYSICIAN. I JUST NEVER LET THEM TALK.

20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, DAVE, VERY
21 MUCH AND WELCOME TO THE BOARD.

22 WE'RE GOING TO GO ON TO THE ACTION ITEMS.
23 THE FIRST ITEM IS A CLOSED SESSION. SCOTT, WILL YOU
24 READ THE APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE?

25 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU, J. T. WE WILL BE

1 CONVENING IN CLOSED SESSION FOR A DISCUSSION OF
2 PERSONNEL PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION
3 11126(A) AS WELL AS HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION
4 125290.30(F)(3)(D).

5 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WELL SAID. THANK YOU.
6 SO --

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: FOR THOSE OF YOU ON THE
8 PHONE, AMY SENT YOU THE CLOSED SESSION DIAL-IN
9 NUMBER YESTERDAY. IF YOU NEED IT, JUST PING AMY AND
10 MYSELF AND WE WILL SEND IT TO YOU. FOR THOSE OF YOU
11 IN THE ROOM, THE CLOSED SESSION IS THAT WAY. YOU
12 CAN FOLLOW AMY. THANK YOU.

13 (CLOSED SESSION.)

14 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. WE'VE NOW
15 RECONVENED IN OPEN SESSION. WE'RE MOVING ON. FIRST
16 ACTION ITEM IS CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT OF NEW
17 PRESIDENT INCLUDING THE COMPENSATION PACKAGE. AND
18 IT IS WITH A GREAT DEAL OF ENTHUSIASM THAT I WOULD
19 LIKE TO ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPOINT DR. MARIA
20 MILLAN AS PRESIDENT AND CEO OF CIRM AT THE ANNUAL
21 SALARY OF 550,000 TO BE MADE EFFECTIVE RETROACTIVE
22 TO JULY 1ST, 2017. DO I HEAR A MOTION TO THAT
23 EFFECT?

24 MS. WINOKUR: SO MOVED.

25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY DIANE WINOKUR.

1 IS THERE A SECOND?

2 DR. JUELSGAARD: SECOND.

3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECONDED BY MANY PEOPLE,
4 BUT I THINK MR. JUELSGAARD HAD HIS HAND UP FIRST,
5 BUT THANK YOU. SO IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION BY
6 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD ON THIS TOPIC?

7 I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT WE GAVE, AS YOU
8 ALL KNOW, A GREAT DEAL OF CONSIDERATION TO THIS.
9 THIS IS ONE OF THE CENTRAL MOVES THAT WE MAKE AS A
10 BOARD, WHICH IS TO GET THE RIGHT LEADER FOR THE
11 RIGHT TIME. AND AT THE TIME THAT RANDY ANNOUNCED HE
12 WAS LEAVING CIRM, HE AND I BOTH STRONGLY RECOMMENDED
13 AS OUR OVERWHELMING CHOICE FOR THEN INTERIM CEO DR.
14 MILLAN BASED ON HER CAREER, NOT ONLY IN MANY
15 DIFFERENT ASPECTS, WHETHER IT WAS ACADEMIA, AS A
16 SURGEON, AS IN INDUSTRY OR WHATEVER, BUT JUST AS
17 IMPORTANTLY THE FACT THAT SHE HAD BEEN REALLY
18 RANDY'S RIGHT-HAND PERSON FOR AT THAT POINT ABOUT
19 FOUR AND A HALF YEARS AND WAS INTEGRALLY INVOLVED
20 WITH ALL ASPECTS OF CIRM, INCLUDING THE DEVELOPMENT
21 OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN, HEADING UP THE THERAPEUTIC
22 EFFORT, WHICH HAD THE CHARGE, VERY BOLD CHARGE, OF
23 TRYING TO GET 50 CLINICAL TRIALS FUNDED AT CIRM BY
24 THE YEAR 2020.

25 SHE HAD A SAY IN VIRTUALLY EVERY MAJOR

1 DECISION WHEN RANDY WAS HERE, A VERY, VERY IMPORTANT
2 VOICE, AND HAD DONE A GREAT JOB. AND WE FELT THAT
3 SHE WAS ABSOLUTELY THE RIGHT PERSON FOR THIS SPOT.
4 AS I JUST SAID TO THE BOARD IN CLOSED SESSION,
5 HAVING SEEN MARIA AS THE INTERIM CEO FOR THREE
6 MONTHS AND OBSERVING HER AND HOW SHE HAS OPERATED
7 WITH THAT POSITION, I AM DOUBLING DOWN AND EVEN MORE
8 ENTHUSIASTIC THAN I WAS BEFORE AND VERY GRATEFUL ON
9 BEHALF OF THE ORGANIZATION THAT WE HAVE SOMEBODY OF
10 DR. MILLAN'S CALIBER AND INTEREST, ENTHUSIASM,
11 LEADERSHIP QUALITY, I COULD GO ON AND ON.

12 SO IF ANYBODY WOULD LIKE TO SAY ANYTHING
13 ELSE. IF NOT, WE'VE HAD A LOT OF DISCUSSION ON THIS
14 TOPIC. I WOULD PROCEED TO A ROLL CALL VOTE. MARIA,
15 WILL YOU CALL THE ROLL. OR, SCOTT, WILL YOU CALL
16 THE ROLL. IS THERE ANYBODY IN THE PUBLIC WHO WOULD
17 LIKE TO SAY ANYTHING ON THIS AGENDA TOPIC? I SEE
18 SOME CLAPPING MOTIONS IN THE AUDIENCE.

19 (APPLAUSE.)

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.

21 DR. BLUMENTHAL: YES.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.

23 DR. BOXER: YES.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: KEN BURTIS.

25 DR. BURTIS: YES.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: DEBORAH DEAS.
2 DR. DEAS: YES.
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: JACK DIXON.
4 DR. DIXON: YES.
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
6 HOWARD FEDEROFF. JUDY GASSON.
7 DR. GASSON: YES.
8 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
9 DR. HIGGINS: YES.
10 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEPHEN JUELGAARD.
11 MR. JUELGAARD: YES.
12 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING. BERT
13 LUBIN.
14 DR. LUBIN: YES.
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS.
16 DR. MALKAS: YES.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
18 DR. MARTIN: YES.
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.
20 DR. MELMED: YES.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
22 PADI LLA.
23 DR. PADI LLA: YES.
24 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
25 MR. PANETTA: YES.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.

2 DR. PRIETO: AYE.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.

4 DR. QUINT: YES.

5 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. JEFF SHEEHY.

6 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: OSWALD STEWARD.

8 DR. STEWARD: YES.

9 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

11 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

12 MR. TORRES: AYE.

13 MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.

14 DR. VUORI: YES.

15 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

16 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

17 MS. BONNEVILLE: THE MOTION CARRIES.

18 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THAT'S ABOUT AS

19 UNANIMOUS AS IT'S GOING TO GET. CONGRATULATIONS,

20 MARIA.

21 (APPLAUSE.)

22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MARIA WOULD LIKE TO

23 ADDRESS THE BOARD HERE, FOR THOSE ON THE PHONE.

24 DR. MILLAN: CHAIRMAN THOMAS, MEMBERS OF

25 THE BOARD, AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, AND

1 COLLEAGUES, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THIS HONOR OF
2 SERVING AS CIRM' S NEXT PRESIDENT AND CEO. IT HAS
3 BEEN AN ABSOLUTE PLEASURE TO BE AT CIRM AND TO WATCH
4 THE PROGRESS THAT WE' VE MADE. AND OVER THE PAST
5 THREE MONTHS, SERVING AS INTERIM CEO, THAT' S EVEN
6 VALIDATED THAT NOTION EVEN MORE WATCHING FROM THAT
7 VANTAGE POINT.

8 I WANT TO THANK IN PARTICULAR MY
9 COLLEAGUES ON THE LEADERSHIP TEAM AS WELL AS THE
10 CIRM TEAM. SINCE THE TRANSITION AND DURING THE
11 INTERIM PERIOD, THIS GROUP, THIS TEAM, DID NOT MISS
12 A STEP, DID NOT SKIP A BEAT, CONTINUED TO FOCUS,
13 REMAIN 100 PERCENT COMMITTED TO THE MISSION, AND
14 CONTINUED TO DELIVER AND, IN FACT, OVERDELIVER. AND
15 I WILL JUST KIND OF SUMMARIZE FOR YOU SOME BRIEF
16 EXAMPLES OF WHAT THIS TEAM HAS DONE AND CONTINUES TO
17 DO.

18 THERE WILL BE A MORE COMPLETE REPORT ON
19 OUR PERFORMANCE AT THE DECEMBER BOARD MEETING ALONG
20 WITH A BUDGET PROPOSAL.

21 AND JUST PUTTING UP ON THE SLIDE HERE IS
22 OUR MISSION. IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN OUR MISSION, IT
23 CONTINUES TO BE OUR MISSION, AND IS MY PERSONAL
24 MISSION, TO ACCELERATE STEM CELL TREATMENTS TO
25 PATIENTS WITH UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS. WE' RE

1 THREE-QUARTERS OF THE WAY THROUGH YEAR TWO OF OUR
2 STRATEGIC PLAN, WHICH THIS BOARD APPROVED IN
3 DECEMBER 2015. AND THERE ARE -- WE FINALLY CALL
4 THESE THE BIG SIX, WHICH ARE THE SIX BOLD GOALS WE
5 PUT FORWARD. THEY'RE THE SIX BOLD GOALS THAT WE ARE
6 TARGETING, BUT ALSO MEASURING, AND WE HAVE METRICS
7 ATTACHED TO ALL OF THESE.

8 AND SUFFICE IT TO SAY THAT WE'RE ON TRACK,
9 ON TARGET TO MEET THESE GOALS AS BOLD AS THEY ARE.
10 AND IN PARTICULAR, THEY JUST RELATE TO ACCELERATING
11 THE DEVELOPMENT OF TREATMENTS TO PATIENTS EITHER
12 THROUGH CREATION AND LAUNCHING OF CRITICAL
13 INFRASTRUCTURE, ENACTING AND REFINING REGULATORY
14 PATHWAYS IN ORDER TO FACILITATE THE SAFE TESTING AND
15 ADVANCEMENTS OF THESE TREATMENTS TO PATIENTS, AS
16 WELL AS SUPPORTING, IDENTIFYING, AND HELPING SHAPE
17 PROMISING TECHNOLOGIES THAT WOULD BE APPLIED TO A
18 VARIETY OF DEBILITATING AND FATAL DISEASES AND
19 CONDITIONS, AND CONTINUED PARTNERSHIP WITH INDUSTRY
20 BECAUSE INDUSTRY DOES NEED TO BE ACTIVELY INVOLVED
21 IN TAKING THIS TO COMMERCIALIZATION AND MAKING IT
22 WIDELY AVAILABLE TO ALL PATIENTS.

23 IN LAUNCHING THE STRATEGIC PLAN, WE
24 REVAMPED MANY THINGS, HOW WE DID BUSINESS, MADE
25 THINGS MORE PREDICTABLE, OUR FUNDING PROCESS.

1 EVERYTHING THAT WE DID AT THE AGENCY WAS DELIBERATE,
2 MEASURED, AND PREDICTABLE AND TRANSPARENT. AND
3 BECAUSE OF THIS, SOME OF YOU HAVE SEEN THIS BEFORE,
4 WE HAVE CONTINUED TO SEE THAT THE OPERATIONAL
5 EXCELLENCE AND EFFICIENCIES IN TERMS OF NUMBERS OF
6 REVIEWS THAT ARE CONDUCTED PER YEAR, DOING IT IN A
7 COST-EFFECTIVE FASHION, AND DECREASING THE TIME TO
8 CONTRACTING, AND INCREASING THE NUMBER THAT'S COMING
9 INTO OUR PORTFOLIO. THESE HAVE ALL SHOWED TRENDS IN
10 A POSITIVE WAY, AND THIS HAS ENABLED US TO MARKEDLY
11 GROW THE PORTFOLIO.

12 JUST AS AN EXAMPLE OF THIS, FOR OUR
13 CLINICAL PROGRAMS, FOR EXAMPLE, WHEN ONE LOOKS AT
14 THE ACTIVITY IN TERMS OF CLINICAL APPLICATIONS
15 COMING IN, THE TREND INCREASED OVER EVEN THE PAST
16 THREE YEARS, AND THE 2017 NUMBER IS JUST AN
17 ANNUALIZED NUMBER. WE EXPECT IT MAY BE EVEN LARGER
18 THAN THAT.

19 ON THE RIGHT THE SLIDE JUST SPEAKS TO THE
20 QUALITY OF THE PROGRAMS COMING IN. JUST TO REMIND
21 EVERYBODY, AND YOU WILL HEAR ABOUT THIS AGAIN LATER
22 WHEN DR. SAMBRANO PRESENTS THE CLINICAL PROGRAM
23 RECOMMENDATIONS, A TIER I FUNDING REPRESENTS
24 PROJECTS THAT OUR GRANTS WORKING GROUP FIND
25 MERITORIOUS AND RECOMMEND TO OUR BOARD FOR FUNDING,

1 AND THE PERCENT OF APPLICATIONS RECEIVING THIS TIER
2 I SCORE HAS CONTINUED TO INCREASE. THIS IS NOT BY
3 ACCIDENT. THERE HAS BEEN ACTIVE ENGAGEMENT AND
4 INVOLVEMENT BY THE CIRM TEAM IN ORDER TO SHAPE THESE
5 PROGRAMS EVEN IN THE PLANNING STAGES PRIOR TO COMING
6 IN AND THEN DURING IMPLEMENTATION WITH OUR CLINICAL
7 ADVISORY PANEL. IT'S IMPROVED THE PROGRESS OF THESE
8 PROJECTS AND MEETING THEIR MILESTONES. AND THERE'S
9 CONTINUED INCREASE AND CONSISTENT INDUSTRY
10 INVOLVEMENT. SO WE'RE PLEASED FOR THAT.

11 SO WITH ACTIVE CIRM ENGAGEMENT, THERE'S
12 BEEN AN INCREASE IN PROGRESSION EVENTS OVER THE PAST
13 THREE YEARS, MEANING PROJECTS GOING FROM THE EARLY
14 STAGE RESEARCH TO GOING TO THE TRANSLATIONAL STAGE
15 TO GOING TO CLINICAL TESTING. WE'VE COUNTED ABOUT
16 37 PROGRESSION EVENTS, WHICH IS MARKEDLY INCREASED
17 FROM 27 THAT WE COULD THINK OF WAY BEFORE THE
18 STRATEGIC PLAN AND THE NEW SYSTEMS WERE PUT IN
19 PLACE. REDUCED THE TIME TO IND. WE NOW HAVE
20 SEVERAL PROGRAMS THAT HAVE OBTAINED AN IND WITH A
21 CLINICAL 1 AWARD WITHIN 18 MONTHS. WE ASKED FOR
22 THAT AND THEY DELIVERED ON IT. AND THIS HAS
23 RESULTED IN THE GROWTH AND NUMBER OF HIGH QUALITY
24 CLINICAL PROGRAMS.

25 SO OUR GOAL IN THE STRATEGIC PLAN, AS YOU

1 RECALL, IS 50 NEW TRIALS BY 2020. PRIOR TO
2 LAUNCHING THE STRATEGIC PLAN, CIRM IN A LITTLE BIT
3 OVER TEN YEARS HAD AMASSED 17 CLINICAL TRIALS. IN
4 JUST LESS THAN TWO YEARS OF LAUNCHING THE STRATEGIC
5 PLAN, WE DOUBLED THE PORTFOLIO. AFTER TODAY'S
6 MEETING, IT WILL EXCEED THAT AND BY THE END OF THE
7 YEAR AS WELL. SO THE BOLD GOAL IS NEARLY
8 QUADRUPLING OUR PORTFOLIO IN THE SPAN OF FIVE YEARS.

9 AND I WON'T GO INTO THIS BECAUSE DR. TALIB
10 WILL PRESENT OUR CLINICAL PORTFOLIO WITH A FOCUS ON
11 A PARTICULAR AREA AS WE DO EVERY QUARTER. AND WHAT
12 THIS TRANSLATES INTO IS CONTINUED CLINICAL TRIAL
13 ACTIVITY AS WE CAN TRACK WITH PATIENT ENROLLMENT,
14 SUBJECT ENROLLMENT, INTO THE TRIALS. EVERY SINGLE
15 POINT THAT GOES INTO THIS REPRESENTS A COUPLE OF
16 THINGS. ONE IS ACTIVE PARTNERSHIP WITH THE PATIENTS
17 WHO ARE INVOLVED IN THESE EARLY STAGE TRIALS AS WELL
18 AS A LOT OF WORK THAT WENT INTO GETTING THESE
19 PROGRAMS TO THE STAGE WHERE THEY CAN BE TESTED IN
20 THE CLINICS.

21 I'LL JUST END BY REMINDING EVERYBODY OF
22 OUR BIG BUCKET. WE CALL IT THE BIG BUCKET, WE CALL
23 THE RESEARCH FUND AT CIRM, THE AMOUNT OF MONEY
24 THAT'S AVAILABLE TO FUND THE GRANTS. CALL IT BIG
25 BUCKET VERSUS LITTLE BUCKET, WHICH IS ADMINISTRATIVE

1 FUND. WE HAVE APPROXIMATELY 414 MILLION REMAINING
2 UNCOMMITTED AS OF TODAY. AND THE TOTAL COMMITTED
3 RESEARCH DOLLARS IS \$2.34 BILLION SINCE THE
4 INITIATIVE WAS LAUNCHED.

5 SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, DR. MILLAN.

7 (APPLAUSE.)

8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. MOVE ON TO THE
9 NEXT TOPIC, CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED
10 IN RESPONSE TO THE INFRASTRUCTURE 4 OR ALPHA CLINIC
11 NETWORK EXPANSION AWARDS. AT THIS POINT I'D LIKE TO
12 TURN THE MICROPHONE OVER TO SUPERVISOR SHEEHY.

13 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN
14 THOMAS. AND SO NOW I BELIEVE WE'RE SITTING AS THE
15 APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE.

16 AND, DR. SAMBRANO, I BELIEVE YOU HAVE SOME
17 INTRODUCTORY SLIDES, AND THEN I THINK -- WHAT IS THE
18 PROCESS? YOU'LL DO SOME SLIDES, AND THEN WE'LL HEAR
19 FROM THE APPLICANTS?

20 DR. SAMBRANO: SO WHAT WE WILL DO IS I'LL
21 GO THROUGH AN OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAM, THE REVIEW
22 PROCESS. I'LL INTRODUCE EACH OF THE APPLICANTS.
23 THEY WERE INSTRUCTED THEY HAVE FIVE MINUTES IN TERMS
24 OF A PRESENTATION. IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS FOR THEM,
25 YOU CAN CERTAINLY ENGAGE THEM. WE WILL GO THROUGH

1 ALL THREE, AND THEN WE TURN IT BACK TO YOU.

2 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO AFTER THEY PRESENT,
3 WE'LL TAKE QUESTIONS FROM THEM?

4 DR. SAMBRANO: YES.

5 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: WE'LL ASK QUESTIONS OF
6 THEM.

7 MR. TOCHER: JEFF, IF I COULD JUST REMIND
8 THE FOLKS ON THE BOARD THAT FOR THOSE MEMBERS WHO
9 HAVE A CONFLICT WITH ANY OF THE INSTITUTIONS THAT
10 ARE HERE TODAY FOR FUNDING, YOU WILL NOT BE ABLE TO
11 PARTICIPATE IN THIS DISCUSSION UNTIL THE APPLICATION
12 THAT YOU HAVE CONFLICT WITH IS DISPOSED OF.

13 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO REALLY -- LET'S
14 JUST BE CLEAR. IF YOU HAVE A CONFLICT WITH ANY OF
15 THE APPLICANTS, YOU CANNOT HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH
16 ANY OF THE APPLICANTS.

17 MR. TOCHER: THAT'S CORRECT.

18 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO IT'S BLANKET.
19 SINCE WE WON'T BE TAKING VOTES ON THEM UNTIL AFTER
20 WE'VE HEARD THEM AND HAD A DISCUSSION, THEN IT
21 REALLY IS ONLY IN THE CONSIDERATION OF THE
22 APPLICATIONS AFTER WE --

23 MR. TOCHER: HAVE DISPOSED OF --

24 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THE ONE WITH WHICH
25 SOMEONE HAS A CONFLICT.

1 MR. TOCHER: THAT'S CORRECT.

2 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: GREAT. THANK YOU.

3 DR. SAMBRANO: GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE.

4 THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'M GOING TO PRESENT AN
5 OVERVIEW OF THE ALPHA STEM CELL CLINICS PROGRAM.

6 SO ONE OF THE CHALLENGES THAT WE HAVE AT
7 CIRM IN ALIGNING IT WITH OUR MISSION IS TO
8 ACCELERATE STEM CELL TREATMENTS. AND IT IS A
9 CHALLENGE IN THAT IND-ENABLING STUDIES TO GET TO THE
10 CLINIC ARE DISPROPORTIONATELY MUCH LONGER FOR CELL
11 THERAPY THAN IT IS FOR NONCELLULAR TREATMENTS. SO
12 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE TRY TO DO IS BUILD
13 INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS THAT CAN HELP PUSH AND/OR
14 PULL IN ANY WAY ANY OF THESE PROJECTS THROUGH THAT
15 STAGE AND ALLOW THEM TO GET TO THE CLINIC FASTER.

16 AS YOU KNOW, WE HAVE SEVERAL PROGRAMS
17 ALREADY IN PLACE. SO THERE WAS THE TRANSLATING
18 CENTER, AN ACCELERATING CENTER THAT YOU'VE
19 CONSIDERED BEFORE. THOSE HAVE ACTUALLY NOW MERGED
20 INTO WHAT IS NOW CALLED THE STEM CELL CENTER. SO
21 THEIR RESPONSIBILITY IS INCLUDING REGULATORY
22 SUBMISSIONS, TRIAL MANAGEMENT, PROCESS DEVELOPMENT,
23 DOING TOX STUDIES AND SO ON FOR AND WITH APPLICANTS
24 OR GRANTEES.

25 WE ALSO HAVE ESTABLISHED THE ALPHA CLINICS

1 NETWORK, WHICH I WILL TALK A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT.
2 THE ALPHA STEM CELL CLINICS WAS LAUNCHED IN DECEMBER
3 OF 2014. AND THREE SITES FROM THAT INITIAL PROGRAM
4 BECAME ACTIVE IN 2015. SO THE THREE SITES THAT WERE
5 ESTABLISHED WERE AT THE CITY OF HOPE, UCSD, AND A
6 CONSORTIUM BETWEEN UCLA AND UCI.

7 THE FUNCTIONS OF ANY OF THESE ALPHA
8 CLINICS, THEY HAVE CERTAIN CORE FUNCTIONS THAT THEY
9 HAVE. ALL OF THESE ARE PROPORTIONAL TO THE NEEDS OF
10 THE CLINICAL TRIAL THAT COMES TO THEM; BUT, IN
11 GENERAL, THEY ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTRACTING AND
12 NEGOTIATING CLINICAL TRIAL AGREEMENTS TO THEIR SITE,
13 ASSISTING WITH IRB SUBMISSIONS AND APPROVAL, PATIENT
14 RECRUITMENT SCREENING, ENROLLMENT, CLINICAL TRIAL
15 MONITORING, PATIENT COORDINATION SCHEDULING, AND
16 DATA MANAGEMENT.

17 SO OUR GOAL FOR THIS NEW PROGRAM WAS TO
18 EXPAND THAT NETWORK. WE FEEL IT HAS BEEN SUCCESSFUL
19 THUS FAR AND WANTED TO ADD UP TO TWO ADDITIONAL
20 SITES TO THIS NETWORK. IN ADDITION TO THAT, WE
21 WANTED TO INCLUDE A NEW PHYSICIAN TRAINING
22 COMPONENT. THAT'S A PART OF THOSE NEW ALPHA CLINIC
23 SITES. AND THEN ALSO TO BRING ANYTHING THAT WOULD
24 ENHANCE THE VALUE OF THE NETWORK OVERALL. SO THIS
25 COULD BE GREATER GEOGRAPHIC REACH BY BRINGING ALPHA

1 CLINICS INTO THE REGION WHERE THEY'RE OTHERWISE NOT
2 AVAILABLE, OR TO BRING IN NEW AREAS OF EXPERTISE.
3 WE WANTED THEM ALL TO CREATE A SUSTAINABLE PROGRAM
4 SO THAT, ONCE CIRM HAS COMPLETED THEIR FUNDING OF
5 THESE PROGRAMS, THAT THEY ARE ABLE TO EXIST AND MOVE
6 FORWARD WITH THESE CORE ACTIVITIES. AND WE WOULD
7 PROVIDE UP TO EIGHT MILLION FOR FOUR YEARS FOR EACH
8 OF THE GRANTEES.

9 LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE REVIEW PROCESS. SO
10 AS WE HAVE DONE WITH OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAMS,
11 THE REVIEW OF THESE IS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT. WE
12 HAVE FOUR BASIC -- IT WASN'T REALLY THAT OMINOUS
13 WHEN WE DID THE REVIEW. WE HAD FOUR MAJOR
14 COMPONENTS TO THE REVIEW. THE FIRST WAS THE GRANTS
15 WORKING GROUP PANEL HAS A DISCUSSION INITIALLY WHEN
16 THEY COME TOGETHER ABOUT THE APPLICATION FOR ABOUT
17 20 TO 25 MINUTES. AND ONE OF THE UNIQUE FEATURES IS
18 THAT WE BRING THE APPLICANT TO THE PANEL SO THAT
19 THEY CAN HAVE A FACE TO FACE. SO THE APPLICANTS DO
20 A PITCH, A PRESENTATION, ABOUT THE VALUE OF THEIR
21 PROPOSED ALPHA CLINICS. WE GIVE THEM ABOUT FIVE
22 MINUTES, AND THEN THERE'S A DIRECT Q AND A OF THE
23 APPLICANT WITH THE GWG. SO THE GWG ASKS CLARIFYING
24 QUESTIONS OR WHATEVER THEY NEED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY
25 ASSESS THESE APPLICATIONS. THEN THEY HAVE A WRAP-UP

1 DISCUSSION AND THEY SCORE. SO WE DID THIS WITH ALL
2 OF THE APPLICATIONS THAT CAME THROUGH THE PROGRAM.

3 THE CRITERIA OR KEY QUESTIONS THAT THEY
4 USED IN ORDER TO ASSESS THESE PROPOSALS WERE THESE
5 THREE BROAD QUESTIONS. WILL THE PROPOSED ALPHA
6 CLINIC ACCELERATE THE COMPLETION OF STEM CELL
7 CLINICAL TRIALS AND ENHANCE THE VALUE OF THE NETWORK
8 SUCH THAT IT IS ONE THAT IS SUSTAINABLE? HAS THE
9 APPLICANT DEVELOPED AN APPROPRIATE PLAN DESIGNED TO
10 SUCCESSFULLY ESTABLISH AND OPERATIONALIZE THE ALPHA
11 CLINIC? AND IS THE PROPOSAL OVERALL FEASIBLE? CAN
12 THEY DO NOT ONLY THE CORE ACTIVITIES THAT WE ARE
13 REQUIRING OF THEM, BUT ALSO THOSE THAT THEY ARE
14 BRINGING FORWARD TO ENHANCE THE VALUE OF THAT ALPHA
15 CLINIC?

16 THE SCORING SYSTEM THAT WAS USED IN THIS
17 REVIEW WAS A SCORE -- THE SYSTEM OF 1, 2, OR 3,
18 WHERE A SCORE OF 1 MEANS IT HAS EXCEPTIONAL MERIT
19 AND, FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF THE GWG, THAT THIS IS
20 SOMETHING THAT THEY WOULD RECOMMEND FOR FUNDING. A
21 SCORE OF 2 MEANS IT NEEDS IMPROVEMENT, COULD BE
22 RESUBMITTED. THERE WERE NONE THAT RECEIVED THE
23 SCORE, SO IT DOESN'T APPLY. AND A SCORE OF 3, THAT
24 IT'S SUFFICIENTLY FLAWED THAT IT DOES NOT WARRANT
25 FUNDING.

1 ALL RIGHT. THE GUIDANCE THAT WE GAVE TO
2 GWG REVIEWERS AS THEY ENGAGED IN THIS REVIEW WAS
3 THAT WE WERE LOOKING IDEALLY TO EXPAND THE PROGRAM
4 BY TWO SITES. WE TOLD THEM THAT THEY'RE NOT
5 REQUIRED TO SELECT EITHER A SINGLE OR TWO WINNING
6 APPLICATIONS. IF THEY FELT THAT NONE OF THE
7 APPLICATIONS THAT WERE CONSIDERED WERE DESERVING OF
8 A TIER I SCORE, THEY DIDN'T HAVE TO SCORE IT IN THAT
9 TIER. AND THEN BASED ON THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS, THE
10 GOVERNING BOARD WILL DETERMINE WHICH APPLICANTS
11 RECEIVE AN AWARD.

12 AND THEN A REMINDER, JUST ESPECIALLY IF
13 YOU HAVEN'T BEEN TO A BOARD MEETING BEFORE. USUALLY
14 AT THE END OF EACH REVIEW, REGARDLESS OF THE REVIEW
15 TYPE, WE HAVE A VOTE BY THE PANEL THAT INCLUDES ALL
16 MEMBERS, THE SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS, PATIENT ADVOCATE
17 MEMBERS, AND THEIR ASSESSMENT OF WHETHER THE REVIEW
18 PROCESS AND THE REVIEW ITSELF WAS CONDUCTED IN AN
19 APPROPRIATE MANNER. SO IN THIS REVIEW, THE VOTE WAS
20 UNANIMOUS IN FAVOR OF BOTH STATEMENTS BY THE PANEL.

21 SO AT THIS TIME I'M GOING TO JUST
22 INTRODUCE THE FIRST APPLICANT THAT WILL PRESENT.
23 THE TITLE OF THEIR APPLICATION IS "THE ALPHA CLINIC,
24 A PARTNER IN THE ADVANCEMENT OF CELL THERAPY
25 RESEARCH." THIS APPLICATION RECEIVED A 1, AND THERE

1 WERE SEVEN MEMBERS WHO SCORED IT IN THE TOP TIER.
2 THERE WERE FOUR MEMBERS WHO GAVE IT A SCORE OF 2 AND
3 TWO MEMBERS THAT GAVE IT A SCORE OF 3.

4 SO DR. TIM HENRY IS GOING TO BE PRESENTING
5 FOR THIS APPLICATION.

6 DR. HENRY: THANK YOU. AND
7 CONGRATULATIONS, DR. MILLAN. GOOD MORNING. I'M TIM
8 HENRY. I'M THE CHIEF OF CARDIOLOGY AT CEDARS AND
9 THE PROPOSED DIRECTOR FOR THE CEDARS ALPHA CLINIC.
10 WE ARE EXTREMELY EXCITED TO BE PART OF THE CIRM
11 ALPHA CLINIC NETWORK. I STRONGLY BELIEVE IN THIS
12 CONCEPT AND HAVE BEEN ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN OUR
13 SUCCESSFUL REGENERATIVE MEDICINE CLINIC FOR MORE
14 THAN TEN YEARS. SO I KNOW PERSONALLY HOW THIS CAN
15 WORK.

16 THIS IS OUR PROPOSED NETWORK AS WE PRINT.
17 WHAT I WANT TO DO IS WE APPRECIATED THE COMMITTEE
18 RECOGNIZED THE STRENGTHS OF OUR ALPHA CLINIC
19 APPLICATION, WHICH I'D LIKE TO SUMMARIZE. AN
20 ACADEMIC MEDICAL CENTER WITH A VERY LARGE CLINICAL
21 VOLUME; AN ESTABLISHED AND SUCCESSFUL REGENERATIVE
22 MEDICINE CLINIC ALREADY THAT COLLABORATES ALL
23 ACROSS, NOT ONLY THE STATE, BUT THE NATION; AN
24 EXTENSIVE PROVEN EXPERIENCE IN OVER 40
25 CARDIOVASCULAR CELL THERAPY TRIALS, WHICH WE THINK

1 IS A VERY IMPORTANT DISEASE PROCESS, WITH OVER 500
2 PATIENTS ENROLLED, 11 DIFFERENT CELL TYPES, AND
3 SEVEN DIFFERENT METHODS OF DELIVERY; EXTENSIVE
4 NEUROSCIENCE EXPERIENCE IN ALS, DUCHENNE'S MUSCULAR
5 DYSTROPHY, AND STROKE, WHICH WE ALSO BELIEVE EXPANDS
6 THE DISEASE TARGET FOR THE NETWORK.

7 IT'S IMPORTANT TO KNOW TO BRING YOU UP TO
8 DATE THAT OUR TWO LEAD CLINICAL TRIALS, BOTH CIRM
9 SPONSORED, ONE IN ALS AND ONE IN PULMONARY
10 HYPERTENSION, ARE BOTH ACTIVELY ENROLLING, AND
11 THEY'RE PART OF A ROBUST PIPELINE OF 20 ONGOING AND
12 PLANNED CLINICAL TRIALS.

13 WE HAVE A PROVEN TRACK RECORD IN CELL
14 THERAPY TRIAL LEADERSHIP, TRIAL DESIGN, STEERING
15 COMMITTEE, NATIONAL PI AND DSMB, WHICH SHOWS OUR
16 COLLABORATIVE NATURE OF WORKING WITH OTHER
17 INSTITUTIONS. WE HAVE AN ESTABLISHED TRAINING
18 PROGRAM FOR CELL THERAPY RESEARCH. IN FACT, WE HAVE
19 AN NIH TRAINING GRANT THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE TO THE
20 CIRM NETWORK TO TRAIN RESEARCH NURSES AS WELL AS
21 CARDIOLOGY FELLOWS.

22 WE HAVE AN ESTABLISHED EXPERIENCE IN
23 SATELLITE NETWORK MANAGEMENT AND COLLABORATION AS
24 PART OF THE NIH CELL THERAPY NETWORK AND MULTIPLE
25 CENTER CLINICAL TRIALS.

1 WE ALSO APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO
2 REVIEW THE REVIEWER RECOMMENDATIONS AND TO ADDRESS
3 WHICH WE FELT WERE MINOR CONCERNS. THE ONE IS TO
4 ADD ONE TO TWO FELLOWS BEING TRAINED. WE'D BE
5 ABSOLUTELY DELIGHTED TO DO THIS, CAN EASILY
6 ACCOMPLISH THIS. AND, IN FACT, OUR GOAL WOULD BE
7 THEN TO HAVE TWO TO FOUR APPLICANTS PER YEAR, TWO IN
8 CARDIOLOGY, ONE IN NEURO, AND ONE IN ONCOLOGY,
9 ASSUMING THAT WE HAD WELL-QUALIFIED APPLICANTS, BUT
10 WE HAVE MORE THAN ENOUGH BANDWIDTH TO ACCOMPLISH
11 THIS. AND THEY'RE ALREADY DOING EXTENSIVE TRAINING
12 AT CEDARS, AS YOU KNOW.

13 THE SECOND QUESTION WAS HOW WOULD THE
14 CEDARS ALPHA CLINIC MANAGE OR HANDLE COMPETING
15 INTERESTS BETWEEN RESEARCHERS AT CEDARS AND THEIR
16 COMPETITION? SO WE HAVE SUCH A LARGE PORTFOLIO NOW.
17 THIS IS AN ONGOING ISSUE ALL THE TIME. AS PART OF
18 OUR STRUCTURE, ANY COMPETING INTEREST, IF THERE EVER
19 WAS A CONFLICT, AND TO THE TIME I'VE BEEN AT CEDARS,
20 THERE NEVER HAS BEEN A CONFLICT, WE WOULD ACTUALLY
21 BE ADJUDICATED BY A COMMITTEE OF THREE UNINVOLVED
22 DEPARTMENT CHAIRS THAT ARE APPOINTED BY THE DEAN.
23 SO WE WOULD HAVE A STRUCTURE IN PLACE FOR THAT.

24 AND THEN THE LAST QUESTION WAS THE
25 APPLICANT'S SUSTAINABILITY PLAN SHOULD INCLUDE

1 SUPPORT FOR STEM CELL CLINICAL TRIALS OF POTENTIAL
2 COMPETITORS TO ITS INTERNAL PIPELINE. WE FELT THIS
3 IS VERY IMPORTANT TO EMPHASIZE THIS. WHILE
4 CERTAINLY WE'VE HAD A GOOD, STRONG INTERNAL
5 PIPELINE, WHICH WE ARE PROUD OF, I'D LIKE TO POINT
6 OUT THAT LESS THAN 20 PERCENT OF OUR ENROLLMENT IN
7 CLINICAL TRIALS HAS BEEN IN THE INTERNAL PIPELINE.
8 IN FACT, I'VE BEEN NATIONAL PI FOR AT LEAST SEVEN
9 TRIALS THAT ARE NOT CONNECTED TO CEDARS AT ALL.

10 SO WE BELIEVE THIS IS A STRENGTH OF OURS,
11 THAT WE ACTUALLY HAVE WIDE PARTICIPATION ACROSS MANY
12 THINGS, AS DO OUR NEUROSCIENCE.

13 SO WITH THAT, I WILL SUMMARIZE AND SAY
14 AGAIN WE WOULD BE ABSOLUTELY DELIGHTED TO BE PART OF
15 THE ALPHA CLINIC NETWORK. WE THINK WE BRING A LOT
16 TO THE TABLE, AND CERTAINLY BEING PART OF THE
17 NETWORK WOULD HELP US ACCOMPLISH OUR MISSION. SO
18 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

19 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO I HAD SOME
20 QUESTIONS, BUT I ALSO -- I THINK OTHER BOARD MEMBERS
21 MAY HAVE QUESTIONS. WE DID NOT GET -- THIS IS
22 10103. WHAT WAS THE VOTE AT THE -- WE DIDN'T HAVE A
23 DISCUSSION OF WHAT THE VOTE WAS AT THE -- THERE IT
24 IS. THAT'S WHAT I WAS ASKING TO BE SHOWN SO WE
25 COULD ALL GET THE BENEFIT OF THAT.

1 WELL, I HATE TO JUST JUMP IN IF OTHER
2 PEOPLE HAVE QUESTIONS. STEVE, I'M SURE YOU HAVE
3 QUESTIONS. DOES ANYBODY HAVE QUESTIONS? MAYBE
4 STEVE AND THEN CHAIRMAN THOMAS.

5 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO I'M GOING TO FOCUS ON
6 TWO COMMENTS THAT WERE IN THE PRESENTATION THAT WAS
7 PROVIDED TO US PRIOR TO THIS MEETING. THE FIRST,
8 AND YOU'VE PROBABLY SEEN THESE, THE FIRST IS THE
9 PROPOSED CLINIC WILL EXPAND EXPERTISE -- THE
10 PROPOSED CLINIC HAS THE POTENTIAL TO EXPAND
11 GEOGRAPHIC REACH INTO NORTHERN CALIFORNIA BY
12 ENGAGING IN SATELLITE SITES; HOWEVER, REVIEWERS
13 QUESTIONED THE FEASIBILITY OF CONDUCTING STEM CELL
14 TRIALS AT PROPOSED SATELLITE SITES.

15 BY WAY OF BACKGROUND, IT'S MY
16 UNDERSTANDING, AT LEAST, THAT IN THIS REQUEST FOR
17 PROPOSALS FOR ADDITIONAL ALPHA CLINIC STEM CELL
18 CLINICS, WE WERE REALLY LOOKING AT GEOGRAPHICALLY
19 THE NORTHERN PART OF THE STATE AS OPPOSED TO THE
20 SOUTHERN PART OF THE STATE, WHICH IS ALREADY WELL
21 SERVED BY THE THREE EXISTING ONES. SO THE QUESTION
22 IS HOW DO YOU INTEND TO CONDUCT STEM CELL TRIALS IN
23 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA TO KIND OF BOIL IT DOWN?

24 DR. HENRY: YES. SO ONE OF THE THINGS,
25 AND WE HAD IN THE APPLICATION, SO I'VE HAD EXTENSIVE

1 EXPERIENCE OVER THE LAST TEN YEARS DEVELOPING
2 SATELLITE NETWORKS, INCLUDING AS PART OF THE NIH
3 CELL THERAPY NETWORK. AND WE HAVE TWO PLANS. THE
4 INITIAL PLANS WERE TO BE TWO. NO. 1, OUR CARDIOLOGY
5 FELLOWSHIP ALREADY SHARES FELLOWSHIP WITH KAISER.
6 AND SO WE HAVE THREE SITES IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA
7 KAISER WITH FORMER FELLOWS WHO ARE ACTUALLY NOT ONLY
8 WILLING, BUT EXCITED TO BE PART OF A NETWORK AT
9 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA KAISER THAT WOULD ALSO INCLUDE
10 KAISER SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AND THROUGHOUT THE STATE.
11 AND THEN THE SECOND ORGANIZATION IS SUTTER HEALTH
12 AND CALPACIFIC, AND IN PARTICULAR DICK SHAW, WHO'S
13 EXCITED TO BE PART OF IT. SO ALL OF THOSE ARE
14 ALREADY THERE, AND THIS WOULD START FROM A
15 CARDIOVASCULAR STANDPOINT AND WOULD HAVE IN PLACE TO
16 BE BOTH WITH HEART FAILURE, WITH REFRACTURING
17 ANGINA, AND WITH PERIPHERAL ARTERIAL DISEASE AND
18 WOULD BE READY TO PARTICIPATE LIKE ALMOST
19 IMMEDIATELY.

20 DR. JUELSGAARD: THANK YOU. SO THE SECOND
21 QUESTION, AND YOU SORT OF ADDRESSED THIS IN YOUR
22 PRESENTATION, BUT I'M GOING TO COME BACK AROUND TO
23 IT BECAUSE I WANT TO UNDERSTAND HOW, AND YOU WON'T
24 HAVE THE ANSWER TO QUESTION, BUT IT'S AROUND
25 COMPETING CLINICAL TRIALS AND STEM CELL THERAPY. SO

1 THE COMMENT WAS FROM THE REVIEWERS, "IT WAS UNCLEAR
2 WHETHER THE APPLICANT WOULD SUPPORT CLINICAL TRIALS
3 FOR POTENTIAL COMPETITORS OF ITS OWN STEM CELL
4 PLATFORM TECHNOLOGY." SO WE HAVE THREE EXISTING
5 STEM CELL CENTERS OR ALPHA CLINIC CENTERS. WE HAVE
6 TWO OTHERS THAT WILL BE COMING TO THE DAIS HERE
7 BEFORE LONG. AND I'VE NEVER HEARD OF THIS ISSUE
8 BEFORE, AND IT MAY EXIST, I'M JUST NOT AWARE OF IT,
9 OF COMPETING STEM CELL PLATFORMS AND HAVING TO WORRY
10 ABOUT THEM.

11 SO YOU JUST INDICATED YOU WILL HAVE A
12 SYSTEM WHERE THREE PEOPLE WILL REVIEW AND MAKE A
13 DECISION. BUT THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS THAT THAT
14 ACTUALLY HAS TO OCCUR, THAT THAT BECOMES A HURDLE TO
15 GET OVER. SO WHY ISN'T IT THAT YOU'RE JUST ABLE TO
16 WAIVE THAT ALTOGETHER AND BE ABLE TO TAKE ALL
17 COMERS?

18 DR. HENRY: I DIDN'T QUITE UNDERSTAND
19 WHERE THIS CAME FROM BECAUSE IF YOU LOOK AT THE
20 PATIENTS THAT WE'VE ENROLLED AND THE EXTENT OF WHAT
21 WE'VE ENROLLED IN TRIALS, WE'VE ENROLLED IN TRIALS
22 FOR MULTIPLE DIFFERENT CELLS, DIFFERENT DELIVERY.
23 AND I'M ASSUMING THAT THEY'RE INTERNAL FROM THE
24 CAPRICOR, WHICH HAVE BEEN CIRM SPONSORED, BUT
25 THERE'S BEEN NO PREFERENCE SHOWN FOR THAT. IN FACT,

1 I HAVE BEEN NATIONAL PI OF THREE OTHER TRIALS IN THE
2 LAST YEAR THAT HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED THAT HAVE NOTHING
3 TO DO WITH ANY INTERNAL CANDIDATES.

4 SO WE WORK EVERY DAY WITH REALLY A VERY
5 WIDE SPECTRUM OF COMPANIES. WE HAVE MORE THAN 20
6 TRIALS THAT WE'RE WORKING ON. SO I WASN'T REALLY
7 SURE, AND IT CERTAINLY HAS NEVER BEEN A PROBLEM, AND
8 IT WON'T BE A PROBLEM. AND I PERSONALLY HAVE NO
9 CONNECTION, BY THE WAY, WITH THE INTERNAL
10 DEVELOPMENT CANDIDATES.

11 DR. JUELSGAARD: THANK YOU.

12 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: CHAIRMAN THOMAS.

13 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WHEN THE ALPHA CLINIC
14 NETWORK WAS FIRST ESTABLISHED, IT REALLY IS A
15 ONE-OF-A-KIND NETWORK ANYWHERE. AND THE PURPOSE OF
16 IT WAS TO ATTRACT BEST-IN-CLASS CLINICAL TRIALS, NOT
17 JUST THAT WERE CIRM-FUNDED, BUT THAT ARE FUNDED
18 ELSEWHERE AND DID NOT NEED TO BE ORIGINATING IN
19 CALIFORNIA. THEY COULD HAVE A CLINICAL TRIAL
20 COMPONENT OUTSIDE THE STATE, ETC. SO WE ACTIVELY
21 ENCOURAGED RECRUITMENT OF TRIALS TO PUT IN THERE.

22 SO MY QUESTION TO YOU IS HOW DO YOU GUYS
23 CURRENTLY RECRUIT CLINICAL TRIALS TO BE DONE AT
24 CEDARS? AND HOW WOULD GETTING THE ALPHA CLINIC
25 DESIGNATION AFFECT THAT RECRUITMENT EFFORT IF AT

1 ALL?

2 DR. HENRY: SO WE HAVE SUCH AN ACTIVE
3 PROGRAM ALREADY THAT THE ACTUAL SPONSORS, AND NIH,
4 ETC., FREQUENTLY APPROACH US. AND WE HAVE SUCH A
5 LARGE CLINICAL VOLUME SO THAT CARDIOVASCULAR, FOR
6 EXAMPLE, WE HAVE MULTIPLE HEART FAILURE TRIALS,
7 REFRACTURING ANGINA TRIALS, PERIPHERAL ARTERIAL
8 DISEASE, STROKE, ALL ALREADY MULTIPLE TRIALS IN
9 THOSE SETS. AND SO USUALLY THEY APPROACH US. AND,
10 IN FACT, I'VE BEEN INVOLVED WITH THE DESIGN OF MOST
11 OF THESE TRIALS THAT ARE MULTICENTER AND BOTH
12 NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL.

13 BUT THIS WILL ONLY ENHANCE IT, AND THE
14 NEUROSCIENCE HAS GROWN TREMENDOUSLY. SO SINCE WE
15 ACTUALLY PUT THE APPLICATION IN, THEY'VE ACTUALLY
16 NOT ONLY GOTTEN THEIR OWN LEAD TRIAL UNDER WAY WITH
17 ALREADY FIVE TREATED PATIENTS, SO ENROLLING AHEAD OF
18 SCHEDULE, BUT THEY ACTUALLY WERE JUST ASKED TO BE
19 PART OF A MULTICENTER TRIAL WHERE ONLY FIVE SITES
20 WERE CHOSEN. SO IT'S A COMBINATION OF WE KNOW WHAT
21 TRIALS ARE GOING ON.

22 SO LAST WEEK, FOR EXAMPLE, I WAS IN
23 HOUSTON AT A NATIONAL STEM CELL MEETING WHERE REALLY
24 I'M AWARE OF ALL TRIALS THAT ARE GOING ON IN
25 CARDIOVASCULAR AS WELL AS OTHER AREAS. SO AN ACTIVE

1 RECRUITING BOTH WAYS.

2 BEING PART OF THE ALPHA CLINIC NETWORK, I
3 THINK, ALLOWS US TO NOT ONLY RECRUIT MORE TRIALS,
4 BUT TO SHARE THOSE WITH ALL THE OTHER SITES IN THE
5 TRIAL AND BE PART OF IT. SO I THINK IT ENHANCES IT.
6 I THINK GOING FROM THREE TO FIVE CENTERS IS A
7 TREMENDOUS IDEA, AND WE'LL REALLY BE ABLE TO
8 INCREASE OUR PORTFOLIO DRAMATICALLY.

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU.

10 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: OS.

11 DR. STEWARD: SO GOING BACK TO THE
12 GEOGRAPHIC REACH QUESTION, THIS WAS SOMETHING OF A
13 TOPIC OF DISCUSSION, AS YOU KNOW. CAN YOU TALK A
14 LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT HOW YOUR PROGRAM WOULD REALLY
15 GO BEYOND THE AREAS THAT ARE CURRENTLY SERVED? WHEN
16 WE TALK ABOUT SOUTHERN AND NORTHERN, WE OFTEN THINK
17 ABOUT THE GREATER LOS ANGELES, SAN DIEGO, AND ALL OF
18 THAT, AND THEN NORTHERN IS LIKE 50, 60 MILES FROM
19 HERE, BUT THERE'S A WHOLE BIG SWATH OF CALIFORNIA
20 THAT GOES BEYOND THIS 60-MILE WIDE STRIP THAT RUNS
21 ALONG THE COAST.

22 WHERE ARE YOU THINKING ABOUT DEVELOPING
23 THESE OTHER SITES? WHAT PARTS OF CALIFORNIA WOULD
24 BE SERVED?

25 AND THEN SECOND, COULD YOU JUST UNPACK A

1 LITTLE BIT HOW YOU SEE THESE SITES REALLY BEING
2 DEVELOPED AT A DISTANCE FROM THE MOTHER SHIP, SO TO
3 SPEAK?

4 DR. HENRY: THERE'S TWO PARTS TO THAT
5 QUESTION. FIRST OF ALL, FROM CEDARS WE ALREADY HAVE
6 AN EXTREMELY LARGE GEOGRAPHIC FOOTPRINT. SO WE GET
7 A LOT OF PATIENTS FROM PALM SPRINGS, SORT OF EAST OF
8 US REGION, ALL THE WAY OUT TO PHOENIX AND TO LAS
9 VEGAS. A LOT OF PATIENTS ALREADY COME TO US FROM
10 SAN DIEGO AND THAT SOUTHERN REGION AND ALL THE WAY
11 UP TO BAKERSFIELD. SO WE ARE ALREADY SEEING
12 PATIENTS THAT COME FROM ALL OVER THE AREA. I THINK,
13 AS YOU KNOW, REALLY THE LARGEST PATIENT VOLUME OF
14 ANY INSTITUTION IN CALIFORNIA.

15 WHAT WE PROPOSE SPECIFICALLY TO ADDRESS
16 THIS, AND WE TARGET IT WITH SPECIFIC FORMER FELLOWS
17 AND WITH PEOPLE THAT WE'VE COLLABORATED WITH BEFORE,
18 WOULD BE SITES IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA WHERE THE
19 PATIENTS COULD BE SEEN HERE, BE PART OF OUR CLINICAL
20 TRIALS. WE WOULD HAVE TELEMEDICINE THAT WE CAN
21 ACTUALLY DO IF THERE'S ANY QUESTIONS AND CERTAINLY
22 BE INVOLVED. BUT THAT WOULD REALLY ADDRESS THE
23 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA SPECIFICALLY.

24 SO WE THINK OUR NETWORK, AND IN PARTICULAR
25 FOR CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AND NEUROMUSCULAR

1 DISEASE, COULD COVER REALLY ALMOST THE WHOLE STATE.
2 AND WE'VE DONE THIS BEFORE. I DID THIS BEFORE WITH
3 THE STATE OF MINNESOTA WHERE WE COVERED THE ENTIRE
4 STATE WITH A SATELLITE NETWORK, AND IT WAS EXTREMELY
5 SUCCESSFUL.

6 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO I ALSO -- THIS
7 RELATES TO A LOT OF THINGS. FIRST OF ALL, ONE OF
8 THE THINGS THAT WAS NOTED IN THE REVIEW WAS
9 QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR SCALE-UP OF GMP MANUFACTURING.
10 AND I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR MORE ABOUT WHAT YOUR
11 MANUFACTURING FACILITY IS LIKE.

12 AND ONE OF THE OTHER QUESTIONS IS THAT
13 THIS SEEMS TO BE OVERLY RELIANT ON CAPRICOR; AND IF
14 CAPRICOR DOESN'T MAKE IT, WHAT HAPPENS?

15 AND FINALLY, IN TERMS OF MANUFACTURING, I
16 DO HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT YOUR PLAN IS FOR
17 MANUFACTURING WITH SATELLITE SITES. HOW WILL YOU
18 ACTUALLY GET -- WILL YOU BRING THE PATIENTS TO YOU?
19 CERTAINLY SATELLITE SITES ARE FINE FOR RECRUITMENT,
20 BUT I'M NOT AWARE -- IS THERE A PLAN TO HAVE
21 MANUFACTURING FACILITIES IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA SO
22 THAT YOU CAN ACTUALLY -- CERTAIN TYPES OF CELLS YOU
23 CAN PACK AND SHIP, BUT LOTS OF TYPES OF CELLS YOU
24 ACTUALLY NEED TO BE ABLE TO PROCESS THEM CLOSER TO
25 THE PATIENTS.

1 DR. HENRY: AGAIN, THIS IS A COMPLEX
2 QUESTION. AND I WOULD REALLY EMPHASIZE AGAIN THAT
3 CAPRICOR IS AN EXTREMELY SMALL PART OF WHAT WE DO.
4 IN FACT, THE ONLY CLINICAL TRIAL RIGHT NOW THAT
5 WE'RE DOING WITH CAPRICOR IS THE PULMONARY
6 HYPERTENSION TRIAL, AND THAT'S PART OF CIRM. AND
7 ALL OF THE CELLS THAT WE GET FOR ALL THESE OTHER 20
8 TRIALS ARE NOT INVOLVED IN THEM AT ALL.

9 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO THEY'RE
10 MANUFACTURED AT CEDARS-SINAI?

11 DR. HENRY: THEY'RE MANUFACTURED REALLY
12 WHERE THE SPONSOR IS. SO A LOT OF THEM ARE -- A LOT
13 OF THESE -- AND EVERY CELL IS DIFFERENT. SO A LOT
14 OF THEM WE DO THE BONE MARROW LOCALLY AND DO THE
15 LOCAL PROCESSING LOCALLY, WHICH YOU DON'T NEED A
16 MANUFACTURING SITE FOR. SO WHEN YOU DO APHERESIS
17 AND TAKE OUT CD 34 POSITIVE CELLS, THEY'RE READY TO
18 GO AND READY TO GO BACK IN THE PATIENT.

19 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: EVERYBODY DOES THAT,
20 BUT DO YOU GENETICALLY MODIFY THEM AT YOUR SITE?

21 DR. HENRY: SO WHAT WE'RE BUILDING -- WHEN
22 YOU LOOK AT THE WHOLE SPECTRUM OF WHAT WE HAVE,
23 THERE'S A WIDE SPECTRUM OF CELLS. THESE CELLS THAT
24 WE MANUFACTURE AT OUR MANUFACTURING FACILITY ARE
25 ACTUALLY BEING DESIGNED FOR OTHER NEW MODIFIED CELLS

1 WITH CLIVE, AND SHOULD BE AVAILABLE, THAT
2 MANUFACTURING SITE SHOULD BE AVAILABLE WITHIN THE
3 NEXT YEAR. SO THAT'S CURRENTLY UNDER WAY FOR THAT
4 SPECIFIC TYPE.

5 BUT WHEN YOU DO IT, IT'S LIKE EVERY TRIAL
6 THAT YOU DO, AND MOST TRIALS ONCE THEY GET TO A
7 PHASE 2 OR A PHASE 3 TRIAL WHICH WE'RE PARTICIPATING
8 IN, THAT'S DEALT WITH WITH THE FDA. AND THERE'S A
9 PLAN OF HOW THOSE CELLS ARE DELIVERED FOR ALL THE
10 SITES ALL ACROSS THE UNITED STATES. AND, YES, THE
11 SATELLITES CAN BE ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THAT.

12 FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE NIH CELL THERAPY
13 NETWORK, WE HAVE SEVEN SITES, AND THE CELLS ARE
14 DELIVERED TO ALL SEVEN SITES.

15 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO YOU'RE NOT PLANNING
16 ON MANUFACTURING, MODIFYING CELLS ON-SITE? YOU
17 DON'T HAVE THAT CAPACITY FOR THE SINGLE ALS TRIAL
18 WITH THE ADULT STEM CELLS?

19 DR. HENRY: ABSOLUTELY. WE ARE DEVELOPING
20 A MANUFACTURING FOR THAT, BUT THAT WILL BE A SELECT
21 PART OF OUR PORTFOLIO. TO HAVE A GOOD PORTFOLIO,
22 YOU REALLY NEED TO HAVE A WIDE SPECTRUM OF WHAT YOU
23 ARE DOING, AND THAT WILL BE A SELECT ONE. FOR
24 INSTANCE, THE ALS, THAT'S WHAT THEY'RE DOING WITH
25 THE CURRENT ALS TRIAL.

1 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: I'M FAMILIAR WITH ALL
2 YOUR TRIALS. I'VE SAT IN REVIEWS OF THE TRIALS THAT
3 YOU'VE HAD COME THROUGH CIRM, AND I KNOW THE
4 DIFFERENT CELL TYPES. OKAY.

5 ARE THERE OTHER QUESTIONS?

6 MS. WINOKUR: I KNOW ONE DOESN'T THINK OF
7 L.A. AS BEING RURAL, BUT THERE ARE RURAL PARTS OF
8 YOUR AREA. AND I WONDER IF YOU ARE DOING ANYTHING
9 TO REACH OUT TO THAT.

10 DR. HENRY: SO WE HAVE A VERY ACTIVE PLAN
11 BECAUSE TRANSPORTATION TO CEDARS IS A BIG ISSUE.
12 AND SO WE HAVE A VERY ACTIVE PLAN FOR PATIENTS WHO
13 COME TO OUR CURRENT REGENERATIVE MEDICINE CLINIC.
14 AND WE HAVE PEOPLE THAT COME FROM THREE, FOUR HOURS
15 AWAY FREQUENTLY, AND WE HELP THEM WITH
16 TRANSPORTATION FOR THOSE THAT NEED IT.

17 AND WE HAVE -- AGAIN, IT'S A VERY WIDE
18 FOOTPRINT. SO FROM PHOENIX AND LAS VEGAS AND SAN
19 DIEGO WE GET ALL THE TIME. EVERY WEEK IN MY CLINIC
20 I SEE PEOPLE FROM A WIDE SPECTRUM.

21 MS. WINOKUR: DO YOU DO ANYTHING PROACTIVE
22 ABOUT SERVING THOSE AREAS?

23 DR. HENRY: YES. WHEN SOMEONE APPROACHES
24 US FROM THAT FAR AWAY, WHAT WE FIRST DO IS WE GET
25 ALL THE RECORDS IN, REVIEW ALL THE RECORDS, AND

1 DECIDE AHEAD OF TIME, LIKE GIVE THEM YOU' D BE
2 ELIGIBLE FOR THESE THREE OR FOUR OPTIONS. AND THEN
3 WHEN WE MAKE A PLAN FOR THEM TO COME, IN THAT
4 PROCESS WE ASK DO YOU NEED HELP WITH TRANSPORTATION
5 OR NOT. SO IT' S INCLUDED IN PART OF THE PROCESS.

6 MS. WINOKUR: I' M THINKING OF ISOLATED
7 AREAS LIKE BISHOP, FOR EXAMPLE.

8 DR. HENRY: WE SEE PEOPLE FROM ALL THOSE
9 AREAS ALREADY, AND IT' S PART OF OUR PLAN, WHEN THEY
10 CALL US, TO BUILD IN SO THAT THEY CAN EASILY GET TO
11 CEDARS AND BACK. AND IT' S A VERY IMPORTANT ISSUE.

12 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: OTHER QUESTIONS? SO
13 MAYBE WE CAN HEAR FROM THE NEXT APPLICANT, GIL.

14 DR. SAMBRANO: SO THE NEXT APPLICATION IS
15 10314. THIS IS "ALPHA STEM CELL CLINIC FOR NORTHERN
16 AND CENTRAL CALIFORNIA" IS ITS TITLE. THIS ONE
17 RECEIVED A UNANIMOUS SCORE OF 1 FROM 13 MEMBERS.
18 AND DR. JAN NOLTA WILL BE PRESENTING FOR THIS GROUP.

19 DR. NOLTA: THANK YOU. I' M DELIGHTED TO
20 BE HERE. WE ACTUALLY HAVE THREE PRESENTERS, BUT
21 WE' RE GOING TO GO VERY QUICKLY. OUR PI, DR. MEHRDAD
22 ABEDI, IS HERE, AND ALSO JIM KOVACH. AND WE HAVE
23 EIGHT WONDERFUL PEOPLE FROM MY TEAM TO HELP ANSWER
24 QUESTIONS.

25 SO WE THANK CIRM FOR ALL THAT YOU' VE DONE

1 WITH US. WE SHARE YOUR MISSION OF BRINGING STEM
2 CELLS TO PATIENTS WHO NEED BETTER TREATMENTS AND
3 BETTER OPTIONS. WE HAVE HAD FUNDING FROM CIRM TO
4 RENOVATE OUR INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE CURES, WHICH
5 IS RIGHT NEXT TO OUR CLINICAL TRANSLATIONAL SCIENCE
6 CENTER. WE COLLABORATE WITH THEM VERY CLOSELY. WE
7 HAVE A FABULOUS GMP FACILITY. I'LL HAVE A COUPLE OF
8 SLIDES ON THAT. AND WE HAVE SEVERAL TRAINING
9 PROGRAMS, HIGH SCHOOL AND THE LOCAL STATE COLLEGE,
10 THAT WE ARE VERY PROUD OF AND VERY CLOSE TO MY HEART
11 TO TRAIN ALL OF THESE BUDDING DOCTORS AND SCIENTISTS
12 THAT ARE VERY COMMITTED TO STEM CELLS AND
13 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE.

14 WE HAVE 24 STEM CELL AND REGENERATIVE
15 MEDICINE CLINICAL TRIALS ONGOING OR RECENTLY
16 COMPLETED AND OVER 20 IN THE PIPELINE. WE ARE VERY,
17 VERY EXCITED TO HAVE THE CHANCE TO BE IN THIS ALPHA
18 CLINIC NETWORK, TO HELP RECRUIT ADDITIONAL PATIENTS
19 FOR OUR OWN TRIALS. WE'RE EXTREMELY SERVICE
20 ORIENTED. WE WANT TO HELP RECRUIT OUR NORTHERN
21 CALIFORNIA PATIENTS TO THE TRIALS THAT ARE ONGOING
22 ELSEWHERE IN CALIFORNIA AND THROUGH THE NETWORK.

23 THIS GOOD MANUFACTURING PRACTICE FACILITY
24 IS VERY WELL ESTABLISHED NOW. IT WAS FUNDED BY THE
25 CIRM MAJOR FACILITIES GRANT. SO IT'S REALLY YOUR

1 GMP FACILITY. WE RUN IT ON A FEE-FOR-SERVICE BASIS.
2 DOESN'T HAVE TO BE OUR IDEA OR OUR TRIAL. WE
3 MANUFACTURE CELL AND GENE THERAPY PRODUCTS, VECTORS,
4 IMMUNOTHERAPY FOR MANY ACADEMIC AND INDUSTRY
5 PARTNERS THROUGH A FEE-FOR-SERVICE BASIS.

6 THIS IS A SUBSET OF SOME OF THE PRODUCTS
7 THAT ARE CURRENTLY MANUFACTURED. I DON'T HAVE TIME
8 TO GO THROUGH THEM, BUT WE ARE DOING THE FULL
9 SPECTRUM OF CELL TYPES AND GENE MODIFICATION.

10 AND THIS IS JUST TO SHOW WHERE ON THE
11 SLIDE -- WE ARE LOCATED ON OUR MEDICAL CAMPUS IN
12 SACRAMENTO. THIS IS OUR INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE
13 CURES. WE PROCESS THE PRODUCTS, SEND THEM OUT TO
14 OUR LOCAL SITES WHERE THE STEM CELL CLINICAL TRIALS
15 ARE ONGOING, AND OUR PROPOSED ALPHA CLINIC WILL BE
16 UP HERE IN THE CYPRESS BUILDING WHERE OUR CTSC
17 CLINICAL SITE CURRENTLY IS. WITH THAT, I WILL TURN
18 IT TO OUR PI, DR. ABEDI.

19 DR. ABEDI: SO REALLY QUICK. SO THIS IS
20 OUR MISSION. I'M NOT GOING TO GO THROUGH THAT, BUT
21 WE HAVE A PLEDGE TO GET THIS DONE ON THE PROPOSED
22 TIMELINE AND REACHING THE FOUR ENROLLMENTS THERE.
23 THIS IS OUR GROUP. WE ARE VERY PROUD OF THAT.
24 THERE IS A VERY GOOD COLLABORATION WITH OUR GMP
25 FACILITY GOING TO ADMINISTRATIVE TEAM TO GET THE

1 TRIALS THROUGH IND AND IRB AND EVENTUALLY GETTING TO
2 CLINICAL TRIAL, OUR REGULATORY PART OF IT. AND CTSC
3 IS ARGUABLY ONE OF THE BEST IN THE COUNTRY, AND WE
4 ARE VERY PROUD OF THAT. AND COLLABORATION WITH THE
5 OTHER TEAMS WILL BE VERY INSTRUMENTAL HERE.

6 HOW WE GOING TO DO IT? WE HAVE A VERY
7 ACTIVE GMP. WE ARE PROPOSING IT'S GOING TO ACTUALLY
8 INCREASE THE CAPACITY HERE. WE ARE PROVIDING FAST
9 SERVICE FOR GETTING IND AND IRB PROTOCOLS GOING.
10 OUR INFUSION CENTER WILL BE INSTRUMENTAL HERE, AND
11 OUR TRAINING AND AS WELL AS THE TELEMEDICINE PROGRAM
12 WILL BE VERY IMPORTANT.

13 THIS IS MY WISH LIST. THIS IS SOMETHING
14 WE ALREADY HAVE IN PLACE, BUT WE JUST WANT TO MOVE
15 IT NOW. IT'S VERY UNDERUTILIZED. WE'RE GOING TO
16 USE THIS AS OUR ALPHA CLINIC. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE
17 EVERYTHING IN ONE PLACE. AGAIN, DOING THIS FOR 20
18 YEARS, DOING STEM CELL OR CELL THERAPY CLINICAL
19 TRIALS FOR 20 YEARS, WE ALWAYS HAVE BEEN STRUGGLING
20 WITH GETTING EVERYTHING DONE IN ONE PLACE, AND THIS
21 IS GOING TO GIVE US AN OPPORTUNITY FROM STORAGE
22 PLACE WITH LIQUID NITROGEN TO EQUIPMENT TO DO THE
23 INFUSIONS, MONITORING THE PATIENTS DURING INFUSIONS,
24 AND THEN THE SPECIMEN SAMPLING AND STORAGE AND ALL
25 OF THOSE.

1 WHAT WE GOING TO BRING TO ALPHA CLINIC IS
2 THE DIVERSITY MORE THAN ANYTHING ELSE. WE HAVE A
3 VERY DIVERSE POPULATION OF PATIENTS THERE, AND ALSO
4 WE HAVE A VERY DIVERSE PORTFOLIO OF STEM CELL
5 REGENERATIVE-SPECIFIC FOCUSED TRIALS THERE. AND
6 THIS IS OUR CATCHMENT AREA. THERE'S 33 COUNTIES
7 THERE, AND IT'S HUGE, BUT WE ARE ALSO REACHING
8 EVERYWHERE ELSE. OUR GMP FACILITY IS PRODUCING
9 FACTORS AND PRODUCTS FOR UC SAN DIEGO. WE'RE
10 WORKING WITH L. A. AREA, WE'RE WORKING WITH ALL THE
11 WAY DOWN TO L. A. AREA.

12 THIS IS SOMETHING, AGAIN, WE ARE VERY
13 PROUD OF. THIS IS OUR TELEHEALTH. IT'S SOMETHING
14 THAT'S ALREADY ESTABLISHED, IS ALREADY THERE. THEY
15 ARE DOING -- JUST RECENTLY THEY PUBLISHED 22,000
16 VIDEO-BASED CONSULTATIONS IN 150 CLINICS ACROSS 56
17 OUT OF THE 58 COUNTIES IN CALIFORNIA THROUGH ALL THE
18 SUBSPECIALTIES. EVERYTHING YOU CAN IMAGINE.
19 THEY'RE DOING -- ALREADY IT IS ESTABLISHED AND IS
20 WORKING. AND THEY ALREADY HAVE CLINICAL TRIALS WITH
21 ALS, WITH PSYCHIATRY DOING SOME KIND OF NONSTEM
22 CELLS, BUT WE ARE ALREADY DOING CLINICAL TRIAL WITH
23 THAT SYSTEM. SO IT'S ALREADY ESTABLISHED, IT'S
24 ALREADY WORKING, MULTIPLE PUBLICATIONS THERE.

25 AND THIS IS JUST THE FIRST EXAMPLE OF STEM

1 CELL-BASED OR CELL THERAPY-BASED CLINICAL TRIALS
2 THAT WE ARE STARTING TO DO THAT THROUGH OUR
3 TELEMEDICINE PROGRAM.

4 DR. KOVACH: I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
5 QUESTIONS ABOUT THE SUSTAINABILITY, WHICH IS AN
6 IMPORTANT PART OF THE ALPHA CLINIC AS WELL. SO THIS
7 IS FROM PERKINS & WILL WHO WE COMMISSIONED TO
8 PROVIDE US INSIGHT INTO WHAT COULD GO ON OUR NEARLY
9 30 ACRES ON OUR CAMPUS. AND THIS IS PART OF THE
10 VISION, TO ADD TWO MILLION SQUARE FEET, AND WE HOPE
11 TO HAVE MUCH OF THAT ALLOWING US TO EXPAND OUR GMP
12 TO INCREASE TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH BASE FOR
13 REGENERATIVE MEDICINE. THANKS.

14 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO DO ANY MEMBERS HAVE
15 QUESTIONS?

16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. NOLTA, I'LL ASK THE
17 SAME QUESTION OF YOU ON THE ISSUE OF RECRUITING
18 BEST-IN-CLASS TRIALS. WHAT IS YOUR STRATEGY
19 CURRENTLY? AND WHAT WOULD IT BE IF YOU WERE SO
20 DESIGNATED AS AN ALPHA CLINIC?

21 DR. NOLTA: GOOD QUESTION. WE ARE REALLY
22 BUSY. I THINK THROUGH OUR GMP FACILITY WE HAVE
23 COMPANIES AND PEOPLE INTERESTED IN CLINICAL TRIALS
24 COMING TO US, NEW MEETINGS EVERY WEEK. THAT'S OUR
25 PIPELINE FOR RECRUITMENT. WE WOULD BRING THAT TO

1 THE ALPHA CLINIC FOR CONSULTATION, SEE WHERE THEY
2 MIGHT BEST MANUFACTURE, WHERE THEY MIGHT BEST
3 RECRUIT THE PATIENTS. WE CAN HELP RECRUIT PATIENTS
4 FOR THE ONGOING ALPHA CLINIC CLINICAL TRIALS THROUGH
5 OUR TELEHEALTH. WE IMAGINE THAT IF SOMEBODY --
6 WE'VE ALREADY BEEN TALKING TO DR. ZAIA AT CITY OF
7 HOPE. OF COURSE, IF SOMEONE WAS TREATED DOWN THERE,
8 THEY COULD RETURN HOME TO NORTHERN CALIFORNIA AND BE
9 SEEN THROUGH TELEMEDICINE.

10 SO FOR THE NEW PIPELINE COMING IN, THEY'RE
11 COMING TO US KIND OF REALLY, REALLY STEADILY.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. DO YOU
13 ACTIVELY TRY TO RECRUIT, OR DO YOU WAIT MORE FOR
14 PEOPLE TO COME TO YOU? OBVIOUSLY THE GMP FACILITY
15 IS A VERY WELL-KNOWN ASSET.

16 DR. NOLTA: WE DO RECRUIT. WE GO TO THE
17 NATIONAL MEETINGS AND PUT OUT OUR FLIERS AND
18 BROCHURES AND TALK TO PEOPLE WHO MIGHT BE INTERESTED
19 IN BRINGING NEW TRIALS TO US.

20 I FORGOT TO MENTION WE HAVE 18 CORES THAT
21 OUR PROGRAM RUNS FOR IND-ENABLING STUDIES. WE HAVE
22 A LARGE ANIMAL SURGERY CORE, WE HAVE IMMUNE
23 DEFICIENT MICE, HUMANIZED MICE, VECTOR CORE, AND WE
24 ADVERTISE THOSE AS WELL. THOSE ARE RUN THROUGH
25 FEE-FOR-SERVICE. THEY'RE ALL BREAKING EVEN OR JUST

1 ABOUT TO, AND THAT'S HOW WE KEEP OUR REALLY SKILLED
2 PEOPLE IN THE PROGRAM. ALL THE GRANTS GO UP AND
3 DOWN. AND THEY WORK ON CLINICAL TRIALS. AS I
4 MENTIONED, IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE OUR PRODUCT. WE
5 JUST REALLY WANT TO HELP GET THESE CURES TO THE
6 PATIENTS.

7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU.

8 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: OTHER QUESTIONS?

9 DR. PADILLA: I'M FROM THE FRESNO AREA, SO
10 I WAS REALLY PLEASED TO SEE THE TELEMEDICINE
11 COMPONENT. WHEN I SAW YOUR OUTREACH MAP, THE REAL
12 CENTRAL VALLEY AS FAR AS FRESNO, KINGS, TULARE WAS
13 NOT LISTED. I'M CURIOUS AS TO HOW -- THERE'S SUCH A
14 NEED FOR RECRUITMENT FOR PATIENTS IN THAT AREA --
15 HOW YOU MIGHT OR MIGHT NOT BE ABLE TO REACH THAT
16 SEGMENT OF THE POPULATION.

17 DR. NOLTA: THAT'S A REALLY GOOD POINT.
18 THROUGH OUR UMBILICAL CORD BLOOD COLLECTION PROGRAM
19 THAT WE'RE RUNNING THROUGHOUT CALIFORNIA, WE DO HAVE
20 A SITE IN FRESNO. SO WE ARE TALKING TO THEM, BUT
21 MAYBE TODD STOLTZ MIGHT WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE
22 TELEHEALTH.

23 DR. STOLTZ: I'M TODD STOLTZ. I WORK IN
24 THE CENTER FOR HEALTH AND TECHNOLOGY, WHICH IS OUR
25 TELEMEDICINE PROGRAM. WE HAVE SEVERAL ACTIVE

1 RELATIONSHIPS DOWN IN THE FRESNO AREA. OUR CANCER
2 CARE NETWORK HAS A JOINT VENTURE WITH THE CANCER
3 CENTER DOWN THERE. WE HAVE SEVERAL RELATIONSHIPS
4 WITH HOSPITALS THROUGH OUR REGIONAL AFFILIATIONS
5 GROUP TO HELP FACILITATE PARTNERSHIPS.

6 SO AS THE ALPHA CLINIC STEM CELL TRIALS
7 PROGRAM GETS UNDER WAY AND STARTED GROWING, WE WOULD
8 BE ABLE TO START TO INFORM THOSE PARTNERS OF THE
9 OPPORTUNITIES THAT ARE AVAILABLE THROUGH THESE
10 TRIALS TO HELP RECRUIT PATIENTS AND RETAIN THEM IN
11 TRIALS BY KEEPING THEM IN THEIR COMMUNITY.

12 DR. PADILLA: THERE'S GREAT ONLY BECAUSE
13 THAT CENTRAL AREA SEEMS TO GET LOST IN THE MILIEU.
14 IT'S NOT NECESSARILY NORTHERN, AND IT'S NOT
15 NECESSARILY SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA.

16 DR. STOLTZ: ABSOLUTELY. WE HAVE A CANCER
17 CLINICAL TRIAL GOING ON REACHING OUT TO HISPANIC
18 POPULATIONS IN THAT COMMUNITY TO HELP RECRUIT THEM
19 INTO SOME OF THESE CANCER TRIALS, WHICH HISPANICS
20 ARE UNDERREPRESENTED IN THOSE TRIALS. IT'S A HUGE,
21 HUGE AREA OF NEED.

22 DR. PADILLA: THANK YOU.

23 DR. WUN: I'M TED WUN. I'M THE PI OF THE
24 CTSC. I'M ALSO DIVISION CHIEF FOR
25 HEMATOLOGY/ONCOLOGY AT OUR CANCER CENTER. AND I'D

1 LIKE TO SPEAK TO THAT SPECIFICALLY. WE DO HAVE
2 TARGETED OUTREACH. YOU'RE EXACTLY RIGHT. I
3 ACTUALLY WAS BORN AND RAISED IN THAT PART OF
4 CALIFORNIA. AND THERE'S A CRITICAL NEED FOR A LOT
5 OF THE THINGS THAT YOU ARE SAYING.

6 AND SO AS TODD MENTIONED, WE HAVE A CANCER
7 CARE NETWORK THAT GOES BEYOND THAT. WE HAVE
8 AFFILIATIONS AND GROWING AFFILIATIONS WITH SOME OF
9 THE COMMUNITY HOSPITALS. WE HAVE ACTUALLY A
10 SPECIFIC TRAINING PROGRAM, A MEDICAL STUDENT
11 TRAINING PROGRAM, TO PLACE PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIANS
12 IN THE CENTRAL VALLEY. SO I THINK WE RECOGNIZE
13 THAT. WE BELIEVE THAT'S PART OF OUR MISSION AS THE
14 MEDICAL SCHOOL IN THE CENTRAL VALLEY. SO I THINK
15 THIS WOULD ACTUALLY AUGMENT FURTHER THE ABILITY TO
16 PROVIDE CARE FOR THEM.

17 DR. DEAS: THIS IS A FOLLOW-UP AND MORE
18 OUTREACH ACTUALLY. I NOTICE THAT YOU HAD ON YOUR
19 SLIDE THE NATIVE AMERICAN CLINIC. WHAT HAS BEEN THE
20 YIELD OF PARTICIPATION FROM THAT AREA?

21 DR. STOLTZ: SO SPECIFICALLY WITHIN MY
22 PURVIEW, WE HAVE SPECIFICALLY OUTREACH TO THAT
23 POPULATION MAINLY IN THE NORTHERN PART OF THE
24 VALLEY. ONE OF MY RECENTLY RETIRED FACULTY HAD A
25 CANCER PROGRAM ESSENTIALLY FOCUSING ON SCREENING,

1 MAMMOGRAPHY, COLONOSCOPY SCREENING BECAUSE THERE'S A
2 LOT OF MISUNDERSTANDING OR DIFFERENT ORIENTATION TO
3 THAT. AND SO I THINK IT'S BEEN STRONGEST IN THOSE.

4 WE ALSO HAVE, SINCE SHE RETIRED, OUR
5 DISPARITIES PROGRAM WITHIN THE CANCER CENTER AS WELL
6 AS NOW THE CTSC HAS INCORPORATED PROGRAMS IN THE
7 NATIVE AMERICAN POPULATION.

8 DR. ABEDI: AS I MENTIONED, SOME OF OUR
9 TELEMEDICINE SITES ARE IN THE NATIVE AMERICAN AREAS.
10 AND THE IDEA IS BASICALLY WITH TELEMEDICINE WE ARE
11 NOT GOING TO TAKE THE CELLS OVER THERE AND GIVE THE
12 CELLS. BUT MANY OF THESE CLINICAL TRIALS, THE IDEA
13 IS THAT BASICALLY YOU GET THE PATIENTS, YOU SCREEN
14 THE PATIENTS, AND EVEN SCREENING WE ARE LOOKING AT
15 THE TELEMEDICINE IDEA AS USING THE SCREEN SO YOU
16 DON'T HAVE TO BRING ALL THE PATIENTS HERE AND HAVE
17 50-PERCENT FAILURE RATE AND SENDING BACK. EVEN FOR
18 THE PRESCREENING, WE ARE INTERESTED IN TELEMEDICINE
19 AS THAT, BUT THEN WE'RE GOING TO GET THE PATIENTS TO
20 OUR CENTER ONCE THEY ARE PRESCREENED, THE STEM CELL
21 APPLICATION, WHATEVER THAT STEM CELL APPLICATION IS
22 INTENDED TO BE DONE WILL BE DONE, AND THEN THE
23 PATIENTS CAN GO BACK. THE IDEA IS THE FOLLOW-UP.

24 MANY OF MY PATIENTS ARE COMING FROM, FOR
25 EXAMPLE, BEDFORD, OREGON. WE WANT TO PUT THEM IN

1 CLINICAL TRIALS, AND THEY SAY, "NO, I CANNOT COME
2 EVERY WEEK TO HAVE A FOLLOW-UP THERE JUST TO SEE HOW
3 I'M DOING OR DO I HAVE A RASH OR NOT." SO THAT'S
4 THE IDEA OF TELEMEDICINE, APPLYING THAT. WE CAN SIT
5 THERE IN A LOCAL PLACE AND HAVE A FOLLOW-UP, QUICK
6 FOLLOW-UP, EVERY WEEK OR EVERY TWO WEEKS. AND IF
7 THEY HAVE TO COME BACK, THEN WE WILL COME BACK.

8 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: OTHER QUESTIONS? SO,
9 DR. SAMBRANO, SHALL WE BRING ON THE NEXT APPLICANT?

10 DR. SAMBRANO: YES, ABSOLUTELY. SO THE
11 FINAL APPLICATION IS 10361 TITLED "CIRM ALPHA STEM
12 CELL CLINIC." AGAIN, THIS APPLICANT RECEIVED A
13 SCORE OF 1. THERE WERE NINE VOTES GIVING IT A SCORE
14 OF 1 AND SIX VOTES GIVING IT A SCORE OF 2. AND
15 DR. MARK WALTERS WILL BE PRESENTING FOR THIS GROUP.

16 DR. WALTERS: I'D LIKE TO THANK THE
17 COMMITTEE FOR INVITING US TO PRESENT OUR PROPOSAL.
18 I'M HERE ON BEHALF OF THE UC SAN FRANCISCO ALPHA
19 STEM CELL CLINIC PROPOSAL AS A PROJECT DIRECTOR.
20 STEERING COMMITTEE MEMBERS ARE LISTED, AND IN THE
21 ROOM TODAY MICHAEL MATTHAY, WHO IS CO-CHAIR OF THE
22 STEERING COMMITTEE, IS PRESENT, AS ARE DR. KATHLEEN
23 LIU, DR. HERMISTON, AND I'M PLEASED TO HAVE ALSO DR.
24 JENNIFER GRANDIS, WHO'S THE PI OF OUR CTSI AND ALSO
25 HAS AGREED TO CHAIR THE EXTERNAL ADVISORY BOARD FOR

1 THE UCSF ALPHA STEM CELL CLINIC.

2 A BIT OF BACKGROUND ABOUT OUR PROPOSAL.

3 UCSF IS A LARGE BIOMEDICAL AND HEALTH SCIENCE
4 EDUCATION CENTER WITH AN ANNUAL BUDGET THAT EXCEEDS
5 \$3 BILLION. CURRENTLY WE RANK FOURTH IN CIRM AWARDS
6 THAT TOTAL 142.6 MILLION AS SHOWN IN THE SLIDE.
7 TOGETHER THESE UNDERLIE A STRONG TRACK RECORD AND
8 COMMITMENT TO STEM CELL AND REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
9 RESEARCH, BUT THE PURPOSE OF THIS PROPOSAL WAS
10 REALLY TO ADDRESS GAPS IN OUR PRODUCTIVITY TO HELP
11 US LIVE UP TO EXPECTATIONS ABOUT WHAT WE CAN
12 ACHIEVE.

13 AND WHAT WE ATTEMPTED TO ADDRESS ARE
14 PROBLEMS RELATED TO ACCESS TO RESOURCES THAT CAN BE
15 OPAQUE AND TIME CONSUMING. AS CLINICAL
16 TRANSLATIONAL PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS DEVELOP THEIR
17 PROJECTS, THERE'S A TENDENCY TO DO THIS IN ISOLATED
18 SILOS, SO DUPLICATION OF EFFORT CAN BE AN ISSUE
19 RELATED TO EFFICIENCIES. AND THEN AN INTEGRATED
20 INFRASTRUCTURE FOR CELL THERAPIES IN PARTICULAR IS
21 LACKING. SO THESE ARE THE GAPS THAT WE AIM TO
22 ADDRESS IN OUR PROPOSAL.

23 THE PRINCIPAL AIMS, OVERARCHING GOALS, ARE
24 LISTED HERE. THEY'RE, I THINK, CONSISTENT WITH THE
25 GOALS OF THE ALPHA STEM CELL CLINICAL NETWORK TO

1 EXPAND CLINICAL ACTIVITY AND CELLULAR THERAPIES AT
2 UC SAN FRANCISCO, LIKE ACCELERATING THE TEMPO OF
3 PRE-AWARD PLANNING WITH TRIAL SPONSORS, CLINICAL
4 TRIAL ACTIVATION, PATIENT ACCRUAL, IDENTIFYING
5 PATIENTS WHERE THEY LIVE AND BRINGING THEM TO THE
6 CLINICAL TRIAL CENTER, AND COMPLETING THE TRIAL ON
7 TIME, EXPANDING PARTICIPATION BY UNDERSERVED
8 POPULATIONS, WHICH IS AN EMPHASIS OF OUR APPLICATION
9 IN PARTICULAR AT UCSF AND ALPHA CLINIC NETWORK, AND
10 TO ESTABLISH DISEASE TEAMS THAT PROMOTE
11 PARTICIPATION AT UCSF AND ALSO THE NETWORK TRIALS
12 MORE BROADLY.

13 SO THE ORGANIZATION -- WE DECIDED TO FOCUS
14 ON THREE CLINICAL THEMES WHERE WE HAVE PARTICULAR
15 EXPERTISE AND TRACK RECORD OF PRODUCTIVITY. THESE
16 FOCUS ON HEREDITARY, HEMATOLOGICAL, AND
17 IMMUNOLOGICAL DISORDERS, ANTI-INFLAMMATORY AND
18 IMMUNE-MODULATED CELLULAR THERAPIES, AND CELL-BASED
19 THERAPY FOR CRITICALLY ILL PATIENTS.

20 THE OTHER THING THAT'S IMPORTANT TO
21 EMPHASIZE IS THAT OUR ALPHA CLINIC OPERATIONS AT UC
22 SAN FRANCISCO WILL FOCUS ON CLINICAL SITES IN SAN
23 FRANCISCO, AT THE ZUCKERBERG SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL
24 HOSPITAL, AT MISSION BAY WHERE THERE'S A CHILDREN'S
25 HOSPITAL AND CANCER CENTER, AT THE MAIN CAMPUS AT

1 PARNASSUS IN THE CITY, AND THEN OUR CLINICAL
2 OPERATIONS AT BENI OFF CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL OAKLAND IN
3 OAKLAND.

4 AND OUR PROPOSAL FOCUSED FIRST ON
5 ACCELERATING THE INITIATION OF THE ALPHA STEM CELL
6 CLINIC AT UC SAN FRANCISCO BY USING EXISTING CTSI
7 RESOURCES THAT WOULD HELP US BEGIN AND THEN
8 TRANSITION TO INDEPENDENCE IN THE COURSE OF THE
9 FOUR-YEAR GRANT AWARD.

10 I'D LIKE TO SPEND TWO MINUTES ON THE
11 CRITIQUES THAT I THOUGHT WERE MOST IMPORTANT. THE
12 FIRST IS THAT THE CTSA IS NOT A LONG-TERM RESOURCE
13 THAT CAN BE RELIED UPON NECESSARILY FOR SUSTAINING
14 THE OPERATION. AGAIN, I'D EMPHASIZE THAT WE'RE
15 USING THIS AS A START-UP TO ACCELERATE LAUNCHING THE
16 OPERATION, BUT WE HAVE OTHER SELF-SUSTAINING IDEAS
17 TO EXTEND THE ALPHA STEM CELL CLINIC AT UCSF.

18 THE FELLOWSHIP TRAINING WAS MENTIONED AS
19 AN AREA THAT PERHAPS WASN'T WELL DEVELOPED IN THE
20 APPLICATION. I LISTED HERE THREE EXISTING
21 FELLOWSHIP TRAINING EXAMPLES AT UC SAN FRANCISCO AND
22 FOCUSED ON THE CATALYST AWARD PROGRAM IN PARTICULAR
23 BECAUSE WE WILL ADAPT THAT FOR TRAINING THAT WOULD
24 INCLUDE IND PREPARATION, CMC, AND CELLULAR DRUG
25 PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT, PROTOCOL DEVELOPMENT TRAINING

1 MODULE, AND THEN HOW TO RECRUIT PATIENTS FROM REMOTE
2 SITES.

3 WE HAVE TWO GMP'S CURRENTLY AT UCSF, A
4 LARGE ONE AT THE MISSION CENTER LOCATION, 4500
5 SQUARE FEET, AND A SMALLER ONE AT THE MISSION BAY
6 PEDIATRIC STEM CELL THERAPY LAB. AND THE QUESTION
7 WAS DO WE REALLY NEED TWO LABS. THE SCOPE OF
8 SERVICES ARE QUITE DIFFERENT AT THE TWO SITES. SO
9 WE DO JUSTIFY IT ON THAT MEANS. THE ONE AT MISSION
10 BAY FOCUSED ON A STEM CELL TRANSPLANT PROGRAM, A
11 CLINICAL PROGRAM, BUT ALSO GENE THERAPY FOR VARIOUS
12 HEREDITARY DISORDERS, AND THE ONE AT MISSION CENTER
13 FOCUSED ON T REGULATORY THERAPIES.

14 THERE WAS A QUESTION ABOUT HOW WOULD WE
15 INTEGRATE ACROSS SITES. I'VE SHOWN A PICTURE OF A
16 TELEMEDICINE CONFERENCE THAT WE HAD JUST THIS WEEK
17 ILLUSTRATING PARTICIPANTS AT THE PARNASSUS, MISSION
18 BAY, AND OAKLAND SITES. AND THEN TIPPI MACKENZIE,
19 WHO'S A MEMBER OF THE STEERING COMMITTEE, NOT HERE
20 TODAY, BUT HAS VERY NICELY LAUNCHED A PROJECT THAT
21 RELIES UPON EXPERTISE IN HEMOGLOBIN DISORDERS IN
22 OAKLAND, HER OWN IMMUNE TOLERANCE WORK AT PARNASSUS,
23 AND THEN THE FETAL SURGICAL TEAM WHERE IN UTERO
24 TRANSPLANTS FOR ALPHA THALASSEMIA MAJOR WILL BE
25 CONDUCTED AT THE MISSION BAY CAMPUS.

1 MICHAEL MATTHAY WHO'S HERE LEADING THE MCS
2 TRIALS FOR ARDS HAS PLANS TO EXPAND THOSE TRIALS FOR
3 RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME AT UCLA AND AT
4 CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL, AND WE HAVE STEERING COMMITTEE
5 EXPERTISE ACROSS THESE DISORDERS.

6 THE BUSINESS PLAN SHOULD INCLUDE CAR T
7 TRIALS, AND IN THE INTERIM MICHELLE HERMISTON, WHO'S
8 HERE, HAS ACTIVATED SEVERAL INDUSTRY-SPONSORED
9 TRIALS, AND OUR OWN COLLABORATION WITH SEATTLE WEST
10 COAST CONSORTIUM FOR CAR T THERAPY IN OAKLAND, AND
11 THEN AN EXPANDED ACCOUNTABLE CARE ORGANIZATION HAS
12 OCCURRED IN THE INTERIM CALLED CANOPY HEALTH, WHICH
13 IS NOW THE SIXTH LARGEST HEALTH SYSTEM IN THE
14 COUNTRY.

15 SO SUMMARY IS HERE. WE HAVE BROAD AND
16 UNIQUE RESOURCES. THE THEMES WOULD BE UNIQUE TO THE
17 DISORDERS THAT I'VE PRESENTED WITH EXPERIENCED
18 INVESTIGATORS. THANKS VERY MUCH.

19 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO ANY QUESTIONS?

20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: LIKE THE SAME QUESTION
21 ON RECRUITING, AND I WOULD ADD TO IT, JUST TO SORT
22 OF INFORM THE BOARD, HOW DO YOU GO ABOUT TRYING TO
23 DISTINGUISH UCSF IN GENERAL AS THE PLACE TO DO
24 CLINICAL TRIALS AS OPPOSED TO THE MANY OTHER
25 QUALIFIED PLACES THAT ARE CONDUCTING TRIALS, LET'S

1 SAY, IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA?

2 DR. WALTERS: SO I'M GOING TO ANSWER THAT
3 IN PARTICULAR WITH REGARD TO THE HEMOGLOBIN
4 DISORDERS BECAUSE THAT'S AN AREA WHERE I HAVE
5 EXPERTISE, AND WE ARE A SITE OF EXCELLENCE FOR THOSE
6 DISEASES. AND SO HAVE WORKED OUT OUTREACH TO BRING
7 IN THE PATIENTS AND THEN TO CONDUCT THE TRIALS WITH
8 TRAINED PHYSICIANS AND NURSES WHO ARE EXPERT IN THE
9 DISORDERS.

10 I THINK THE SAME CAN BE SAID OF
11 RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME AND THE TREATMENT WITH
12 MESENCHYMAL STEM CELL THERAPIES AS WELL. THESE ARE
13 UNIQUE TRIALS SPECIFIC TO CLINICAL PROBLEMS WHERE
14 THERE IS EXPERTISE AT SAN FRANCISCO, UC SAN
15 FRANCISCO.

16 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: AND GENERALLY ON THE
17 FIRST QUESTION, HOW DO YOU GO ABOUT RECRUITING
18 TRIALS? AND HOW ACTIVE ARE YOU IN GOING OUT AND
19 PURSUING THEM AS OPPOSED TO SORT OF WAITING FOR THEM
20 TO COME TO YOU?

21 DR. WALTERS: RIGHT. WELL, IT'S A BIT OF
22 DOUBLE-EDGED SWORD. SO WHAT WE FIND IS THAT WE'RE
23 APPROACHED BY MORE SPONSORS THAN WE CAN ACCOMMODATE
24 CURRENTLY WITH THE EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE. SO BY
25 EXPANDING THE INFRASTRUCTURE THROUGH THE ALPHA STEM

1 CELL CLINIC FUNDING, WE WOULD BE ABLE TO ACCOMMODATE
2 MORE OF THOSE ENCOUNTERS AND TRANSLATE THOSE INTO
3 ACTUAL CLINICAL TRIALS.

4 AS FAR AS HOW WE RECRUIT, IT HAS A LOT TO
5 DO WITH NETWORKING WITHIN THE PARTICULAR DISEASE OR
6 THE TYPE OF DISORDERS TREATED. SO GOING TO NATIONAL
7 MEETINGS, WORKING WITH INVESTIGATORS AT DIFFERENT
8 INSTITUTIONS, PARTICIPATING IN MULTICENTER SITE
9 TRIALS, AND ACTING AS LEADERSHIP IN THOSE
10 MULTICENTER NATIONAL TRIALS ALTOGETHER BRING THE
11 TRIALS TO SAN FRANCISCO.

12 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: ARE THERE OTHER
13 QUESTIONS?

14 DR. STEWARD: AGAIN, THE QUESTION OF
15 GEOGRAPHIC REACH BEYOND THE, WHATEVER, 60-MILE
16 RADIUS OF WHERE WE SIT RIGHT NOW. CAN YOU JUST
17 UNPACK THAT A LITTLE BIT MORE?

18 DR. WALTERS: SURE. SO ONE OF THE ACTIVE
19 RESEARCH AREAS FUNDED BY THE NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF
20 HEALTH IS AN IMPLEMENTATION SCIENCE PROJECT FOR
21 HEMOGLOBIN DISORDERS. SO WE'VE EMBARKED UPON A
22 LARGE PROJECT USING SOCIAL MEDIA AS A WAY TO
23 ADVERTISE CLINICAL TRIALS THAT ARE PLANNED OR UNDER
24 WAY AND ALSO SOMETHING CALLED ECHO, WHICH WAS
25 DEVELOPED IN NEW MEXICO AS A MEANS OF TELEMEDICINE

1 TO INTERACT WITH LOCAL CLINICS WHERE PATIENTS WITH A
2 SPECIFIC RARE DISORDER MIGHT BE RECEIVING THEIR
3 CARE.

4 SO THE CHALLENGE REALLY IS THAT THE
5 PERSONS WITH THE DISORDERS THAT MIGHT BE ELIGIBLE
6 FOR OUR TRIALS ARE DISPERSED THROUGHOUT THE STATE,
7 AND THE CHALLENGE THAT WE'RE ATTACKING THROUGH THAT
8 PARTICULAR PROJECT IS HOW TO REACH THEM IN
9 INNOVATIVE WAYS. SO GOOGLE AD, FACEBOOK CONTACTS
10 ADS, OTHER MEANS OF OUTREACH THAT WOULD MAKE THE
11 PUBLIC, NOT NECESSARILY IN OUR MEDICAL CENTER, AWARE
12 OF OPPORTUNITIES TO PARTICIPATE IN THESE TRIALS.
13 AND BECAUSE MANY OF THE TRIALS THAT WE ARE DOING
14 INVOLVE RARE DISEASES WITH DISPERSED POPULATIONS,
15 WE'VE GOTTEN QUITE GOOD AT FINDING WHERE THE
16 PATIENTS ARE BOTH DIRECTLY AND THROUGH OUR NETWORK
17 OF REFERRING PHYSICIANS.

18 DR. STEWARD: CAN I FOLLOW UP ACTUALLY
19 WITH A SPECIFIC QUESTION? WHICH IS I'M TALKING LESS
20 ABOUT RECRUITING THAN REALLY SORT OF, I WOULD SAY,
21 DELIVERY.

22 DR. MATTHAY: MARK, OF COURSE, IS LOCATED
23 HERE IN OAKLAND; I'M IN SAN FRANCISCO AT UCSF. SO I
24 WANTED TO ADDRESS THE POINT OF OUTREACH. WE HAVE
25 UCSF FRESNO, WHICH WE'RE VERY COMMITTED TO AS PART

1 OF OUR CLINICAL TRIALS WITH THE NIH NOW, AND FROM
2 THE MESENCHYMAL STEM CELL PROGRAM, THIS WILL REACH
3 OUT. WE EVEN HAVE AN ARRANGEMENT TO REACH TO UCLA
4 AS WELL AS OAKLAND CHILDREN'S AND SAN FRANCISCO
5 CHILDREN'S.

6 AND, FOR EXAMPLE, DR. JENNIFER GRANDIS,
7 WHO'S HERE, YOU MIGHT EXPLAIN HOW WE TRY TO PUT
8 TOGETHER ALL THESE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS.

9 DR. GRANDIS: HELLO, EVERYBODY. THANK YOU
10 FOR HAVING ME. SO UCSF TAKES ITS ROLE AS A PUBLIC
11 INSTITUTION VERY SERIOUSLY, AND WE SEE IT AS OUR
12 MISSION TO BRING FIRST-RATE CLINICAL CARE, INCLUDING
13 CLINICAL RESEARCH, TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. AND
14 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE'VE DONE IS BUILD A
15 COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROGRAM FIRST UNDER THE CTSA,
16 BUT IT'S PART OF OUR CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE. AND THIS
17 COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROGRAM CONTINUES TO EXPAND
18 EXPONENTIALLY AS WE ENGAGE WITH THESE PARTNERS, NOT
19 JUST IN THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, NOT JUST IN THE
20 BAY AREA, BUT REALLY THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF
21 CALIFORNIA, AND REALLY THROUGH THE CTSA CONSORTIUM
22 AS TED CAN ATTEND TO.

23 WE ALSO ARE A MEMBER OF SOMETHING CALLED
24 UC BRAID WHICH LINKS ALL FIVE HEALTH SCIENCE UC'S IN
25 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND INCLUDES STANFORD AS

1 WELL. SO WHAT WE SEE, IN TERMS OF OUTREACH, IS
2 DELIVERING INFORMATION. WE'RE BUILDING SOMETHING
3 CALLED A TRIAL FINDER WITH A SUPPLEMENTAL SMALL
4 GRANT FROM NIH TO ACTUALLY PUT IN HUMAN LANGUAGE,
5 PATIENT LANGUAGE, CLINICAL RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES
6 THROUGHOUT THE CTSA NETWORK IN CALIFORNIA IN AN
7 ACCESSIBLE WAY ONLINE FOR THE CITIZENS OF CALIFORNIA
8 AS WELL AS FOR REFERRING PHYSICIANS. SO IT'S
9 SOMETHING WE TAKE VERY SERIOUSLY AND WOULD LIKE TO
10 SEE THIS STEM CELL CENTER LEVERAGE.

11 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: JUST TO THAT POINT,
12 THIS IS THE ONLY APPLICANT FROM THE BAY AREA, WHICH
13 IS THE FIFTH LARGEST METROPOLITAN AREA IN THE
14 COUNTRY. SO JUST SIMPLY SERVING THE GEOGRAPHIC
15 REACH WITHIN THIS LOCALITY -- WELL, I THINK THERE'S
16 A GAP THERE. JUST TO NOTE THAT. NOT TO BE
17 PAROCHIAL FOR THE BAY AREA.

18 DO WE HAVE OTHER QUESTIONS? UC FRESNO, I
19 THINK, IS -- I THINK UCSF FRESNO, UCSF DIDN'T JUST
20 GET THERE LAST YEAR. I THINK IT'S 40 YEARS. SO
21 THAT DOES SHOW A COMMITMENT TO THE VALLEY.

22 SO I THINK WE'VE BEEN THROUGH ALL OF
23 THESE. WE HAVE THREE APPLICATIONS BEFORE US. WE
24 HAVE ENOUGH FUNDING FOR TWO BASED ON THE BUDGET. I
25 BELIEVE THE BUDGET THAT WE ARE ALLOCATED FOR THIS

1 WAS 16 MILLION. WE CANNOT GO BEYOND THAT BUDGET
2 WITHOUT -- WE HAVE TO HAVE ANOTHER BOARD MEETING
3 WHERE WE AGENDA THAT. SO I LEAVE IT TO MEMBER'S
4 PLEASURE. WE CAN EITHER HAVE A BROAD DISCUSSION OF
5 THREE APPLICATIONS, OR WE CAN TAKE THEM ONE AT A
6 TIME. IS THERE ANY IMPULSE TO EITHER TAKE THEM
7 INDIVIDUALLY FIRST AND VOTE THEM UP AND DOWN, OR
8 WOULD WE RATHER HAVE A BROAD DISCUSSION OF THE
9 MERITS OF ALL THREE? I TAKE ANYBODY'S OPINION ON
10 THAT IF ANYBODY HAS ONE.

11 DR. STEWARD: I THINK IT MIGHT BE BETTER
12 TO TAKE THEM ONE AT A TIME UNLESS THERE IS SOME
13 PROCEDURAL REASON, SCOTT, TO DO IT OTHERWISE.

14 MR. TOCHER: NO. PROBABLY ONE AT A TIME
15 IS PROBABLY THE EASIER WAY TO MANAGE IT. I JUST
16 REMIND YOU, ONCE AGAIN, THAT BECAUSE WE HAVE MORE
17 APPLICANTS THAT ARE TO BE CONSIDERED THAN THERE IS
18 FUNDING FOR, IT PRECLUDES FOLKS WHO HAVE A CONFLICT
19 WITH ANY ONE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE VOTE OR
20 DISCUSSION OF ANY OTHER.

21 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: BASED ON THAT REASON,
22 I THINK IT MAKES SENSE TO TAKE THEM ONE AT A TIME.
23 THE ORDER IN WHICH I WILL TAKE THEM IS THE ORDER ON
24 WHICH -- BASED ON SCORES FOR NO. 1. SO I WILL START
25 WITH THE ONE THAT HAS THE HIGHEST NO. 1 SCORES.

1 DR. STEWARD: SO ARE YOU ASKING FOR A
2 MOTION?

3 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: I'M ASKING FOR A
4 MOTION, YES, PLEASE.

5 DR. STEWARD: SO I WOULD LIKE TO RECOMMEND
6 FUNDING FOR INFR4-10314. I THINK THAT'S THE RIGHT
7 NUMBER. YES, IT IS. THERE IT IS.

8 DR. DEAS: SECOND.

9 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: WE HAVE A SECOND FROM
10 DR. DEAS.

11 MR. TORRES: SECOND.

12 SUPERVISOR TORRES: ALSO SENATOR TORRES.
13 DO WE HAVE ANY DISCUSSION?

14 MR. PANETTA: I'VE JUST GOT A QUESTION FOR
15 YOU. YOU SAID, DID I HEAR YOU CLEARLY, THAT WE HAVE
16 \$16 MILLION FOR THIS?

17 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: RIGHT. AND THE
18 BUDGETS ARE RUNNING JUST ROUGHLY 7.9 MILLION APIECE.

19 MR. PANETTA: GREAT. WE DEFINITELY HAVE
20 ENOUGH TO FUND TWO OF THEM THEN?

21 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES. SO I HAVE A
22 MOTION AND A SECOND. IS THERE FURTHER DISCUSSION AT
23 THE BOARD? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS APPLICATION?
24 SO COULD WE CALL THE ROLL PLEASE.

25 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.

1 DAVID HIGGINS.
2 DR. HIGGINS: YES.
3 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELGAARD.
4 MR. JUELGAARD: YES.
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
6 DR. MARTIN: AYE.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
8 PADI LLA.
9 DR. PADI LLA: YES.
10 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
11 MR. PANETTA: YES.
12 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
13 DR. QUINT: YES.
14 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
15 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
16 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
17 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
18 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
19 DR. STEWARD: YES.
20 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
22 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
23 MR. TORRES: AYE.
24 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
25 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.

2 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. SO THE
3 NEXT APPLICATION BASED ON SCORES OF ONE. SO DO I
4 HAVE A MOTION ON THIS APPLICATION?

5 DR. STEWARD: SO I DO RECOMMEND THAT
6 INFR4-10361 BE FUNDED.

7 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DO I HAVE A SECOND?

8 DR. JUELGAARD: SECOND.

9 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SECOND FROM MR.
10 JUELGAARD. DO WE HAVE BOARD DISCUSSION? DO WE
11 HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT? DON REED.

12 MR. REED: NO ONE CAN ARGUE WITH THE
13 EXCELLENCE OF ALL THREE PRESENTATIONS. BUT IF WE
14 CHOOSE THIS ONE, WE CAN'T GET UCSF. UCSF IS
15 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, AND IS ALSO -- OH, THIS IS UC.
16 THEN I HUNDRED PERCENT WANT THIS ONE. SORRY.
17 LITTLE CONFUSED THERE.

18 ALSO, I HAVE MY GOOGLE ALERT SET FOR STEM
19 CELLS, AND IT'S ASTONISHING HOW OFTEN UCSF COMES UP
20 WITH A SPARK OF INSPIRATION. I THINK WE ARE
21 OUTSTANDING, AND WE ARE WELL SERVED BY HAVING THEM.

22 ALSO, I HAVE TO SAY THREE WORDS FOR OUR
23 NEW PRESIDENT. WELCOME, WELCOME, AND WELCOME.

24 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. ANY OTHER
25 PUBLIC COMMENT? CAN WE CALL THE ROLL.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
2 DAVID HIGGINS.
3 DR. HIGGINS: YES.
4 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELGAARD.
5 MR. JUELGAARD: YES.
6 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
7 DR. MARTIN: YES.
8 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
9 PADI LLA.
10 DR. PADI LLA: YES.
11 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
12 MR. PANETTA: YES.
13 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
14 DR. QUINT: ABSTAIN.
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
16 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
18 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
20 DR. STEWARD: YES.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
22 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
23 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
24 MR. TORRES: AYE.
25 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

1 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

2 MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.

3 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO I HAVE A QUESTION
4 FOR COUNSEL, AS YOU MIGHT ANTICIPATE. SINCE OUR
5 FUNDS ARE DEPLETED, DO WE NEED TO TAKE ANY ACTION ON
6 THE REMAINING APPLICATION?

7 MR. TOCHER: WE DO. WE NEED A VOTE TO NOT
8 FUND THE REMAINING APPLICATION BY -- A MOTION BY A
9 MEMBER WHO DOESN'T HAVE A CONFLICT.

10 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: OKAY.

11 DR. JUELGAARD: SO I MOVE NOT TO FUND ANY
12 REMAINING APPLICATIONS.

13 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DO I HAVE A SECOND?

14 MR. ROWLETT: I'LL SECOND.

15 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: GREAT. ANY BOARD
16 DISCUSSION? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT? CALL THE ROLL
17 PLEASE.

18 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
19 DAVID HIGGINS.

20 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

21 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELGAARD.

22 MR. JUELGAARD: YES.

23 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.

24 DR. MARTIN: AYE.

25 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA

1 PADI LLA.
2 DR. PADI LLA: YES.
3 MS. BONNEVI LLE: JOE PANETTA.
4 MR. PANETTA: YES.
5 MS. BONNEVI LLE: ROBERT QUI NT.
6 DR. QUI NT: NO.
7 MS. BONNEVI LLE: AL ROWLETT.
8 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
9 MS. BONNEVI LLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
10 SUPERVI SOR SHEEHY: YES.
11 MS. BONNEVI LLE: OS STEWARD.
12 DR. STEWARD: YES.
13 MS. BONNEVI LLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
14 CHAI RMAN THOMAS: YES.
15 MS. BONNEVI LLE: ART TORRES.
16 MR. TORRES: AYE.
17 MS. BONNEVI LLE: DIANE WI NOKUR.
18 MS. WI NOKUR: YES.
19 MS. BONNEVI LLE: MOTI ON CARRI ES.
20 SUPERVI SOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. I THINK
21 THE NEXT I TEM I S THE CLI NICAL APPLI CATIONS, BUT I
22 WONDER I F I T MIGHT BE USEFUL TO HAVE A BREAK. WE' VE
23 BEEN AT THI S FOR TWO HOURS. I KNOW THAT -- FIVE
24 MI NUTES. SO WE' LL BREAK FOR FIVE MI NUTES AND COME
25 BACK AND CONSI DER THE CLI N APPLI CATIONS.

1 (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: COULD EVERYBODY PLEASE
3 TAKE YOUR SEATS. WE'RE READY TO RESUME.

4 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: WE'RE ALL BACK. SO
5 THE PEOPLE ON THE PHONE? GREAT.

6 SO, DR. SAMBRANO, WOULD YOU LIKE TO -- I
7 THINK YOU HAVE A PRESENTATION ON THE CLIN
8 APPLICATIONS.

9 DR. SAMBRANO: YES. THANK YOU, MR.
10 SHEEHY.

11 ALL RIGHT. SO WE ARE MOVING ON TO THE
12 CLINICAL STAGE PROGRAMS. AS YOU KNOW, WE HAVE THREE
13 PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENTS THAT ARE SOLICITATIONS FOR
14 APPLICATIONS AT THIS STAGE: CLIN1 THAT SUPPORTS
15 IND-ENABLING WORK, CLIN2 THAT SUPPORTS CLINICAL
16 TRIALS, AND CLIN3 WHICH PROVIDES SUPPLEMENTAL
17 FUNDING TO EXISTING CLINICAL TRIALS UNDER CIRM
18 FUNDING.

19 TODAY WE'RE GOING TO CONSIDER SIX
20 APPLICATIONS. THERE IS ONE THAT IS RESPONDING TO
21 THE CLIN1 PROGRAM AND FIVE THAT ARE RESPONDING TO
22 THE CLIN2 CLINICAL TRIAL PROGRAM.

23 REMINDER OF THE SCORING SYSTEM. THIS ONE
24 ALSO USES THE SCORING OF 1, 2, OR 3 WHERE A SCORE OF
25 1 MEANS IT HAS EXCEPTIONAL MERIT AND WARRANTS

1 FUNDING, A SCORE OF 2 MEANS IT NEEDS IMPROVEMENT.
2 SO TYPICALLY APPLICANTS THAT RECEIVE A 2 WILL
3 RESUBMIT WITHIN ABOUT A MONTH IN ORDER TO ADDRESS
4 SPECIFIC COMMENTS FROM REVIEWERS. AND A SCORE OF 3,
5 WHICH MEANS IT'S SUFFICIENTLY FLAWED AND DOES NOT
6 WARRANT FUNDING. AND FOR THOSE, THOSE CANNOT BE
7 RESUBMITTED FOR AT LEAST SIX MONTHS. IT'S A KIND OF
8 GO BACK AND RETHINK THIS.

9 OKAY. SO THE FIRST APPLICATION UNDER
10 CONSIDERATION IS CLIN2-09574. THIS IS A PHASE 2
11 CLINICAL TRIAL OF A THERAPY FOR NEUTROPENIA IN AML
12 PATIENTS. SO WHAT THIS IS IT'S AN UMBILICAL CORD
13 BLOOD STEM CELL THERAPY. IT'S ALLOGENEIC, AND IT'S
14 INTENDED TO BE UNIVERSAL, MEANING THAT IT'S ONE THAT
15 DOESN'T HAVE TO BE MATCHED TO THE PATIENTS. THEY
16 ARE GOING TO TEST IT IN A SPECIFIC INDICATION, SO
17 AML PATIENTS WHO ARE UNDERGOING HIGH-DOSE
18 CHEMOTHERAPY WHICH ARE SUBJECT TO NEUTROPENIA OR LOW
19 WHITE BLOOD CELL COUNTS.

20 THE GOAL IS TO COMPLETE A PHASE 2 CLINICAL
21 TRIAL TO ASSESS SAFETY AND EFFICACY OF THE THERAPY
22 IN THESE PATIENTS. AND THE MAJOR PROPOSED
23 ACTIVITIES INCLUDE THE PREPARATION OF SCALE-UP OF
24 PRODUCT FOR MANUFACTURING, THE ACTUAL GMP
25 MANUFACTURE OF THIS CELL THERAPY, AND THE CONDUCT OF

1 THE TRIAL. THE FUNDS REQUESTED ARE 6.9 MILLION.
2 THE APPLICANT IS PROVIDING 16.8 IN CO-FUNDING FOR
3 THIS APPLICATION.

4 THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP SCORE IS A 1.
5 THE NUMBER OF VOTES IN THE TIER I ARE 12. THERE
6 WERE TWO MEMBERS THAT SCORED THIS AS A 2. THERE
7 WERE NO VOTES IN TIER III. CIRM IS AGREEING WITH
8 THIS RECOMMENDATION BASED ON THE OVERALL PROCESS AND
9 CONDUCT OF THE REVIEW AND, THEREFORE, CONCUR WITH
10 THE GWG RECOMMENDATION TO PROVIDE AN AWARD OF 6.9
11 MILLION TO THIS APPLICANT.

12 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO DO I HAVE A MOTION
13 ON THIS APPLICATION?

14 DR. JUELGAARD: BEFORE YOU ASK FOR A
15 MOTION, WOULDN'T IT -- FIRST OF ALL, MY
16 UNDERSTANDING IS WE DON'T HAVE THE FUNDING FOR ALL
17 OF THEM. AM I WRONG OR RIGHT ABOUT THAT?

18 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: WE HAVE FUNDING FOR
19 ALL OF THEM.

20 DR. JUELGAARD: YOU WANT TO DO THESE --
21 YOU'RE NOT GOING TO DO THEM ALTOGETHER THEN?

22 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: NO. WE TAKE THEM ONE
23 AT A TIME.

24 DR. JUELGAARD: OKAY.

25 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DO I HAVE A MOTION FOR

1 THIS APPLICATION?

2 DR. DEAS: SO MOVED.

3 MR. TOCHER: DR. DEAS, YOU'RE NOT A MEMBER
4 OF THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE.

5 DR. STEWARD: SO MOVED.

6 DR. PRIETO: SECOND.

7 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SECONDED BY DR.
8 PRIETO. ANY BOARD DISCUSSION? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?
9 CAN WE CALL THE ROLL PLEASE.

10 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
11 DAVID HIGGINS.

12 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

13 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELGAARD.

14 MR. JUELGAARD: YES.

15 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.

16 DR. MARTIN: AYE.

17 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
18 PADI LLA.

19 DR. PADI LLA: YES.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.

21 MR. PANETTA: YES.

22 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.

23 DR. PRIETO: AYE.

24 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.

25 DR. QUINT: YES.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.

2 MR. ROWLETT: YES.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.

4 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.

5 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.

6 DR. STEWARD: YES.

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

8 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

9 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

10 MR. TORRES: AYE.

11 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

12 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

13 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. THE NEXT
14 APPLICATION PLEASE, DR. SAMBRANO.

15 DR. SAMBRANO: THE NEXT APPLICATION IS
16 CLIN2-09672. THIS IS A PHASE 1 TRIAL OF A CELL
17 THERAPY FOR HIGH-RISK TYPE 1 DIABETES. SO THIS IS A
18 HUMAN ESC-DERIVED THERAPY THAT IS DIFFERENTIATED TO
19 PANCREATIC PROGENITORS AND ARE PLACED IN A DELIVERY
20 DEVICE. AND THE TRIAL IS INDICATED IN THIS
21 PARTICULAR CASE FOR HIGH-RISK TYPE 1 DIABETES
22 PATIENTS SUCH AS THOSE THAT HAVE HYPOGLYCEMIA
23 UNAWARENESS OR WHAT'S TERMED BRITTLE DIABETES.

24 THEIR GOAL IS TO COMPLETE A PHASE 1-2
25 CLINICAL TRIAL TO ASSESS SAFETY AND EFFICACY OF THE

1 CELL THERAPY COMBINATION PRODUCT IN PATIENTS. THE
2 MAJOR PROPOSED ACTIVITIES INCLUDE THE MANUFACTURE
3 AND QUALITY CONTROL OF THE CELL DEVICE PRODUCT, THE
4 LAUNCHING AND CONDUCT OF THIS CLINICAL TRIAL, AND
5 SOME ASSAY DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES. THE FUNDS
6 REQUESTED ARE 20 MILLION. THE APPLICANT IS
7 PROVIDING ABOUT 8.6 MILLION IN CO-FUNDING.

8 THE GWG GAVE THIS A UNANIMOUS SCORE OF 1
9 WITH 15 MEMBERS VOTING IN THAT TIER. CIRM AGREES
10 WITH THIS RECOMMENDATION IN THE AWARD AMOUNT OF 20
11 MILLION.

12 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DO WE HAVE A MOTION ON
13 THIS APPLICATION?

14 MR. TORRES: MOVE IT.

15 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: MOVED BY SENATOR
16 TORRES. DO I HAVE A SECOND?

17 DR. STEWARD: SECOND.

18 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SECOND BY DR. STEWARD.
19 DO WE HAVE ANY BOARD COMMENT?

20 DR. JUELSGAARD: YES. SO ACTUALLY I'VE
21 TALKED TO DR. MILLAN ABOUT THIS IN ADVANCE SO THAT
22 SHE WOULD BE PREPARED TO ANSWER THE QUESTION.

23 SO, DR. MILLAN, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT
24 THE APPLICANT IN THIS CASE HAS BEEN BEFORE US
25 SEVERAL TIMES TO RECEIVE FUNDING FOR ESSENTIALLY THE

1 SAME APPROACH TO THERAPY IN THE SAME INDICATION.
2 HOW MUCH HAS THAT APPLICANT BEEN AWARDED TO DATE AND
3 HOW MUCH HAVE THEY SPENT?

4 DR. MILLAN: I'M GOING TO ASK GABE
5 THOMPSON, WHO'S OUR HEAD OF GRANTS MANAGEMENT, TO
6 RESPOND TO THAT QUESTION.

7 MR. THOMPSON: SO THIS APPLICANT HAS BEEN
8 AWARDED ABOUT 51.1 MILLION IN FUNDING SINCE
9 INCEPTION ACROSS ABOUT SIX OR SEVEN DIFFERENT
10 AWARDS, TOTALING 51.1 MILLION.

11 DR. JUELGAARD: ARE THOSE AWARDS FOR
12 ROUGHLY THE SAME INDICATION, TYPE 1 DIABETES, AND
13 THE SAME MECHANISM FOR TREATING IT?

14 DR. SAMBRANO: YES, THEY ARE FOR TYPE 1
15 DIABETES. HOWEVER, THE PATIENT POPULATION THAT'S
16 BEING STUDIED IS ACTUALLY A LITTLE DIFFERENT
17 DEPENDING ON THE TRIAL THAT IS BEING SUPPORTED.
18 SOME OF THOSE STUDIES WERE ACTUALLY THE DEVELOPMENT
19 OF THE DEVICE AND THE CELLS EARLY ON. THIS
20 PARTICULAR PROPOSAL IS FOR A NEW DEVICE, THE SAME
21 CELLS, BUT THE DEVICE IS A SECOND GENERATION PRODUCT
22 THAT IS NOW BEING TESTED.

23 DR. JUELGAARD: ALL RIGHT. SO THE
24 REQUEST THIS TIME IS FOR \$20 MILLION AND WE'RE STILL
25 BACK IN PHASE 1, VERY EARLY IN THE CLINICAL PROCESS.

1 IF WE APPROVE THIS, THEN, ADDING THE 20 TO THE 50 IS
2 70 MILLION, WHICH IS CLOSE TO TWO AND A HALF PERCENT
3 OF OUR \$2.8 BILLION BUDGET. HAS ANYBODY ELSE BEEN
4 AWARDED THIS MUCH MONEY FOR A PARTICULAR INDICATION
5 USING A MECHANISM FOR TREATING IT; THAT IS, A
6 DEVICE-ORIENTED MECHANISM? IS ANYBODY AWARE OF
7 ANYBODY RECEIVING ANY MORE MONEY THAN THAT?

8 DR. SAMBRANO: WE DON'T HAVE A SINGLE
9 APPLICANT THAT HAS RECEIVED THAT AMOUNT OF FUNDS
10 FROM CIRM.

11 DR. JUELGAARD: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU.

12 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: FURTHER BOARD
13 DISCUSSION? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?

14 MR. REED: JUST THAT THE FACT THAT WE HAVE
15 SPENT MONEY ON IT BEFORE IS AN INDICATION OF ITS
16 EXCELLENCE. AND IF WE GOT SOMETHING THIS EXCELLENT,
17 I THINK WE SHOULD STICK WITH IT AND KEEP FIGHTING
18 FOR IT.

19 DR. JUELGAARD: IF I COULD JUST RESPOND
20 TO THAT. WE'RE IN PHASE 1. WE HAVE NO IDEA WHETHER
21 THIS IS WORKABLE OR NOT. PHASE 1 IS JUST A START OF
22 THE SAFETY PROCESS. THE FACT THAT WE'VE AWARDED
23 MONEY BEFORE IS NOT REALLY AN INDICATION OF WHETHER
24 THIS IS EXCELLENT OR EFFECTIVE.

25 DR. STEWARD: I'M SORRY. I DON'T HAVE

1 NUMBERS IN FRONT OF ME, BUT OBVIOUSLY DIABETES IS A
2 HUGE UNMET MEDICAL NEED. AND, STEVE, I TOTALLY --

3 MR. TORRES: \$40 BILLION IN CALIFORNIA
4 ALONE.

5 DR. STEWARD: THANK YOU, SENATOR TORRES.

6 YOU KNOW, SOMETIMES IT'S JUST HARD, AND IT
7 TAKES A LONG TIME TO SOLVE PROBLEMS THAT SEEMED EASY
8 AT FIRST. AND I JUST HAVE TO SAY THAT I THINK THIS
9 IS ONE OF THOSE. I THINK WE ALL WOULD HAVE EXPECTED
10 IT MAYBE TO GO MORE QUICKLY, BUT THEY'RE MOVING
11 FORWARD AND IT IS A HUGE UNMET MEDICAL NEED. AND I
12 THINK IN THIS CASE WE SHOULDN'T LOOK TO THE PAST AS
13 AN INDICATION OF MONEY MISSPENT OR ANYTHING LIKE
14 THAT, WHICH IS, I THINK, SORT OF WHAT THE
15 IMPLICATION IS HERE. I DO APPRECIATE THAT, BUT THIS
16 IS ONE THAT I THINK WE HAVE TO STICK WITH AND SEE
17 THROUGH ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. THANK YOU.

18 DR. PRIETO: I WANT TO THANK SENATOR
19 TORRES FOR BRINGING UP THESE NUMBERS. I AM ALSO
20 VERY FAMILIAR WITH THOSE NUMBERS. I REMEMBER
21 SHORTLY AFTER PROP 71 PASSED AND BEING NAMED TO THE
22 BOARD READING A NEWSPAPER ARTICLE ABOUT WHAT SOME
23 POTENTIAL LOW-HANGING FRUIT FOR STEM CELL THERAPY
24 WOULD BE, AND DIABETES WAS LISTED AS ONE OF THOSE.
25 MAKE SOME MORE PANCREATIC BETA CELLS AND, BOOM,

1 WE'RE DONE. IT HAS NEVER BEEN EASY. IT IS
2 SOMETHING WITH A HUGE IMPACT. AND THIS HAS BEEN A
3 TEAM THAT HAS A SOLID APPROACH, VERY WELL-EQUIPPED
4 TO ATTACK THIS PROBLEM, AND MEETING THEIR
5 MILESTONES.

6 IT'S GIVEN ME PAUSE HOW MUCH MONEY WE'VE
7 PUT INTO THIS, BUT I THINK THEY SPENT THAT MONEY
8 WISELY, AND I THINK IT'S WORTH CONTINUING THIS.

9 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DR. MARTIN.

10 DR. MARTIN: MAY I JUST ASK A TECHNICAL
11 QUESTION? IN THE REVIEW WERE THE REVIEWERS AWARE OF
12 THE SUCCESSES AND FAILURES OF THE PREVIOUS EFFORTS?

13 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES. I KIND OF GOT
14 THE SENSE THAT THIS WAS THE LAST SWING OF THE BAT.
15 BUT I THINK IT'S KIND OF LIKE DR. STEWARD WAS
16 SAYING. YOU KNOW, IT'S COMPLICATED BECAUSE IT'S
17 BOTH CELLS AND A DEVICE, AND IT'S PLURIPOTENT CELLS
18 WHICH THEN HAVE BEEN BROUGHT A CERTAIN -- I DON'T
19 THINK BROUGHT ALL THE WAY DOWN THE LINE, BUT THEY
20 BROUGHT PRETTY CLOSE TO FULL DIFFERENTIATION, AND
21 THEN THEY'RE PUT INTO A DEVICE AND IMPLANTED. SO
22 THERE HAVE BEEN SOME ISSUES WITH THE DEVICE, BUT
23 THERE'S ALSO BEEN SOME SUCCESSES WITH THE DEVICE.

24 SO THAT'S KIND OF WHERE WE ARE. I DON'T
25 KNOW IF YOU WANT TO A FURTHER EXPLANATION OF

1 PROGRESS, IF PEOPLE WANT THAT. DO WE HAVE A SCIENCE
2 OFFICER PRESENT WHO'S BEEN WORKING ON THE PROJECT?
3 FOR THOSE OF US -- I WOULD MYSELF, HAVING BEEN HERE
4 WHILE WE REVIEWED IT TIME AND TIME AND AGAIN, TO
5 GIVE US A SENSE JUST BECAUSE I THINK THAT WOULD
6 COLOR THE CONVERSATION. BUT IF THERE'S SOMEBODY
7 WHO'S BEEN WORKING ON THIS PROJECT ON THE TEAM
8 BECAUSE THIS IS ONE OF OUR FIRST -- THIS WAS
9 ACTUALLY IN THE ORIGINAL DISEASE TEAM BUCKET. I
10 THINK WE HAVE THREE PROJECTS FROM THE ORIGINAL
11 DISEASE TEAM BUCKET. I THINK SO. THIS ONE,
12 CAPRICOR, AND THEN I THINK WE'RE GOING TO HEAR ABOUT
13 ONE OF THE OTHER PROJECTS. NO, WE'VE GOT FOUR.
14 WE'VE GOT FOUR. SO WE HAVE BROUGHT SOME PROJECTS
15 PRETTY FAR DOWN THE ROAD. I THINK WE'VE GOT FOUR.
16 WE'LL HEAR TWO OF THEM TODAY -- THREE OF THEM TODAY.

17 DR. OLSON: FIVE.

18 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: FIVE FROM THAT
19 ORIGINAL BUCKET. THAT'S NOT BAD CONSIDERING.

20 DR. SAMBRANO: SO, MR. SHEEHY, LILA
21 COLLINS IS THE OFFICER THAT MANAGES THESE. SHE IS
22 NOT HERE, BUT I CAN TELL YOU, AT LEAST IN TERMS OF
23 THIS PROJECT, WHERE IT IS AND KIND OF HOW IT RELATES
24 TO PREVIOUS ONES IF YOU'D LIKE TO HEAR.

25 SO THIS PROPOSAL BRINGS OR ADVANCES A

1 CLIN1 AWARD THAT WE SUPPORTED IN ORDER TO ADVANCE A
2 SECOND GENERATION DEVICE. SO WHAT THIS DEVICE
3 ALLOWS IS A GREATER LEVEL OF VASCULARIZATION THAT
4 WAS NOT ACHIEVED IN THE ORIGINAL DEVICE AND CELL
5 COMBINATION THAT THEY ATTEMPTED IN THE TRIALS THAT
6 WE FUNDED BEFORE. THEY ARE CONTINUING TO MOVE
7 FORWARD ON THAT IN TERMS OF WORKING OUT ISSUES WITH
8 THE DEVICE MATERIALS. HOWEVER, THE SECOND
9 GENERATION DEVICE ALLOWS A LEVEL OF VASCULARIZATION
10 THAT OVERCOMES A KEY PROBLEM THAT THEY HAD WITH
11 ENGRAFTMENT. THEY WERE NOT ABLE TO GET
12 VASCULARIZATION, AND THERE IS A RESULTING FOREIGN
13 BODY RESPONSE TO THAT DEVICE.

14 SO BY VIRTUE OF HAVING THE
15 VASCULARIZATION, EVEN THOUGH THE FOREIGN BODY
16 RESPONSE STILL OCCURS, THEY ARE ABLE TO GET
17 SUCCESSFUL ENGRAFTMENT IN ANIMAL MODELS.

18 SO THEIR GOAL, SO FAR, HAS SHOWN THAT IN
19 MODELS WHERE THEY WERE UNSUCCESSFUL WITH THE
20 ORIGINAL DEVICE, THEY HAVE HAD SUCCESS WITH THIS
21 SECOND GENERATION DEVICE, AND SO THEY'VE DONE THAT
22 IN ANIMAL MODELS. SO THIS PHASE 1 REPRESENTS MOVING
23 INTO THE CLINIC NOW WITH THIS DEVICE TO TEST IT FOR
24 THE FIRST TIME IN HUMANS.

25 DR. STEWARD: I KNOW THAT THIS IS, OF

1 COURSE, MILESTONE BASED. I WONDER IF YOU COULD SAY
2 A WORD ABOUT MILESTONES.

3 DR. SAMBRANO: SO WHEN WE INITIATE ANY
4 AWARD, OF COURSE, WE NEGOTIATE MILESTONES. IN
5 PARTICULAR, THIS ONE IS AN AWARD THAT'S STRUCTURED
6 UNDER THREE COHORTS. SO THERE WILL BE ACTUALLY A
7 VERY CRITICAL MILESTONE VERY EARLY IN THE AWARD
8 PERIOD WHERE THEY HAVE JUST A HANDFUL OF PATIENTS
9 THAT WILL BE FIRST TO RECEIVE THIS DEVICE. THEY
10 INTEND TO FILE AN IND AMENDMENT THAT ALLOWS THEM TO
11 MOVE FORWARD. THAT FOR US WILL BE A CRITICAL
12 MILESTONE VERY EARLY.

13 DR. STEWARD: THANK YOU. BY WAY OF JUST
14 COMMENT TO THE PUBLIC AND A REMINDER, THE WAY THIS
15 IS STRUCTURED -- AND, GIL, PLEASE SAY MORE, ANYBODY
16 FROM CIRM SAY MORE -- IS THAT THAT \$20 MILLION IS
17 THE TOTAL POSSIBLE, AND THAT THE FUNDING IS
18 MILESTONE BASED. SO DELIVERY OF THE OTHER BOLUSES
19 OF THIS AWARD WOULD COME BASED ON THE ACHIEVEMENT OF
20 THE FIRST MILESTONE WHICH WOULD COME EARLY IN THE
21 TRIAL.

22 SO WE'RE NOT TALKING NECESSARILY ABOUT
23 THAT ENTIRE AMOUNT OF THE AWARD HAVING GONE TO THIS
24 PROJECT, JUST TO KIND OF GO BACK TO THE FIRST
25 CONVERSATION.

1 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: OTHER DISCUSSION?
2 COMMENTS? QUESTIONS? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT? CAN WE
3 CALL THE ROLL PLEASE.
4 MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
5 DR. HIGGINS: YES.
6 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
7 MR. JUELSGAARD: ABSTAIN.
8 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING. DAVE
9 MARTIN.
10 DR. MARTIN: YES.
11 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
12 PADI LLA.
13 DR. PADI LLA: YES.
14 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
15 MR. PANETTA: YES.
16 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
17 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
18 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
19 DR. QUINT: ABSTAIN.
20 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
21 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
22 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
23 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
24 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
25 DR. STEWARD: YES.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

3 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

4 MR. TORRES: AYE.

5 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

6 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

7 MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.

8 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. SO THE
9 NEXT APPLICATION PLEASE.

10 DR. SAMBRANO: OKAY. THE NEXT APPLICATION
11 IS CLIN2-09688. THIS IS FOR A PHASE 3 CLINICAL
12 TRIAL OF A HUMAN ACELLULAR VESSEL FOR HEMODIALYSIS.
13 SO THIS THERAPY IS A BIOLOGIC. IT'S GENERATED FROM
14 SMOOTH MUSCLE CELLS IN ORDER TO CREATE AN ACELLULAR
15 DEVICE ONCE THE CELLS ARE REMOVED. AND IT IS
16 INTENDED TO BE A CONDUIT FOR VASCULAR ACCESS FOR
17 PATIENTS THAT UNDERGO HEMODIALYSIS.

18 THEIR GOAL HERE IS TO COMPLETE A PHASE 3
19 CLINICAL TRIAL THAT COMPARES THIS ACELLULAR VESSEL
20 TO WHAT IS CONSIDERED THE GOLD STANDARD FOR
21 HEMODIALYSIS, WHICH IS THE AV FISTULA. THE MAJOR
22 PROPOSED ACTIVITIES INCLUDE MANUFACTURE AND
23 DISTRIBUTION OF HAV FOR CLINICAL TESTING, ENROLLMENT
24 OF PATIENTS THAT ARE REQUIRING VASCULAR ACCESS FOR
25 HEMODIALYSIS, AND LONGITUDINAL TEST SUBJECT

1 FOLLOW-UP DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS. THE FUNDS
2 REQUESTED IS ABOUT 14 MILLION. THE APPLICANT IS
3 PROVIDING CO-FUNDING OF 26.4 MILLION.

4 SO THE GWG GAVE THIS A SCORE OF 1. THE
5 NUMBER OF VOTES THAT LED TO THE SCORE OF 1 WERE
6 EIGHT MEMBERS SCORED IT IN TIER I, THERE WERE TWO
7 MEMBERS THAT SCORED IT IN TIER II, AND FIVE THAT
8 SCORED IT IN TIER III. OUR CIRM RECOMMENDATION,
9 BASED ON OVERALL PROCESS AND CONDUCT OF THE REVIEW,
10 IS TO FUND IN THE AWARD AMOUNT OF ABOUT 14 MILLION.
11 MR. SHEEHY.

12 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO DO I HAVE A MOTION
13 ON THIS APPLICATION?

14 DR. PRIETO: SO MOVED.

15 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: GOT A MOTION TO FUND.
16 DO I HAVE A SECOND?

17 DR. HIGGINS: SECOND.

18 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SECOND FROM DR.
19 HIGGINS. DO WE HAVE DISCUSSION ON THIS?

20 DR. JUELSGAARD: I ACTUALLY HAVE A SERIES
21 OF QUESTIONS, BUT THE FIRST RELATES TO THE TRIAL
22 SPONSOR, WHICH IS, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, A FOR-PROFIT
23 ENTERPRISE, PROBABLY A COMPANY, WHICH IS LOCATED IN
24 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NORTH CAROLINA. THAT'S
25 THEIR HEADQUARTERS. SO WHAT'S THE NEXUS TO

1 CALIFORNIA FOR THIS PARTICULAR APPLICATION?

2 DR. SAMBRANO: SO FOR APPLICANTS, WHAT WE
3 ALLOW, IF THEY'RE NOT FROM CALIFORNIA, WHAT WE LIMIT
4 THEM TO IS ACTIVITIES THAT ARE CONDUCTED IN
5 CALIFORNIA. SO THIS IS A MULTISITE TRIAL. AND SO
6 THIS WOULD SUPPORT THE TRIAL IN CALIFORNIA, OR AT
7 LEAST THOSE SITES THAT WOULD BE CONDUCTING THIS IN
8 CALIFORNIA.

9 DR. JUELGAARD: SO MY UNDERSTANDING IS
10 THIS SAME ENTERPRISE RECEIVED A GRANT OF SOME \$10
11 MILLION FOR A DIFFERENT PHASE 3 TRIAL IN 2016. IS
12 THAT RIGHT?

13 DR. SAMBRANO: YES, THAT'S CORRECT.

14 DR. JUELGAARD: DO WE HAVE ANY
15 UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE RESULTS ARE WITH REGARD TO
16 THAT TRIAL TO DATE?

17 DR. SAMBRANO: SO WE DON'T, BUT I CAN TELL
18 YOU KIND OF WHAT THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE TWO
19 TRIALS ARE. SO THE TRIAL THAT WE FUNDED AS A PHASE
20 3 IS THE SAME DEVICE WHERE THEY ARE COMPARING IT TO
21 A SYNTHETIC GRAFT. A SYNTHETIC GRAFT IS USUALLY THE
22 SECOND IN LINE IN TERMS OF WHAT IS PREFERRED FOR
23 HEMODIALYSIS PATIENTS. BUT THERE'S A BROAD
24 POPULATION THAT GET SYNTHETIC GRAFTS, AND SO THEY
25 ARE COMPARING IT TO THAT.

1 FOR THIS PARTICULAR TRIAL, THE GOAL IS TO
2 BROADEN THE INDICATION TO THE BROADEST POSSIBLE
3 POPULATION. THAT INCLUDES THE AV FISTULA PATIENTS.
4 SO HERE THEY WANT TO COMPARE WHETHER THIS BIOLOGIC
5 IS MORE EFFECTIVE THAN THE AV FISTULA. SO IT'S
6 DIFFERENT -- IT'S THE SAME PRODUCT. IT'S A
7 DIFFERENT POPULATION OF PATIENTS THAT THEY ARE
8 TESTING IT. SO THESE ARE TWO PARALLEL TRIALS THAT,
9 AT LEAST FROM THE FDA CORRESPONDENCE THAT WAS
10 PROVIDED, IS FELT BOTH WOULD CONTRIBUTE TO THE
11 OVERALL APPROVAL OF THIS PRODUCT.

12 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO NEXT QUESTION. DO
13 THEY HAVE A COMPLETION DATE IN MIND FOR THE FIRST
14 TRIAL?

15 DR. SAMBRANO: THEY DO. IT'S A BLINDED
16 TRIAL. SO THE OUTCOMES OF THAT FIRST TRIAL THAT IS
17 CURRENTLY BEING FUNDED BY CIRM WE DON'T HAVE
18 OUTCOMES FOR. THE ONLY OUTCOMES WE HAVE ARE IN
19 TERMS OF SAFETY. SO THE DSMB HAS REVIEWED THAT, AND
20 THERE HAVE BEEN NO ADVERSE EVENTS THAT HAVE BEEN
21 REPORTED WITH, I THINK, UP TO ABOUT A HUNDRED
22 PATIENTS. AND THE COMPLETION DATE IS AUGUST OF NEXT
23 YEAR.

24 DR. NIKLASON: CAN I OFFER SOME FACTUAL
25 INFORMATION? MY NAME IS LAURA NIKLASON. I'M THE

1 FOUNDER OF HEMOCYTE. SO --

2 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: I'M SORRY. THE
3 PROCESS IS KIND OF GETTING AWAY FROM US. WE DIDN'T
4 ASK FOR PUBLIC COMMENT OR RESPONSE. I THINK WE JUST
5 DON'T COME UP AND DO LIKE THAT, BUT I'M HAPPY WITH A
6 MORE ORGANIZED PROCESS.

7 DR. JUELSGAARD: ANYWAY, AS BEST YOU KNOW,
8 DR. SAMBRANO, IT'S AUGUST OF NEXT YEAR. SO ABOUT A
9 YEAR, A LITTLE LESS THAN THAT AWAY WE WOULD KNOW THE
10 RESULTS OF THAT PARTICULAR PHASE 3 CLINICAL TRIAL.

11 SO SORT OF LAST QUESTION. WOULD THE
12 RESULTS OF THIS FIRST CLINICAL TRIAL, PHASE 3
13 CLINICAL TRIAL, THE ONE THAT'S AGAINST THE SYNTHETIC
14 MECHANISM OF DELIVERY, WOULD IT INFORM AT ALL THE
15 POTENTIAL FOR SUCCESS OF THE SECOND CLINICAL TRIAL?
16 IN THE BUSINESS WORLD, WE OFTEN USE SOMETHING CALLED
17 PROBABILITY OF TECHNICAL SUCCESS. AND THAT HELPS
18 INFORM YOU ABOUT WHETHER TO MOVE FORWARD OR NOT, AND
19 YOU BASE THAT ON LOOKING BACKWARDS AND SEEING WHAT
20 HAPPENED.

21 SO THE QUESTION IS IF YOU GOT A NEGATIVE
22 OUTCOME IN THIS FIRST PHASE 3 CLINICAL TRIAL, WOULD
23 THAT INCREASE THE LIKELIHOOD THAT YOU WOULD HAVE A
24 NEGATIVE OUTCOME IN THE SECOND PHASE 3 CLINICAL
25 TRIAL?

1 DR. SAMBRANO: THIS IS WHAT CONCERNED SOME
2 OF THE REVIEWERS AND I THINK WHY YOU HAVE A SPLIT IN
3 THE VOTE. I THINK A LOT OF WHAT WORRIED SOME OF
4 THEM IS THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE EVIDENCE FROM
5 THE FIRST TRIAL IN ORDER TO GAIN CONFIDENCE THAT
6 THIS ONE WILL ALSO WORK.

7 IT IS -- I CANNOT SPEAK TO WHETHER OR NOT
8 THIS TRIAL WOULD PREVENT, FOR EXAMPLE, THE CONDUCT
9 OF THE AV FISTULA BECAUSE IT IS A DIFFERENT
10 POPULATION THAT THEY ARE LOOKING AT. BUT THE
11 INDICATION, AT LEAST FROM THE FDA, IS THAT THEY BOTH
12 WOULD CONTRIBUTE TO APPROVAL. IF THAT ONE WERE NOT
13 TO SUCCEED, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER OR NOT IT WOULD
14 NEGATIVELY IMPACT ON THEM BEING ABLE TO CONDUCT THE
15 AV FISTULA.

16 DR. JUELGAARD: THE QUESTION IS NOT SO
17 MUCH THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO, BUT WHETHER THEY WOULD
18 SPEND THE MONEY TO DO SO. IF YOU GET A NEGATIVE
19 FIRST PHASE 3 TRIAL, THEN DO YOU DECIDE GO FORWARD
20 WITH THE SECOND OR NOT? THAT'S ULTIMATELY THE
21 QUESTION. AND IT HAS TO DO WITH SOME OF THE
22 CONCERNS OF THE REVIEWERS ABOUT OVERLAPPING PHASE 3
23 CLINICAL TRIALS. IT'S JUST A TIMING ISSUE.
24 ULTIMATELY IF YOU GET A SUCCESS IN YOUR FIRST PHASE
25 3 TRIAL, YOU'LL MOVE FORWARD WITH YOUR SECOND.

1 MY QUESTION IS REALLY HOW CRITICAL IS IT
2 TO DEVELOP THIS SO RAPIDLY. WOULD IT NOT BE BETTER
3 TO KIND OF WAIT AND SEE WHAT THE DATA SHOWS IN THE
4 FIRST TRIAL? AND IF IT'S POSITIVE, THEN THAT GIVES
5 YOU MORE CONFIDENCE THAT THE SECOND TRIAL MIGHT WELL
6 BE POSITIVE AS WELL. AND IF YOU GET A NEGATIVE
7 OUTCOME, IF THE FDA IS GOING TO REQUIRE TWO PHASE 3
8 CLINICAL TRIALS APPROVAL, WHICH I UNDERSTAND THEY
9 PROBABLY WOULD, THEN GO BACK TO SORT OF THE STARTING
10 BLOCKS DOING THIS ONE AND YET ANOTHER PHASE 3
11 CLINICAL TRIAL.

12 DR. SAMBRANO: AS MENTIONED, THAT CONCERN
13 WAS BROUGHT UP. HOWEVER, THERE WERE SEVERAL OTHER
14 REVIEWERS PRESENT THAT FELT THAT IT WAS UNREASONABLE
15 TO EXPECT THEM TO DO IT SEQUENTIALLY, THAT THIS IS
16 ACTUALLY A PROCESS THAT MOST COMPANIES DO, AT LEAST
17 IN PHASE 3 WHEN THEY'RE LOOKING AT A PRODUCT ACROSS
18 MULTIPLE INDICATIONS, TO FOLLOW THEM IN PARALLEL IF
19 IT'S APPROPRIATE. SO THEY FELT IN THIS CASE THAT IT
20 WAS APPROPRIATE.

21 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: I DON'T KNOW IF YOU
22 STILL HAVE A QUESTION, DR. PRIETO, THEN DR. STEWARD,
23 AND THEN DR. MARTIN.

24 DR. PRIETO: NO, I'M FINE.

25 DR. STEWARD: SO I'M JUST GOING TO

1 ANNOUNCE IN ADVANCE THAT I'M INCLINING TOWARD A NO
2 VOTE ON THIS. AND PART OF THE REASON IS THE FACT
3 THAT THE DISTRIBUTION IN SCORES IS THE WAY THAT IT
4 IS. THE FACT THAT THERE ARE VOTES IN TIER II AND
5 TIER III INDICATE THAT MANY OF THE REVIEWERS THOUGHT
6 THAT THIS PROPOSAL COULD BE IMPROVED, AND MAJOR
7 CONCERNS HAVE ALREADY BEEN EXPRESSED IN TERMS OF
8 TIMING OF THIS TRIAL WITH RESPECT TO THE OTHER.

9 BUT MY, I THINK, GREATER CONCERN OR ISSUE
10 OR WHATEVER YOU WANT TO SAY IS IMPACT HERE. DOES
11 ANYONE HAVE ANY IDEA HOW MANY CALIFORNIANS WOULD
12 BENEFIT FROM THIS?

13 DR. PRIETO: I DON'T KNOW THOSE EXACT
14 NUMBERS, BUT END STAGE RENAL DISEASE AND RENAL
15 FAILURE ARE VERY SIGNIFICANT AND VERY EXPENSIVE
16 PROBLEMS. I'M ONE OF THE REVIEWERS FOR THIS GRANT
17 AT THE GWG. I WAS MUCH MORE SKEPTICAL INITIALLY. I
18 DID END UP VOTING TO ADVANCE IT. IT IS A VERY
19 EXPENSIVE PROBLEM. IT'S A SIGNIFICANT SOURCE OF
20 MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY. IF THEY ARE SUCCESSFUL, IT
21 DOESN'T CHANGE THE NATURE OF THE DISEASE IN THE WAY
22 THAT SOME OF OUR TREATMENTS COULD. IT WOULD MAKE
23 TREATMENT MORE SUCCESSFUL WITH FEWER COMPLICATIONS.
24 IT WOULD HAVE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT AND A POTENTIALLY
25 SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL IMPACT BECAUSE CURRENTLY,

1 BECAUSE OF THE DEFICIENCIES IN EITHER AV FISTULAS OR
2 THE EXISTING SYNTHETIC GRAFTS, THERE ARE FREQUENT
3 REHOSPITALIZATIONS, REOPERATIONS, AND MORBIDITY AND
4 MORTALITY ATTACHED TO THAT. SO THIS HAS THE
5 POTENTIAL TO ELIMINATE MUCH OF THAT.

6 DR. MARTIN: JUST A QUICK QUESTION ABOUT
7 THE CLINICAL TRIALS. THE DURATION OF THE TRIAL AND
8 THE ENDPOINTS, ARE THOSE THE SAME FOR THESE TWO
9 PHASE 3 TRIALS?

10 DR. SAMBRANO: YES. AND THE DURATION, I
11 BELIEVE, IS APPROXIMATELY THE SAME. IS THAT TRUE,
12 LAURA?

13 DR. NIKLASON: YES.

14 DR. SAMBRANO: YES, THE SAME.

15 DR. MARTIN: HOW LONG?

16 DR. NIKLASON: IN THIS TRIAL THERE ARE TWO
17 ENDPOINTS. ONE IS AT SIX MONTHS FUNCTIONAL PATENCY
18 FOR DIALYSIS. THE SECOND ONE IS FUNCTIONAL PATENCY
19 AT ONE YEAR. FOR THE PTFT TRIAL, IT WAS FUNCTIONAL
20 PATENCY FOR DIALYSIS AT ONE YEAR.

21 DR. MARTIN: FINE.

22 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO WE SHOULD OPEN IT
23 UP MAYBE TO PUBLIC COMMENT BECAUSE I THINK WE'RE
24 STARTING TO ASK QUESTIONS OF THE APPLICANT. MAYBE
25 THAT CAN HELP CLARIFY THINGS FOR SOME FOLKS.

1 MR. ROWLETT: THE LAST COMMENT COULD NOT
2 BE HEARD. IF THEY COULD SPEAK INTO THE MIC PLEASE.

3 DR. NIKLASON: SO IN ORDER TO CLARIFY THE
4 LAST QUESTION, THE LAST TRIAL AGAINST PTFE HAD A
5 ONE-YEAR ENDPOINT LOOKING AT SUITABILITY FOR
6 DIALYSIS AS COMPARED TO PTFE. THAT'S A TRIAL THAT
7 WAS SUPPORTED AND RECOMMENDED BY THE FDA AS OUR
8 FIRST TRIAL.

9 THE SECOND TRIAL HAS TWO DIFFERENT
10 ENDPOINTS. ONE LOOKS AT FUNCTION FOR DIALYSIS AT
11 SIX MONTHS. AND THE REASON FOR THAT IS BECAUSE A
12 VERY HIGH FRACTION OF FISTULAS FAIL TO BECOME
13 FUNCTIONAL EVER, AND THAT CUT-OFF POINT CLINICALLY
14 IS USUALLY MADE AT SIX MONTHS. SO WE CHOSE A
15 SIX-MONTH TIME POINT TO GET AN APPLES-TO-APPLES
16 COMPARISON BETWEEN HOW MANY PATIENTS WERE DIALYZING
17 ADEQUATELY WITH OUR GRAFT AS COMPARED TO FISTULA.
18 THERE'S ALSO A FOLLOW-ON POINT AT ONE YEAR TO LOOK
19 AT LONGER TERM OUTCOMES.

20 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: AND YOU ARE WELCOME TO
21 ADDRESS ANY OF THE OTHER ISSUES THAT WERE BROUGHT UP
22 IF YOU LIKE TO.

23 DR. NIKLASON: AS FAR AS THE FIRST TRIAL
24 THAT WE DID IN PTFE COMPARING AGAINST PTFE, WE WERE
25 ACTUALLY VERY GRATIFIED BY THE ENROLLMENT AND BY THE

1 UPTAKE AND THE ENTHUSIASM BY CLINICIANS. SO JUST AS
2 A COMPARISON, THE LARGEST OTHER CLINICAL TRIAL
3 THAT'S EVER BEEN DONE IN THE DIALYSIS ACCESS BASE
4 WAS ABOUT 140 PATIENTS, AND THAT TRIAL TOOK ABOUT A
5 YEAR AND A HALF OR TWO YEARS TO ENROLL. OUR TRIAL
6 WAS 350 PATIENTS IN 38 SITES, AND WE ENROLLED IN 16
7 MONTHS. AND THE REASON THAT UPTAKE WAS SO FAST IS
8 BECAUSE SURGEONS ARE VERY EXCITED ABOUT THIS
9 TECHNOLOGY BECAUSE THEY'RE DESPERATE FOR NEW IDEAS
10 BECAUSE NOTHING IMPORTANT HAS COME DOWN THE PIKE FOR
11 DIALYSIS IN THE LAST 20 OR 30 YEARS.

12 IN ADDITION, AS FAR AS HOW MANY PATIENTS
13 MIGHT BENEFIT IN CALIFORNIA, IF YOU LOOK AT THE DATA
14 FROM 2016, I THINK THERE WERE ABOUT 140,000 PATIENTS
15 THAT SOUGHT DIALYSIS AT DIALYSIS CENTERS THROUGHOUT
16 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN 2016. OF THOSE, PROBABLY
17 20 OR 30 PERCENT HAVE PTFE AND PROBABLY 40, 50
18 PERCENT HAVE A FISTULA. SO ADDING THOSE TWO
19 POPULATIONS TOGETHER, WE BELIEVE THAT WE WOULD HAVE
20 THE POTENTIAL TO BENEFIT PROBABLY 120,000 PATIENTS
21 JUST IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

22 IF YOU LOOK AT THE AVERAGE COST OF WHAT IT
23 TAKES TO TAKE CARE OF THESE PATIENTS, IT'S ABOUT
24 \$90,000 A YEAR. PROBABLY 20 PERCENT OF THAT IS
25 ACCESS FAILURES AND THE REPEATED TRIPS TO THE

1 HOSPITAL THAT THESE PATIENTS HAVE TO ENDURE.

2 SO I THINK THAT THERE'S A HUGE MEDICAL
3 NEED. THERE'S A HUGE POTENTIAL FINANCIAL UPSIDE
4 BECAUSE WE BELIEVE OUR GRAFT LASTS SUBSTANTIALLY
5 LONGER THAN EITHER PTFE OR THE FISTULA, QUITE
6 FRANKLY. I THINK WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A TREMENDOUS
7 MEDICAL IMPACT AND, FRANKLY, A POSITIVE FISCAL
8 IMPACT.

9 DR. JUELSGAARD: ON THE FIRST PHASE
10 CLINICAL TRIAL, WHICH YOU SAID ENROLLED IN 16
11 MONTHS, WHEN IS YOUR PROPOSED UNBLINDING DATE TO
12 EVALUATE THE DATA?

13 DR. NIKLASON: ELEVEN MONTHS FROM NOW,
14 EARLY SEPTEMBER OF 2018.

15 DR. JUELSGAARD: THANKS.

16 DR. NIKLASON: BUT WE DID HAVE -- JUST TO
17 CORRECT ANOTHER POINT OF INFORMATION, WE HAVE HAD
18 QUARTERLY DSMB MEETINGS FOR THAT TRIAL, AND THEY
19 MEET EVERY THREE MONTHS, AND THEY ARE UNBLINDED.
20 AND THEY LOOK AT ALL THE DATA, AND THEY'VE GIVEN US
21 AN ENTHUSIASTIC PLEASE PROCEED AT EACH POINT.

22 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO OTHER QUESTIONS? I
23 ACTUALLY HAD A QUESTION. IS THIS THE ONLY
24 INDICATION FOR THIS PRODUCT?

25 DR. NIKLASON: ABSOLUTELY NOT. WE HAVE

1 ANOTHER PHASE 1 TRIAL THAT WE'VE COMPLETED
2 ENROLLMENT IN PERIPHERAL VASCULAR DISEASE, AND WE
3 HAVE TWO PHASE 1 TRIALS IN PERIPHERAL VASCULAR
4 DISEASE AND IN TRAUMA, TRAUMATIC VASCULAR INJURY,
5 WHICH ARE UNDER WAY AND WHICH ARE ENROLLING IN THE
6 U.S. RIGHT NOW. SO WE ACTUALLY SEE THIS TECHNOLOGY
7 AS REALLY POTENTIALLY CHANGING THE WAY MUCH OF
8 VASCULAR RECONSTRUCTION AND REPLACEMENT IS DONE IN
9 THE U.S.

10 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. OTHER
11 QUESTIONS? SO I THINK -- ANY OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT?
12 SO WE HAVE A MOTION. I BELIEVE THE MOTION IS TO
13 FUND THAT'S BEEN SECONDED. SO COULD WE CALL THE
14 ROLL PLEASE.

15 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
16 DAVID HIGGINS.

17 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

18 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELGAARD.

19 MR. JUELGAARD: ABSTAIN.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING. DAVE
21 MARTIN.

22 DR. MARTIN: YES.

23 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
24 PADI LLA.

25 DR. PADI LLA: YES.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
MR. PANETTA: YES.
MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
DR. PRIETO: AYE.
MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
DR. QUINT: ABSTAIN.
MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
MR. ROWLETT: YES.
MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: NO.
MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
DR. STEWARD: I'M GOING TO VOTE NO TOO.
I'M SORRY.
MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
MR. TORRES: ABSTAIN.
MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
MS. WINOKUR: YES.
MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
DR. JUELGAARD: WHAT WAS THE TALLY?
MR. TOCHER: THE TALLY IS SEVEN AYE VOTES,
FOUR ABSTENTIONS, AND TWO NOES.
SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: PRETTY WELL ALIGNED
WITH THE WORKING GROUP. SO THE MOTION CARRIES.

1 CONGRATULATIONS TO THE APPLICANT. SEEMED LIKE AN
2 INTERESTING PRODUCT, BUT ANYWAY. SO WE HAVE THE
3 NEXT APPLICATION.

4 DR. SAMBRANO: THE NEXT APPLICATION IS A
5 CLIN1, SO THIS IS IND-ENABLING STUDIES, 10084, FOR A
6 THERAPY FOR SICKLE CELL DISEASE. THE THERAPY IS A
7 GENETICALLY MODIFIED AUTOLOGOUS BLOOD STEM CELL
8 PRODUCT, AND THE INDICATION IS FOR SEVERE SICKLE
9 CELL DISEASE.

10 THEIR GOAL IS TO COMPLETE IND-ENABLING
11 ACTIVITIES AND FILE AN IND IN ORDER TO TEST THE
12 THERAPY IN A FUTURE CLINICAL TRIAL. THE MAJOR
13 PROPOSED ACTIVITIES INCLUDE GENERATION OF THE VIRAL
14 VECTOR FOR THE GENE CORRECTION, ESTABLISHING
15 REPRODUCIBILITY OF THEIR MANUFACTURING PROCESS, AND
16 THE PREPARATION AND FILING OF AN IND TO BEGIN A
17 PHASE 1-2 TRIAL. THE FUNDS REQUESTED ARE ABOUT 5.2
18 MILLION. THERE IS NO CO-FUNDING BEING PROVIDED FOR
19 THIS APPLICATION.

20 THE GWG SCORE IS A 1. THERE WERE 13 VOTES
21 THAT GAVE IT A SCORE OF 1. THERE WAS ONE MEMBER
22 THAT GAVE IT A SCORE OF 2, NONE A SCORE OF 3. THE
23 CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDATION IS TO FUND FOR THE AWARD
24 AMOUNT OF 5.2 MILLION.

25 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DO I HAVE A MOTION ON

1 THIS APPLICATION?

2 MR. ROWLETT: I SO MOVE.

3 DR. PRIETO: SECOND.

4 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SECONDED BY DR.

5 PRIETO. ANY BOARD DISCUSSION? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?

6 COULD WE CALL THE ROLL PLEASE.

7 DR. JUELGAARD: JUST ONE QUICK QUESTION.

8 SO I KNOW THERE'S ANOTHER CLINICAL TRIAL IN PHASE 1

9 GOING ON IN SICKLE CELL DISEASE AT UCLA. DO YOU

10 HAVE ANY INFORMATION ON HOW THAT TRIAL IS

11 PROCEEDING? JUST EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCES OF

12 MECHANISM OF ACTION BETWEEN THIS TRIAL AND THE OTHER

13 TRIAL.

14 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: IT'S A DIFFERENT

15 VECTOR, STEVE. I REVIEWED THIS ONE. SO THIS IS A

16 CRISPR APPLICATION. AM I CORRECT? SO IT'S

17 CRISPR-CAS-9. TO ME, THAT'S LIKE THE BIGGEST

18 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO TRIALS. IS THIS THE

19 FIRST CRISPR WE'VE MOVED FORWARD?

20 DR. SAMBRANO: AT THIS STAGE IT IS. SO

21 THIS IS THE MOST ADVANCED IN TERMS OF A CRISPR-CAS9

22 SYSTEM. SO IT MEANS IT'S A HOMOLOGOUS

23 RECOMBINATION, SO IT'S SUBSTITUTING COMPLETELY FOR

24 THE GENE. THE APPROACH IN THE OTHER TRIAL IS A

25 LENTIVIRAL APPROACH. SO IT'S A LITTLE BIT

1 DIFFERENT. SO IT'S NOT A HOMOLOGOUS RECOMBINATION.
2 IT INSERTS A GENE WHEREVER IT MAY LAND. SO THE
3 EXPECTATION IS THAT THE CRISPR-CAS9 IS MORE PRECISE.

4 DR. JUELGAARD: THANK YOU.

5 DR. MARTIN: THERE ARE, I BELIEVE, OTHER
6 NON-CIRM SUPPORTED TRIALS ONGOING IN SICKLE CELL
7 DISEASE, IS THAT NOT TRUE, WITH A DIFFERENT
8 MECHANISM?

9 DR. SAMBRANO: THERE ARE WITH LENTIVIRAL.
10 I DON'T KNOW THAT THERE ARE ANY THAT HAVE ACTUALLY
11 ADVANCED USING THE CRISPR-CAS9 SYSTEM.

12 DR. MARTIN: I THOUGHT THERE WAS A ZINC
13 FINGER ENGINEERED.

14 DR. SAMBRANO: THERE IS A ZINC FINGER.

15 DR. MARTIN: DO WE KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT
16 THOSE TRIALS AND THE EFFICACY OF THOSE OR SAFETY
17 ISSUES FOR JUST COMPARATIVE PURPOSES?

18 DR. SAMBRANO: IN TERMS OF THE ONES THAT
19 WE ARE FUNDING, WHICH INCLUDES THE LENTIVIRAL ONE,
20 THOSE ARE PROGRESSING WELL AND ON TIME. BUT BEYOND
21 THAT, I CANNOT SPEAK TO THEM.

22 DR. MILLAN: LATER ON THIS AFTERNOON, I
23 DON'T KNOW IF IT'S RELEVANT NOW, BUT DR. TALIB FROM
24 OUR THERAPEUTICS TEAM MANAGES OUR PORTFOLIO THAT
25 DEALS WITH GENE-MODIFIED CELL THERAPIES, INCLUDING

1 INDICATIONS SUCH AS SICKLE CELL AND
2 HEMOGLOBINOPATHIES. HE WILL BE PRESENTING OUR
3 PORTFOLIO AND CAN RESPOND TO QUESTIONS ABOUT OTHER
4 COMPETING TECHNOLOGIES. IF YOU WOULD LIKE HIM TO
5 COME IN TODAY, IF IT IMPACTS YOUR SCORE OR YOUR
6 RECOMMENDATION FOR THIS AWARD, WE CAN INVITE HIM IN.

7 DR. MARTIN: IS HE AWARE OF THIS ACTIVITY
8 OR PROPOSAL, AND IS HE SUPPORTIVE?

9 DR. MILLAN: YES. THE TEAM TRACKS THIS
10 VERY CLOSELY, AND DR. TALIB IS OUR INTERNAL KIND OF
11 EXPERT IN THIS AREA. HE FOLLOWS THE FIELD. HE IS
12 ALSO THE SCIENCE OFFICER ON THE OTHER SICKLE CELL
13 DISEASE UTILIZING LENTIVIRAL-MODIFIED HEMATOPOIETIC
14 STEM PROGENITORS.

15 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: OTHER BOARD COMMENT OR
16 QUESTIONS? PUBLIC COMMENT? CAN WE CALL THE ROLL
17 PLEASE.

18 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
19 DAVID HIGGINS.

20 DR. HIGGINS: YES.

21 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.

22 MR. JUELSGAARD: YES.

23 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING. DAVE
24 MARTIN.

25 DR. MARTIN: YES.

1 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
2 PADI LLA.
3 DR. PADI LLA: YES.
4 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
5 MR. PANETTA: YES.
6 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
7 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
8 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
9 DR. QUINT: YES.
10 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
11 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
12 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
13 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
14 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
15 DR. STEWARD: YES.
16 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
17 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
18 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
19 MR. TORRES: AYE.
20 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
21 MS. WINOKUR: YES.
22 MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
23 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO CAN WE GO TO THE
24 NEXT APPLICATION PLEASE.
25 DR. SAMBRANO: THE NEXT APPLICATION IS

1 CLIN2-10144. THIS IS A PROPOSAL FOR A PHASE 1B
2 CLINICAL TRIAL OF AN ANTIBODY THERAPY FOR AML. THIS
3 IS A MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY THAT TARGETS CANCER STEM
4 CELLS THAT'S GOING TO BE COMBINED WITH ANOTHER DRUG,
5 AZACITIDINE, IN PATIENTS WITH AML.

6 THEIR GOAL IS TO COMPLETE A PHASE 1B
7 CLINICAL TRIAL TO ASSESS THE SAFETY AND EFFICACY OF
8 THIS THERAPY. THE MAJOR PROPOSED ACTIVITIES INCLUDE
9 THE ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY AND TOLERANCE OF THE
10 ANTIBODY ALONE OR IN COMBINATION WITH AZACITIDINE,
11 TO ASSESS EFFICACY OF THE ANTIBODY ALONE OR IN
12 COMBINATION, AND THE OPTIMAL DOSING REGIMEN. SO THE
13 FUNDS REQUESTED ARE 5 MILLION. THE APPLICANT IS
14 PROVIDING 7.4 MILLION IN CO-FUNDING.

15 THE GWG SCORE IS A 1. THERE WAS A
16 UNANIMOUS VOTE OF 14 MEMBERS GIVING IT A SCORE OF 1
17 FOR THIS APPLICATION. AND CIRM RECOMMENDS FUNDING
18 THE AWARD IN THE AMOUNT OF 5 MILLION FOR THIS
19 APPLICANT.

20 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SO DO I HAVE A MOTION
21 ON THIS APPLICATION?

22 MR. TORRES: SO MOVED.

23 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: MOVED BY SENATOR
24 TORRES. DO WE HAVE A SECOND?

25 DR. STEWARD: SECOND.

1 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SECONDED BY DR.
2 STEWARD. ANY BOARD COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS? ANY
3 PUBLIC COMMENT? COULD WE CALL THE ROLL.
4 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
5 DAVID HIGGINS.
6 DR. HIGGINS: YES.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELGAARD.
8 MR. JUELGAARD: YES.
9 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING. DAVE
10 MARTIN.
11 DR. MARTIN: YES.
12 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
13 PADI LLA.
14 DR. PADI LLA: YES.
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
16 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
18 DR. QUINT: YES.
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
20 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
22 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
23 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
24 DR. STEWARD: YES.
25 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

2 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.

3 MR. TORRES: AYE.

4 MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.

5 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

6 MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.

7 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: GREAT. THANK YOU.

8 NEXT APPLICATION PLEASE.

9 DR. SAMBRANO: THE FINAL APPLICATION IS
10 CLIN2-10248. THIS IS A PROPOSAL FOR A PHASE 1
11 CLINICAL TRIAL OF A CAR T-CELL THERAPY TO TREAT
12 MALIGNANT GLIOMA. SO THIS IS A T-CELL THERAPY. SO
13 THESE ARE T-CELLS THAT WERE ENGINEERED TO TARGET
14 CANCER STEM CELLS. AND, OF COURSE, THE INDICATION
15 IS FOR MALIGNANT GLIOMAS.

16 THEIR GOAL IS TO COMPLETE A PHASE 1
17 CLINICAL TRIAL TO ASSESS THE SAFETY AND PRELIMINARY
18 EFFICACY OF THIS THERAPY. THE MAJOR PROPOSED
19 ACTIVITIES INCLUDE MANUFACTURING OF THE PRODUCT,
20 EVALUATING THE ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION, AND EVALUATE
21 THE SAFETY AND PRELIMINARY SAFETY OF THE PRODUCT AND
22 PRELIMINARY EFFICACY, DEVELOP AND ESTABLISH REAGENTS
23 AND METHODS FOR A FOLLOW-UP PHASE 2. THE FUNDS
24 REQUESTED ARE 12.75 MILLION. THE APPLICANT IS NOT
25 PROVIDING SPECIFIC CO-FUNDING FOR THIS AWARD.

1 THE GWG GAVE THIS A SCORE OF 1 WITH A
2 UNANIMOUS VOTE FOR 15 MEMBERS GIVING IT A SCORE OF
3 1. THE CIRM TEAM AGREES WITH THIS RECOMMENDATION TO
4 FUND IN THE AWARD AMOUNT OF 12.75 MILLION.

5 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DO I HAVE A MOTION ON
6 THIS APPLICATION?

7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO MOVED.

8 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: MOVED BY CHAIRMAN
9 THOMAS. DO I HAVE A SECOND?

10 DR. PRIETO: SECOND.

11 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: SECONDED BY DR.
12 PRIETO. ANY BOARD DISCUSSION?

13 DR. MARTIN: WHAT IS THE STEM CELL
14 CONNECTION HERE? ARE THESE REALLY STEM CELLS THAT
15 ARE BEING TARGETED, THE IL 13 RECEPTOR?

16 DR. SAMBRANO: THERE'S A COUPLE OF
17 CONNECTIONS. SO ONE OF THEM IS THE MEMORY STEM
18 CELLS THAT ARE WITHIN THE PRODUCT ITSELF. SO THAT'S
19 ONE ASPECT. AND THE OTHER IS IT FALLS INTO THE
20 CATEGORY WHERE TARGETING A CANCER STEM CELL FALLS
21 WITHIN THE PURVIEW OF WHAT WE FUND. SO IN THIS CASE
22 THEY ARE TARGETING CANCER STEM CELLS IN GLIOMA.

23 DR. MARTIN: BUT THE PRODUCT IS STEM
24 CELL-DERIVED?

25 DR. SAMBRANO: IT INCLUDES STEM CELLS

1 WITHIN IT.

2 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I'D JUST LIKE TO NOTE I
3 THINK THIS IS PARTICULARLY EXCITING BECAUSE, AS WE
4 ALL KNOW, THERE'S BEEN GREAT ADVANCES IN CAR T AND
5 BLOOD CANCERS. AND THIS IS FUNDING ONE TARGETING
6 SOLID TUMOR, WHICH IS A MUCH TOUGHER NUT TO CRACK.
7 SO I THINK IT'S VERY EXCITING THAT CIRM IS INVOLVED
8 HERE.

9 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: ANY OTHER BOARD
10 COMMENT?

11 DR. MALKAS: ACTUALLY THIS WORK HAS
12 GARNERED QUITE A LOT OF EXCITEMENT.

13 MR. TOCHER: I'M SORRY. YOU'RE NOT A
14 MEMBER OF THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE.

15 DR. MALKAS: I SAID NOTHING. NOBODY
16 REMEMBER ANYTHING.

17 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: I WOULD SAY, THOUGH,
18 THAT DID KIND OF JOG MY MEMORY. THERE IS AN
19 IMPRESSIVE ONE WHERE AN EARLIER ITERATION OF
20 THIS PRODUCT ACTUALLY AT ONE POINT HAD COMPLETELY
21 CLEARED THE TUMORS, WHICH WERE NOT ONLY IN THE
22 BRAIN, BUT THROUGHOUT THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM, IF
23 MY MEMORY SERVES ME RIGHT. SO THE POTENTIAL IS HUGE
24 AND REALLY IN A DISEASE WE HAVE NOTHING.

25 SO OTHER BOARD COMMENT? ANY PUBLIC

1 COMMENT? CAN WE CALL THE ROLL.
2 MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
3 DAVID HIGGINS.
4 DR. HIGGINS: YES.
5 MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELGAARD.
6 MR. JUELGAARD: YES.
7 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING. DAVE
8 MARTIN.
9 DR. MARTIN: YES.
10 MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER. ADRIANA
11 PADI LLA.
12 DR. PADI LLA: YES.
13 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.
14 MR. PANETTA: YES.
15 MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
16 DR. PRIETO: AYE.
17 MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
18 DR. QUINT: YES.
19 MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
20 MR. ROWLETT: YES.
21 MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
22 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
23 MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
24 DR. STEWARD: YES.
25 MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.

1 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.

2 MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES. DIANE
3 WINOKUR.

4 MS. WINOKUR: YES.

5 MR. TORRES: ART TORRES, AYE.

6 MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.

7 SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DO WE HAVE -- ARE WE
8 DONE? SO, CHAIRMAN THOMAS, THAT CONCLUDES THE
9 BUSINESS OF THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE.

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
11 MR. SUPERVISOR.

12 WE'RE NOW GOING TO BREAK FOR A WORKING
13 LUNCH. FOR MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, THE LUNCH IS OUT
14 THAT BACK DOOR TO THE LEFT AND CONTINUE ALONG INTO
15 THE KITCHEN. AND SINCE WE HAVE A LIMITED AMOUNT,
16 I'VE BEEN ADMONISHED THAT THIS LUNCH IS FOR BOARD
17 MEMBERS. SO THANK YOU, EVERYBODY. WE WILL RESUME
18 BACK HERE IN TEN MINUTES OR SO.

19 (A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO WHILE WE'RE WAITING
21 FOR A MEMBER OR TWO OF THE BOARD TO JOIN, WE'RE
22 GOING TO GO A BIT OUT OF ORDER HERE AND GO TO THE --
23 SORRY. LITTLE LOGISTICAL DIFFICULTY HERE. BEAR
24 WITH US, THOSE ON THE PHONE. OKAY.

25 SO WE ARE GOING TO FLIP DOWN TO ITEM 14,

1 WHICH IS CLINICAL PROGRAM UPDATES WHICH, AS YOU
2 KNOW, WE DO FROM TIME TO TIME TO GIVE EVERYBODY
3 PROGRESS REPORTS ON A NUMBER OF THE GREAT CLINICAL
4 TRIALS THAT WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF FUNDING. AND
5 I'M GOING TO START WITH DR. KAREN RING WHO'S GOING
6 TO INTRODUCE OTHERS FROM THERE.

7 DR. RING: HELLO, EVERYONE. I HOPE YOU
8 ARE ENJOYING YOUR LUNCH. SO IT'S MY PLEASURE TO
9 SPEAK TO YOU ALL TODAY AND LAUNCH OUR CLINICAL
10 PORTFOLIO OVERVIEW. AND SO THERE'S BEEN A GROWING
11 INTEREST IN OUR CLINICAL TRIALS LATELY. WITH THE
12 LAUNCH OF OUR NEW STRATEGIC PLAN, WE MADE A GOAL OF
13 FUNDING 50 NEW CLINICAL TRIALS BY 2020. AND BY THE
14 BOARD'S VOTE TODAY, YOU CAN SEE THAT WE'RE WELL ON
15 OUR WAY TO REACHING OUR GOAL.

16 AND SO ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE WANTED TO
17 DO ON THE COMMUNICATIONS TEAM WAS TO MAKE IT EASIER
18 FOR THE BOARD AND THE PUBLIC AND SCIENTISTS TO SEE
19 ALL THE TRIALS THAT WE ARE FUNDING AND TO GET THE
20 INFORMATION THAT THEY NEED ABOUT THESE TRIALS. SO
21 ON OUR WEBSITE, THIS IS OUR CLINICAL TRIALS PAGE AS
22 IT EXISTS RIGHT NOW. WE JUST HAVE A SIMPLE TABLE
23 LISTING ALL THE TRIALS. AND SO WHAT WE'VE BEEN ABLE
24 TO DO IS TO DEVELOP A CLINICAL DASHBOARD. THIS IS
25 AN INTERACTIVE TABLE THAT WILL ALLOW YOU TO SORT ALL

1 OF OUR TRIALS BASED ON DISEASE INDICATION. WE ALSO
2 HAVE A COOL GRAPHIC THAT MY COLLEAGUE TODD DUBNIKOFF
3 MADE WHERE IT WILL LIST THE TOTAL NUMBER OF TRIALS
4 THAT WE'VE FUNDED. SO, AS YOU CAN SEE, THIS IS
5 ALREADY OUT OF DATE AND THE NUMBER SHOULD NOW BE 40.

6 WE HAVE A GRAPH SHOWING THE DISEASE AREAS
7 AND THE PERCENTAGE OF TRIALS THAT COVER THESE
8 DISEASE AREAS. AND ALSO YOU CAN DOWNLOAD A BROCHURE
9 THAT LISTS ALL OF OUR CLINICAL TRIALS WITH
10 EXPLANATIONS FOR THE PUBLIC ABOUT WHAT STEM CELL
11 THERAPY OR TREATMENT IS BEING DEVELOPED AND TESTED.

12 SO AS YOU SCROLL DOWN THIS TABLE, YOU CAN
13 SEE THAT FOR EACH TRIAL WE LIST THE DISEASE
14 INDICATION, THE INVESTIGATOR, THE ORGANIZATION, AND
15 THEN MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE TRIAL, LIKE THE
16 PHASE, WHETHER IT'S RECRUITING, OR IT'S STILL
17 LAUNCHING, AND THEN THEIR TARGETED ENROLLMENT. AND
18 IF YOU WANT TO LEARN MORE ABOUT A SPECIFIC TRIAL,
19 YOU CAN CLICK ON THIS LEARN MORE BUTTON, AND YOU
20 WILL GET A SCORECARD THAT LISTS INFORMATION ABOUT
21 THE TRIAL AND ALSO THE CLINICALTRIALS.GOV LINK SO
22 YOU CAN LEARN MORE ABOUT THE TRIAL THERE, AND ALSO
23 HIGHLIGHTING THE CIRM AWARDS THAT FUND THIS CURRENT
24 TRIAL.

25 BELOW THAT YOU WILL LEARN MORE DETAILS

1 THAT WE GOT FROM OUR SCIENCE OFFICERS ABOUT THE
2 THERAPY, THE DESIGN OF THE TRIAL, THE GOAL, AND THE
3 STATUS, AND ANY NEWS RELEASES RELATED TO THESE
4 CLINICAL TRIALS.

5 AND THEN ON THE RIGHT, WE HAVE A RESOURCE
6 FOR PATIENTS WHO ARE VISITING THESE PAGES. IF THEY
7 WANT TO LEARN MORE ABOUT CIRM, WE HAVE A LOT OF
8 RESOURCES FOR THEM. AND WE ALSO HAVE THE ALPHA STEM
9 CELL CLINICS AND A NEW VIDEO THAT WE WROTE ABOUT THE
10 STEM CELL TRIALS AND WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW IF YOU
11 WANT TO PARTICIPATE IN ONE OF THEM.

12 SO WE HOPE THAT YOU'RE REALLY EXCITED
13 ABOUT THIS. WE'LL SEND OUT A LINK AT THE END OF THE
14 BOARD MEETING SO YOU CAN HAVE ACCESS TO THIS NEW
15 DASHBOARD. WE THINK IT'S A REALLY GREAT WAY TO
16 HIGHLIGHT ALL THE IMPORTANT WORK THAT YOU'VE DECIDED
17 TO FUND. AND, AS YOU CAN SEE, YOU CAN PLAY AROUND
18 AND FIGURE OUT WHAT TRIALS WE'RE FUNDING IN EACH OF
19 THESE DISEASE AREAS, AND THAT WILL ONLY CONTINUE TO
20 GROW.

21 SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH. NOW I WOULD LIKE
22 TO INTRODUCE SOHEL TALIB WHO WILL GIVE A MORE
23 DETAILED OVERVIEW OF OUR STEM CELL GENE THERAPY
24 PORTFOLIO.

25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO I JUST WANT TO --

1 IT'S A LITTLE TOUGH, WHEN YOU'RE SORT OF SPREAD
2 AROUND THE ROOM, TO SEE HOW MUCH IS ON THIS
3 DASHBOARD AND HOW COMPREHENSIVE IT IS AND WHAT A
4 GREAT RESOURCE IT IS FOR THOSE TRYING TO SEE WHAT'S
5 GOING ON AND GET UPDATES. I JUST WANTED TO
6 CONGRATULATE DR. RING, CONGRATULATE TODD, EVERYBODY
7 WHO WORKED ON THIS. THIS IS A STATE-OF-THE-ART WAY
8 OF MEASURING WHAT'S GOING ON HERE, WHICH IS
9 TERRIFIC. SO CONGRATULATIONS ON THAT. VERY, VERY
10 HELPFUL.

11 DR. RING: APPRECIATE IT.

12 (APPLAUSE.)

13 DR. TALIB: THANK YOU, DR. RING. SO I
14 THINK WHAT I WILL DO IS GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ON OUR
15 CLINICAL PROGRAMS.

16 SO, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
17 AND THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, I WOULD LIKE TO
18 PRESENT TO YOU THE CLINICAL UPDATES ON THE STEM CELL
19 GENE THERAPY PROGRAMS. SO THE GOAL OF THE
20 THERAPEUTICS TEAM AT CIRM IS TO HAVE 50 CLINICAL
21 TRIALS BY 2020, AND WE ARE MOVING TOWARDS THIS GOAL.
22 SO AS DR. MILLAN POINTED OUT, WE HAVE CURRENTLY 30
23 ACTIVE CLINICAL TRIALS, WHICH ARE PHASE 1-2, PHASE 3
24 CLINICAL TRIALS, AND NINE OF OUR INVESTIGATORS ARE
25 PREPARING TO FILE THEIR IND NEXT 18 MONTHS.

1 AND JUST TO REMIND YOU, OUR THERAPEUTIC
2 PORTFOLIO IS PRETTY DIVERSE, AND THESE 30 CLINICAL
3 TRIALS WHICH WE ARE FUNDING, THEY ARE TARGETING
4 NUMBER OF DISEASES IN MANY OF THESE DISEASE
5 INDICATIONS.

6 SO FOR TODAY'S DISCUSSION, I WOULD LIKE TO
7 FOCUS ON HEMATOLOGY AND INFECTIOUS DISEASES AND
8 PROVIDE -- SO WHAT I WILL DO IS GIVE YOU AN UPDATE
9 ON THE STEM CELL GENE THERAPY CLINICAL TRIALS IN THE
10 AREA OF HEMATOLOGY AND INFECTIOUS DISEASE.

11 SO THIS SLIDE LISTS ALL THE CLINICAL
12 TRIALS WHICH WE ARE FUNDING CURRENTLY. AND AS
13 DR. RING POINTED OUT, THAT WE HAVE INFORMATION ON
14 EACH OF THESE GRANTS IN OUR CLINICAL DASHBOARD. SO
15 FOR THE SAKE OF TIME, I WILL NOT BE UPDATING ON ALL
16 THE CLINICAL TRIALS, BUT PROVIDE YOU HIGHLIGHTS OF
17 SOME OF THESE CLINICAL TRIALS.

18 SO ONE THING I SHOULD POINT OUT, WHAT IS
19 COMMON AMONG THESE CLINICAL TRIALS WHICH WE ARE
20 FUNDING IS THAT THESE ARE AUTOLOGOUS THERAPIES.
21 THAT IS, THE PATIENT'S OWN BLOOD-FORMING STEM CELLS
22 ARE REMOVED FROM THE PATIENTS, THEY ARE GENE
23 MODIFIED BY ADDING A GENE OR EDITING A GENE, AND
24 THEN THESE GENE-CORRECTED STEM CELLS ARE GIVEN BACK
25 TO THE PATIENT.

1 SO THE FIRST TWO CLINICAL TRIALS WHICH ARE
2 LISTED HERE ARE IN THE AREA OF CANCER. THE FIRST
3 ONE WE JUST APPROVED FOR FUNDING, AND THAT IS THE
4 STUDY WHICH DR. BROWN IS CARRYING OUT FOR USING CAR
5 T-CELL IMMUNOTHERAPY FOR AN AGGRESSIVE BRAIN CANCER.

6 THE SECOND CLINICAL TRIAL WHICH WE ARE
7 FUNDING IS FROM UCLA, AND THAT IS TONY RIBAS. HE IS
8 TARGETING USING CAR T-CELLS FOR TARGETING STAGE 3,
9 STAGE 4 SOLID CANCER.

10 SO THESE TWO CLINICAL TRIALS ARE USING CAR
11 T-CELL IMMUNOTHERAPY. AS MR. CHAIRMAN POINTED OUT
12 EARLIER, WE ARE EXCITED THAT WE ARE FUNDING THESE
13 CLINICAL TRIALS WHICH ARE GENERATING A LOT OF
14 INTEREST. AS YOU HEARD, THE FIRST CAR T-CELL
15 IMMUNOTHERAPY WAS JUST APPROVED BY THE FDA FOR BLOOD
16 CANCER.

17 SINCE THESE CLINICAL TRIALS ARE JUST
18 STARTING, I WILL NOT BE GIVING YOU ANY UPDATE ON
19 THESE CLINICAL TRIALS, AND HOPEFULLY IN THE NEXT
20 UPDATES, WE WILL PROVIDE YOU WHEN WE UPDATE YOU ON
21 THE ONCOLOGY PORTFOLIO.

22 SO THE NEXT CLINICAL TRIALS WHICH WE ARE
23 FUNDING, AND THAT IS DR. JUDY SHI ZURU. SHE IS
24 DEVELOPING A CHEMOTHERAPY-FREE CONDITION REGIMEN.
25 AND I'LL PROVIDE YOU AN UPDATE ON THIS CLINICAL

1 TRIAL A BIT LATER ON. AND AFTER THAT, THERE ARE
2 THREE CLINICAL TRIALS WHICH WE ARE FUNDING, AND
3 THESE THREE CLINICAL TRIALS IS RARE GENETIC
4 DISEASES, AND THOSE ARE THE CLINICAL TRIALS WHICH
5 ARE IN PHASE 1 AS WELL AS A REGISTRATION CLINICAL
6 TRIAL, AND I'LL PROVIDE YOU THE UPDATE ON THE
7 PROGRESS OF THESE CLINICAL TRIALS.

8 THE LAST TWO CLINICAL TRIALS WHICH ARE
9 LISTED HERE ARE FOR ANEMIA, AND THESE ARE SICKLE
10 CELL DISEASE AND ALPHA THALASSEMIA, AND I WILL
11 PROVIDE YOU UPDATE ON THE PROGRESS OF THESE CLINICAL
12 TRIALS.

13 IN THE AREA OF INFECTIOUS DISEASES,
14 CURRENTLY WE ARE FUNDING THREE CLINICAL TRIALS. AND
15 THE GOAL OF THESE THREE CLINICAL TRIALS IS TO HAVE
16 FUNCTIONAL CURE FOR HIV/AIDS. SO THE FIRST CLINICAL
17 TRIAL WHICH WE ARE FUNDING, THAT IS AT CAL-IMMUNE,
18 WHICH IS A CALIFORNIA BIOTECH, IN WHICH CLINICAL
19 TRIAL HAS PROGRESSED AND NOW HAS COMPLETED
20 ENROLLMENT. AND I WILL PROVIDE YOU CLINICAL UPDATE
21 ON THIS PARTICULAR PROGRAM. OTHER TWO PROGRAMS ARE
22 ACTIVE. AND THE CLINICAL TRIAL, WHICH DR. ZAI A IN
23 COLLABORATION WITH SANGAMO BIOSCIENCES, WHICH IS FOR
24 STEM CELL GENE THERAPY FOR HIV, IS VERY CLOSE TO THE
25 FINISH LINE. AND HOPEFULLY IN THE NEXT ROUND, I

1 WILL BE ABLE TO SHARE THE CLINICAL RESULTS OF THESE
2 CLINICAL TRIALS.

3 SO LET ME ELABORATE ON THE STUDY WHICH DR.
4 JUDY SHIZURU IS CARRYING OUT AT STANFORD, AND THAT
5 IS A CHEMOTHERAPY-FREE CONDITION REGIMEN FOR STEM
6 CELL TRANSPLANTATION. THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS
7 STUDY IS BASED ON THE FACT THAT ALLOGENEIC
8 TRANSPLANT, THAT IS, USING THE STEM CELLS FROM A
9 HEALTHY INDIVIDUAL, IS ONLY FORM OF CURATIVE
10 TREATMENT FOR CERTAIN RARE CANCER AND BLOOD
11 DISEASES. UNFORTUNATELY IT COMES WITH A PRICE, AND
12 THAT IS THAT CHEMOTHERAPY AND RADIATION, WHICH IS
13 USED TO CREATE A SPACE IN THE BONE MARROW OF THE
14 PATIENT TO ACCEPT INCOMING DONOR CELLS, CREATES A
15 LOT OF SIDE EFFECTS. BECAUSE OF THIS, THESE
16 CURATIVE TREATMENTS, THAT'S BONE MARROW TRANSPLANT
17 FROM A DONOR, CANNOT BE USED FOR A LARGE NUMBER OF
18 PATIENTS WHICH OTHERWISE COULD BENEFIT FROM THESE
19 CURATIVE TREATMENTS.

20 SO WHAT DR. SHIZURU IS DOING IS THAT SHE
21 IS USING A NOVEL ANTIBODY BASE THAT'S A MONOCLONAL
22 ANTIBODY-BASED TREATMENT WHICH IS NONTOXIC. AND
23 HOPEFULLY IT WILL ENABLE THE POSSIBILITY OF REMOVING
24 THE RADIATION AND CHEMOTHERAPY AND HAVING A NONTOXIC
25 CONDITION REGIMEN FOR ALLOGENEIC BONE MARROW

1 TRANSPLANTATION. THIS CLINICAL TRIAL HAS NOW
2 STARTED ENROLLING THE PATIENTS. I'LL BE UPDATING ON
3 THE CLINICAL RESULTS HOPEFULLY THE NEXT TIME.

4 THE NEXT CLINICAL TRIAL THAT I WOULD LIKE
5 TO GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ABOUT IS CARRIED OUT BY DR.
6 DON KOHN, AND THIS IS IN COLLABORATION WITH A
7 BIOTECH, ORCHARD BIOTHERAPEUTICS. THERE THE GENETIC
8 DISEASE IS AN INHERITED GENETIC DISEASE WHICH IS DUE
9 TO A MUTATION IN AN ENZYME ADA, THAT IS, ADENOSINE
10 DEAMINASE, WHICH IS A CRUCIAL ENZYME REQUIRED FOR
11 MAKING A FUNCTIONAL IMMUNE SYSTEM CELL. BECAUSE
12 THESE CHILDREN WHICH ARE BORN WITH THIS INHERITED
13 DISEASE CANNOT MAKE FUNCTIONAL IMMUNE SYSTEM, THEY
14 ARE KEPT IN ISOLATION AND SOMETIMES CALLED BUBBLE
15 BABY DISEASE. SO THIS PARTICULAR CLINICAL TRIAL IS
16 NOW PROGRESSING AND ACTUALLY IS ONE OF THE MOST
17 ADVANCED CLINICAL TRIALS THAT WE ARE FUNDING. THIS
18 IS A REGISTRATION TRIAL TO GET PERMISSION FROM THE
19 FDA TO HAVE THIS TREATMENT AVAILABLE TO ALL THE
20 PATIENTS.

21 SO FAR DR. KOHN HAS ENROLLED AND TREATED
22 MORE THAN 40 PATIENTS IN THIS CLINICAL TRIAL,
23 INCLUDING THE NINE PATIENTS WHICH ARE TREATED THIS
24 YEAR AND IS PROGRESSING TOWARDS THE BLA FILING. THE
25 RESULTS OF THIS CLINICAL TRIAL HAS BEEN REMARKABLE.

1 THERE'S HUNDRED PERCENT SURVIVAL OF THE PATIENTS WHO
2 HAVE BEEN TREATED SO FAR, AND THERE IS ALSO EFFICACY
3 AS WELL AS THE SAFETY OF THESE PATIENTS.

4 THIS IS ONE OF THE PATIENTS WHOM YOU HAVE
5 MET IN LAST BOARD MEETING, EVIE. SHE WAS TREATED IN
6 2012 WHEN SHE WAS FEW MONTHS OLD. NOW EVIE IS SIX
7 YEARS OLD AND IS THRIVING.

8 THE NEXT CLINICAL TRIAL THAT I WILL TRY TO
9 GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ABOUT IS ANOTHER IMMUNE
10 DEFICIENCY SYNDROME WHICH IS X-CGD, WHICH X-CGD,
11 THAT IS X-LINKED CHRONIC GRANULOMATOUS DISEASE. IN
12 THIS PARTICULAR DISEASE, THE GENE WHICH IS AFFECTED
13 IS DIFFERENT THAN THE ADA SCID, WHICH I PROVIDED YOU
14 UPDATE PREVIOUSLY. SO HERE THE ENZYME WHICH IS
15 AFFECTED MAKES IT DIFFICULT FOR THE NEUTROPHIL, THAT
16 IS THE TYPE OF WHITE BLOOD CELLS, WHICH ARE
17 RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGHTING BACTERIAL AND FUNGAL
18 INFECTIONS. SO THESE CHILDREN WHICH ARE BORN HAVE
19 VERY LITTLE OR NO IMMUNE SYSTEM. AND ONLY CURATIVE
20 TREATMENT FOR THEM IS A TRANSPLANT.

21 SO THIS IS A MULTICENTER CLINICAL TRIAL IN
22 WHICH DR. KOHN, ALONG WITH HIS COLLABORATORS FROM
23 NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF HEALTH AND BOSTON CHILDREN'S,
24 ARE TREATING THESE PATIENTS WITH AUTOLOGOUS STEM
25 CELL GENE THERAPY IN WHICH THE GENE IS BEING

1 CORRECTED AND GIVEN BACK TO THESE PATIENTS.

2 DR. KOHN AND HIS TEAM HAS ENROLLED FIVE
3 PATIENTS IN THIS CLINICAL TRIAL, AND ALL THESE FIVE
4 PATIENTS SHOW THE ENGRAFTMENT OF GENE-MODIFIED STEM
5 CELLS AS WELL AS IT SHOWS THE EFFICACY FROM THE
6 POINT OF VIEW THAT THE WHITE BLOOD CELLS IN THESE
7 PATIENTS ARE NOW ABLE TO PRODUCE THE ENZYME AND SHOW
8 THE FUNCTIONAL ACTIVITY. SO THE SAFETY OF THESE
9 PATIENTS HAVE BEEN SHOWN. THERE ARE FIVE PATIENTS
10 WHICH HAVE BEEN TREATED. THE FIRST PATIENT IS NOW
11 ALMOST TWO YEARS OUT OF TRANSPLANTATION AND IS DOING
12 WELL. OTHER THREE PATIENTS ARE AT LEAST ONE YEAR OR
13 LESS.

14 SO ONE OF THE PATIENTS IS NO. 5, WHICH WAS
15 TREATED LAST MONTH, HAS SOME SAE, THAT IS, SEVERE
16 ADVERSE EVENT, WHICH APPEARS TO BE DUE TO HIS
17 UNDERLYING DISEASE. BUT TO BE VERY CAUTIOUS, DR.
18 KOHN HAS CURRENTLY SUSPENDED THIS CLINICAL TRIAL TO
19 ENROLL THE NEXT PATIENT TILL THE DATA MONITORING
20 BOARD AND SAFETY MONITORING BOARD AND THE FDA HAS A
21 CHANCE TO REVIEW THE RESULTS AND THEN ALLOW THEM TO
22 PROCEED.

23 AT THE MOMENT DR. KOHN HAS FIVE PATIENTS
24 WHICH ARE IN THE LINE WHICH HAVE CONSENTED AND ARE
25 WILLING TO GET -- WHO ARE INTERESTED IN

1 PARTICIPATING IN THIS CLINICAL TRIAL.

2 SO THIS IS ONE OF THE PATIENTS, THE FIRST
3 PATIENT WHICH WAS TREATED IN THIS CLINICAL TRIAL.

4 AND NOW THIS BRANDON IS TWO YEARS OUT, AND HE'S
5 DOING WELL. AND YOU HAD A CHANCE TO MEET WITH HIM
6 LAST YEAR WHEN HE WAS AT THE ICOC MEETING.

7 THE NEXT TRIAL WHICH I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE
8 YOU AN UPDATE ABOUT IS A COLLABORATION BETWEEN ST.
9 JUDE'S CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL AND UCSF. THE
10 INVESTIGATOR IN THIS CASE IS BRIAN SORRENTINO FROM
11 ST. JUDE AND MORT COWAN FROM UCSF.

12 THE DISEASE HERE IS X-CGD, THE X-LINKED
13 CHRONIC GRANULOMATOUS DISEASE, IS ALSO AN IMMUNE
14 DEFICIENCY DISEASE. AGAIN, IN THIS CASE THE GENE
15 WHICH IS AFFECTED IS DIFFERENT. THIS IS R2
16 RECEPTOR, AND THAT IS BECAUSE OF THE GENE DEFECT IN
17 THESE PATIENTS WHICH ARE BORN, THEY CANNOT PRODUCE
18 FUNCTIONAL DT AND NK CELLS, WHICH ARE THE FIRST
19 LINE -- WHICH ARE THE SOLDIERS OF THE IMMUNE SYSTEM.
20 AND BECAUSE OF THIS IMMUNE DEFICIENCY, IT IS
21 CATASTROPHIC. THESE PATIENTS CANNOT MAKE MORE THAN
22 ONE YEAR OF THEIR LIFE IN SOME CASES.

23 SO WHAT DR. BRIAN SORRENTINO AND MORT
24 COWAN IS USING PATIENT'S OWN STEM CELLS AND GENE
25 MODIFYING THEM AND GIVING BACK TO THE PATIENT. IN

1 THIS CASE THEY HAVE ENROLLED SIX PATIENTS SO FAR.
2 TWO OF THEM HAVE BEEN ENROLLED AT UCSF, AND THESE
3 CHILDREN ARE FROM FOUR TO SIX MONTHS OLD. AND THE
4 EVIDENCE OF THE RESTORATION OF THE IMMUNE FUNCTION
5 HAS BEEN THE SAFETY OF THESE PATIENTS HAS BEEN SHOWN
6 SO FAR.

7 AND THE TWO PATIENTS WHICH ARE TREATED AT
8 UCSF ACTUALLY HAS GONE BACK HOME, AND THEY ARE NO
9 LONGER REQUIRING ANY ISOLATION. AND THIS IS THE
10 BABY RONNIE WHICH GOT TREATED AT UCSF, AND WE ARE
11 ACTUALLY FORTUNATE TO HAVE THE FATHER OF BABY RONNIE
12 PRESENT HERE, PRIYANK, AND HE WILL BE TALKING TO THE
13 BOARD AFTER MY PRESENTATION. SO YOU WILL RATHER
14 HEAR FROM HIM THAN ME. SO I WILL TRY TO WRAP UP IT
15 VERY, VERY QUICKLY SO THAT YOU WILL HAVE A CHANCE TO
16 MEET THE DAD OF BABY RONNIE.

17 SO NEXT CLINICAL TRIAL THAT I'D LIKE TO
18 GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ABOUT IS ABOUT SICKLE CELL
19 DISEASE. AND THIS IS A DISEASE WHICH IS
20 DEVASTATING. I DON'T HAVE TO TELL YOU ABOUT THAT.
21 IT AFFECTS HUNDRED THOUSAND INDIVIDUALS IN U.S. AND
22 MILLIONS OF PEOPLE IN WORLDWIDE. AND THERE'S NO
23 CURATIVE TREATMENT FOR THIS DISEASE. IT
24 DISPROPORTIONATELY AFFECTS AFRICAN AMERICAN
25 POPULATION AS WELL AS THE HISPANIC POPULATION. SO

1 IT IS A TRUE UNMET MEDICAL NEED.

2 DR. KOHN IS USING A STEM CELL GENE THERAPY
3 TRIAL IN THIS CASE. THIS IS A GENE ADDITION. SO
4 HE'S ADDING A GENE WHICH INHIBITS THE SICKLING OF
5 THE RED CELLS. SO THIS PARTICULAR TRIAL IS NOW
6 PROGRESSING. IN THIS PARTICULAR CLINICAL TRIAL, DR.
7 KOHN HAS ENROLLED FIRST PATIENT AND TREATED.

8 NOW, THIS CLINICAL TRIAL IS PROGRESSING
9 SLOWLY, AND THEN WE WOULD LIKE TO MOVE -- THE REASON
10 FOR THAT IS THE TECHNICAL CHALLENGE IN GENE
11 MODIFYING ENOUGH STEM CELLS SO THAT THEY CAN PRODUCE
12 ENOUGH OF THE HEMOGLOBIN IN THE PATIENT. AND THAT
13 IS ALSO RELATED TO THE GENE WHICH IS BEING ENROLLED.
14 THAT'S A HEMOGLOBIN GENE. THE GLOBIN GENE IS QUITE
15 A LARGE GENE TO BE GENE MODIFIED AND THEN PUT IT
16 BACK AND TO PRODUCE ENOUGH QUANTITY. COMPARED TO
17 OTHER GENE THERAPY CLINICAL TRIALS WHICH I MENTIONED
18 EARLIER, CGD OR ADA AND X-SCID, IN THOSE CASES THE
19 GENE IS SMALL AND EASY TO GENE MODIFY THE STEM CELLS
20 AND PRODUCE ENOUGH QUANTITY.

21 IN CASE OF SICKLE CELL DISEASE, BECAUSE OF
22 THE LARGE SIZE OF THE GENE, IT HAS BEEN CHALLENGING.
23 HOWEVER, I SHOULD TELL YOU THAT WHAT WE HAVE IN THIS
24 CASE IS AN EXAMPLE WHERE OUR CLINICAL ADVISORY PANEL
25 IS ABLE TO HELP TO OVERCOME THE TECHNICAL CHALLENGES

1 WHICH OUR TEAM IS FACING. SO IN THIS CASE, FOR ALL
2 OUR CLINICAL TRIALS, WE HAVE A CLINICAL ADVISORY
3 PANEL, AND THIS CLINICAL ADVISORY PANEL HELPS THE
4 TEAM IF THEY HAVE ANY PROBLEM OR CHALLENGES DURING
5 THE CLINICAL TRIAL. SO IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, THE
6 CLINICAL ADVISORY PANEL HELPED THE TEAM TO WORK ON
7 THE TECHNICAL CHALLENGE, AND NOW THEY'RE ABLE TO
8 PRODUCE THE LENTIVIRAL VECTOR WITH HIGH TRANSVECTION
9 EFFICIENCY SO THAT THEY CAN PRODUCE ENOUGH AMOUNT OF
10 GENE-MODIFIED STEM CELLS TO HAVE EFFICACY. SO THEY
11 ACTUALLY ARE NOW BACK ON THE TRACK, AND HOPEFULLY
12 THAT WILL ACCELERATE THIS PARTICULAR PROGRAM TO GO
13 TO NEXT STAGE.

14 I THINK THERE WAS DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT WE
15 CURRENTLY HAVE TWO OTHER PROGRAMS WHICH ARE FOR THE
16 SICKLE CELL DISEASE. ONE IS THE ONE WHICH YOU JUST
17 APPROVED. THAT IS A PRECLINICAL STUDY DOING
18 IND-ENABLING STUDIES USING CRISPR-CAS. IN THAT CASE
19 IT IS A GENE CORRECTION USING THE CRISPR APPROACH.
20 WE ALSO HAVE A PARTICULAR STUDY WHICH WE ARE
21 FUNDING, ANOTHER TEAM WHICH IS ALSO USING CRISPR
22 APPROACH TO DO THE GENE CORRECTION FOR SICKLE CELL
23 DISEASE, BUT THOSE PROGRAMS ARE LITTLE BIT BEHIND.
24 THIS PROGRAM IS THE MOST ADVANCED. IT'S AN OPEN
25 CLINICAL TRIAL.

1 ALSO THERE WAS A QUESTION ABOUT WHETHER
2 THERE'S ANY OTHER CLINICAL TRIALS WHICH ARE GOING ON
3 IN U.S. AT THE MOMENT THERE ARE THREE CLINICAL
4 TRIALS WHICH ARE PROGRESSING. ONE IS BLUEBIRD BIO
5 WHICH IS A BIOTECH COMPANY IN BOSTON, AND THEY HAVE
6 TREATED FEW PATIENTS. IN THAT CASE ALSO THEY HAD
7 DIFFICULTY. ONE OR TWO PATIENTS HAD GOOD AMOUNT OF
8 RED BLOOD CELLS PRODUCED ON THOSE PATIENTS ON SAFETY
9 AND EFFICACY WHILE THE OTHER PATIENTS HAD SOME
10 DIFFICULTY. SO IT HAS BEEN TECHNICAL CHALLENGE
11 USING HEMOGLOBIN.

12 THE NEXT CLINICAL TRIAL IS WHAT DR. KOHN
13 IS DOING. THIRD CLINICAL TRIAL WHICH IS PROGRESSING
14 IS CINCINNATI, AND THEY HAVE ENROLLED ONE PATIENT.
15 SO IT'S OPEN NOW, SO THEY HAVEN'T REALLY IN THE
16 PUBLIC SHOWN ANY CLINICAL RESULTS OF THAT CLINICAL
17 TRIAL. SO THOSE ARE THE THREE CLINICAL TRIALS WHICH
18 ARE CURRENTLY PROGRESSING AND MOVING TOWARD THAT.
19 AT THE MOMENT THERE IS NO CRISPR-BASED CLINICAL
20 TRIAL OR ZINC FINGER NUCLEAR-BASED CLINICAL TRIAL
21 FOR SICKLE CELL DISEASE. THERE'S SEVERAL PROGRAMS
22 WHICH ARE IN PRECLINICAL STAGE IN OTHER COMPANIES
23 AND OTHER UNIVERSITIES.

24 SO THIS PARTICULAR PROGRAM IS MOVING.
25 HOPEFULLY WILL PROVIDE SAFETY AS WELL AS THE

1 EFFICACY IN THESE PATIENTS.

2 THE NEXT CLINICAL TRIAL WHICH WE ARE
3 FUNDING WHICH JUST GOT STARTED. YOU APPROVED IT IN
4 LAST ICOC MEETING AND IT'S JUST GETTING STARTED.
5 THIS IS ABOUT, AGAIN, IT'S ANEMIA. THIS IS A DEADLY
6 BLOOD DISEASE, A GENETIC DISEASE IN WHICH CHILDREN
7 HAVE DIFFICULTY MAKING RED BLOOD CELLS. THIS IS
8 PROBABLY THE MOST INNOVATIVE APPROACH IN OUR
9 CLINICAL PORTFOLIO WHICH WE HAVE. WHAT TIPPI
10 MACKENZIE IS DOING IN THIS CASE IS THAT THESE
11 PATIENTS IN THIS CASE THE DEGENERATIVE DEFECT IS
12 IDENTIFIED VERY EARLY IN PREGNANCY. AND THE FETUS
13 IS UNABLE TO PRODUCE RED BLOOD CELLS BECAUSE OF GENE
14 MUTATION, AND ALMOST ALWAYS LEADS TO A STILL BIRTH.
15 AND THERE IS NO TREATMENT FOR THIS RARE GENETIC
16 DISEASE.

17 SO WHAT DR. TIPPI MACKENZIE IS DOING IN
18 THIS CASE IS THAT SHE IS TRANSFUSING MOTHER'S
19 BLOOD-FORMING STEM CELLS INTO THE FETUS. SO IT'S AN
20 IN UTERO TRANSPLANTATION, WHICH HAS NEVER BEEN DONE
21 BEFORE IN THIS CLINICAL INDICATION. AND THE
22 SCIENTIFIC RATIONALE FOR THAT IS THAT DURING THIS
23 STAGE OF FETAL DEVELOPMENT, THE FETUS HAS NOT
24 DEVELOPED ITS OWN MATURE IMMUNE SYSTEM. SO IT'S
25 ABLE TO ACCEPT THE DONOR STEM CELL WITHOUT REJECTING

1 THEM, WHICH DOES NOT HAPPEN AFTER THE BABY IS BORN.
2 SO THIS IS AN IN UTERO TRANSPLANTATION. THE WHOLE
3 IDEA IS THAT ONCE YOU TRANSPLANT THESE MOTHER'S
4 BLOOD-FORMING STEM CELLS, THEY WILL BE ABLE TO
5 PRODUCE THE RED BLOOD CELLS AND RESCUE THE FETUS IN
6 FULL TERM.

7 THIS PARTICULAR CLINICAL TRIAL IS JUST
8 GETTING STARTED OUT. ALTHOUGH IT'S BEEN TESTED IN
9 RARE GENETIC DISEASE; BUT IF THIS IN UTERO APPROACH
10 WORKS, THEN THAT WILL BE ADVANCEMENT IN THE AREA OF
11 GENETIC DISEASES AND ALL OTHER DISEASES. EVEN IN
12 SICKLE CELL DISEASE, IT MAY BE POSSIBLE THAT YOU
13 COULD DO IN UTERO TRANSPLANTATION, AND THE BABY CAN
14 BE BORN AND WILL BE A CURE FOR THESE RARE GENETIC
15 DISEASES.

16 THE LAST TRIAL WHICH I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE
17 YOU AN UPDATE ABOUT IS A STEM CELL GENE THERAPY FOR
18 HIV. AND THE SCIENTIFIC RATIONALE FOR THIS PROTOCOL
19 IS BASED ON THE BERLIN PATIENTS THAT MOST OF YOU ARE
20 FAMILIAR WITH. AND THE BERLIN PATIENT CASE WAS THIS
21 PARTICULAR GENTLEMAN HAD HIV, AND HE RECEIVED A STEM
22 CELL TRANSPLANT.

23 (SHORT INTERRUPTION.)

24 DR. TALIB: I THINK I SHOULD WRAP UP. SO
25 BASICALLY IN THIS CASE IT HAS BEEN SHOWN THAT THE

1 DONOR TRANSPLANT FROM A DONOR WHICH HAVE CCR5
2 NEGATIVE, WHICH IS A CORECEPTOR FOR HIV. IF YOU GET
3 A TRANSPLANT IN THIS PATIENT, THE BERLIN PATIENT WAS
4 CURED FROM HIS HIV. AND NOW IT'S 12 YEARS OR MORE
5 OUT, AND HE HAS NO SIGN OF HIV. SO IT IS POSSIBLE
6 TO GET A TRANSPLANT FROM A DONOR; HOWEVER, THAT IS A
7 RARE CASE THAT YOU COULD DO IT. SO WHAT IS DONE IN
8 THIS PARTICULAR CLINICAL TRIAL IS TAKING PATIENT'S
9 OWN BLOOD-FORMING STEM CELLS, GENE MODIFYING THEM TO
10 KNOCK OUT THE CCR5 USING SHRNA AND C46, WHICH IS
11 ANOTHER FUSION INHIBITOR, SO BASICALLY PROVIDING
12 RESISTANCE TO HIV. SO WHEN THESE GENE-MODIFIED STEM
13 CELLS ARE TRANSFERRED BACK TO THE PATIENT, THEY WILL
14 GENERATE IMMUNE SYSTEM WHICH WILL BE RESISTANT TO
15 HIV.

16 AND THIS CLINICAL TRIAL HAS NOW TREATED 12
17 PATIENTS IN TWO COHORTS AND HAS JUST COMPLETED THE
18 LAST PATIENT. SO THE ENROLLMENT AND THE TREATMENT
19 OF THE 12 PATIENTS HAS BEEN COMPLETED. THEY'RE ABLE
20 TO COMPLETE THIS CLINICAL TRIAL TO BASICALLY SHOW
21 THE SAFETY AND THE FEASIBILITY OF DOING IT BECAUSE
22 IT'S THE FIRST-IN-HUMAN CLINICAL TRIAL OF THIS TYPE
23 USING PATIENT'S OWN BLOOD-FORMING STEM CELLS.

24 NOW, SINCE THE CLINICAL TRIAL HAS JUST
25 STOPPED, THE DATA ANALYSIS IS GOING ON. SO I DON'T

1 HAVE ANY FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE OUTCOME OF
2 THIS CLINICAL STUDY; BUT HOPEFULLY, ONCE THE
3 CLINICAL DATA ANALYSIS IS COMPLETED, I WILL BE ABLE
4 TO REPORT BACK TO YOU IN THE NEXT UPDATE.

5 NOW, THIS PARTICULAR COMPANY, THAT'S
6 CAL-IMMUNE, IS NOW ACQUIRED BY CSL BEHRING. THIS IS
7 AN AUSTRALIAN COMPANY, AND THEY ARE COMMITTED TO
8 FINISH THE CIRM-FUNDED CLINICAL TRIAL.

9 I THINK THAT COMPLETES MY PRESENTATION.
10 AGAIN, THE GOAL OF THE CIRM, THE MISSION OF CIRM IS
11 TO DEVELOP STEM CELL TREATMENTS FOR PATIENTS WITH
12 UNMET MEDICAL NEED. I'LL BE HAPPY TO TAKE ANY
13 QUESTIONS WHICH YOU MIGHT HAVE.

14 DR. STEWARD: I'M JUST CURIOUS ON THAT
15 LAST TRIAL, WHAT'S ITS OUTLOOK FOR THE FUTURE GIVEN
16 THE TRANSITION AND OWNERSHIP, SO TO SPEAK?

17 DR. TALIB: I'M AFRAID I CANNOT REALLY
18 COMMENT. ONLY THING WE KNOW THAT CSL BEHRING IS
19 COMMITTED TO FINISH THE CIRM CLINICAL TRIAL PORTION
20 OF IT, AND THE REASON THEY HAVE ACQUIRED THIS
21 COMPANY IS HOPEFULLY TO SEED ASSETS IN THIS
22 PARTICULAR AREA. I'M AFRAID I CANNOT REALLY COMMENT
23 IN TERMS OF WHAT WILL HAPPEN.

24 WE HAVE TWO OTHER CLINICAL TRIALS WHICH
25 ARE PROCEEDING, AND THEY'RE USING A DIFFERENT

1 APPROACH. THE IDEA IS THE SAME, TO HAVE STEM CELLS
2 GENE THERAPY FOR FUNCTIONAL CURE FOR HIV, AND THOSE
3 TWO CLINICAL TRIALS ARE PROCEEDING. THE ONE WHICH
4 IS PARTIALLY FUNDED BY SANGAMO BIOSCIENCES, THE
5 COMPANY IN RICHMOND HERE, AND THEY PROCEEDING
6 BECAUSE THEY HAVE OTHER CLINICAL TRIALS FOR HIV
7 USING PATIENT'S T-CELLS, AND THIS IS THE FIRST TIME
8 THEY ARE USING HEMATOPOIETIC STEM CELLS. HOPEFULLY
9 THEY WILL BE ABLE TO PROCEED AND TAKE THAT TRIAL,
10 AND THAT'S ALMOST COMPLETING, SO THEY WILL BE ABLE
11 TO TAKE IT TO NEXT STAGE.

12 DR. STEWARD: BUT NO ONE ELSE IS TARGETING
13 THE RECEPTOR IN ANY OF THE APPROACHES; IS THAT
14 RIGHT?

15 DR. TALIB: SO THERE HAVE BEEN -- CCR5
16 RECEPTOR HAS BEEN TARGETED IN T-CELLS BY SANGAMO BY
17 USING THE T-CELL. AND THAT HAS GONE INTO PHASE 1
18 AND PHASE 2 CLINICAL TRIALS. THERE HAVE BEEN SOME
19 OF THE PRECLINICAL STUDIES IN WHICH PEOPLE HAVE USED
20 CCR5 TARGETING, AND THOSE CLINICAL TRIALS, AGAIN,
21 BASICALLY SHOW THAT IT IS POSSIBLE THAT YOU COULD
22 GENERATE A RESTRICTION TO HIV INFECTION, BUT THE
23 CLINICAL TRIALS ARE THE ONLY ONES WHICH WILL SHOW
24 WHETHER THAT CAN REALLY LEAD TO A FUNCTIONAL CURE
25 FOR HIV.

1 OTHER APPROACHES PEOPLE ARE USING, AGAIN,
2 USING CRISPR TO KNOCK OUT THE CCR5 DIRECTLY INTO THE
3 STEM CELLS OR IN VIVO. SO THOSE ARE ADVANCEMENTS,
4 BUT THOSE CLINICAL TRIALS IS NOT AT THE CLINICAL
5 STAGE AT THE MOMENT.

6 DR. LUBIN: THANKS FOR THE PRESENTATION.
7 AS YOU KNOW, I'VE BEEN INTERESTED IN SICKLE CELL
8 ANEMIA. BUT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT'S UNIQUE ABOUT
9 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IS THAT WE STARTED NEWBORN
10 SCREENING FOR SICKLE CELL. SO WE KNOW EVERY CHILD
11 WITH SICKLE CELL WHEN THEY'RE BORN. AND THEN THEY
12 GET EVALUATED BY THE GENETIC DISEASE PROGRAM. MANY
13 OF THE KIDS COME TO OUR PLACE IN OAKLAND FOR
14 EVALUATION AND ENROLL THEM IN PROGRAMS.

15 TRANSPLANTS ARE EASIER TO DO IN YOUNG
16 CHILDREN FOR A VARIETY OF REASONS. GIVEN THE
17 TECHNOLOGIES THAT HAVE BEEN APPROVED OR THOUGHT
18 ABOUT, WHETHER IT'S CRISPR-CAS9 OR WHATEVER THEY
19 ARE, WE HAVE AN IDEAL POSITION IN THE STATE OF
20 CALIFORNIA TO BE THE LEADERS IN THE WORLD TO ADDRESS
21 THIS WORLDWIDE GENETIC DISEASE. AND I JUST WANT THE
22 BOARD TO KNOW, BECAUSE YOU'VE APPROVED A FAIR NUMBER
23 OF SICKLE CELL-RELATED THINGS, THAT THIS IS A STATE
24 THAT REALLY HAS DEVOTED ENORMOUS AMOUNT OF ATTENTION
25 TO THAT DISEASE AND TO ITS TREATMENT. AND I WANT TO

1 CONGRATULATE YOU AND JUST ACKNOWLEDGE THAT WITH THE
2 WORK THAT SOHEL JUST PRESENTED.

3 DR. JUELGAARD: JUST A QUESTION ABOUT THE
4 KOHN SCID TRIAL. SO IF I UNDERSTAND IT CORRECTLY,
5 ON THE FIRST SLIDE, THEY WERE LOOKING TO ENROLL TEN
6 PATIENTS; IS THAT RIGHT?

7 DR. TALIB: THAT'S RIGHT. IF YOU'RE
8 ASKING ABOUT ADA SCID TRIALS, YES, THEY ARE PLANNING
9 TO HAVE TEN PATIENTS. AND THEY HAVE DONE NINE
10 PATIENTS SO FAR. SO THEY ARE VERY CLOSE TO
11 COMPLETING IT.

12 MR. JUELGAARD: THAT WAS GOING TO BE MY
13 QUESTION. DO YOU HAVE ANY SENSE OF WHEN IT MIGHT BE
14 COMPLETED? WHAT IS THE FOLLOW-UP TIME PERIOD? AND
15 WHEN MIGHT WE KNOW, THEN, WHETHER WE HAVE A POSITIVE
16 REGISTRATION TRIAL OR NOT?

17 DR. TALIB: PERHAPS -- I'M NOT SURE
18 WHETHER IT'S PUBLIC INFORMATION BECAUSE ORCHARD
19 BIOTHERAPEUTICS IS INVOLVED. SO, COUNSEL, LET ME
20 KNOW WHETHER I CAN --

21 MR. TOCHER: IF YOU'RE NOT SURE --

22 DR. MILLAN: WE CAN GET THAT INFORMATION.

23 DR. TALIB: WE CAN GET THAT INFORMATION.
24 FROM OUR POINT OF VIEW, THAT CLINICAL TRIAL IS
25 ALMOST READY TO FINISH. THEY ARE PLANNING TO FINISH

1 IT THIS YEAR; THAT IS, OUR TEN PATIENTS. AND,
2 AGAIN, IT'S PUBLIC INFORMATION IN TERMS OF THEY ARE
3 PLANNING TO FILE A BLA. WHEN WILL THAT HAPPEN? I'M
4 AFRAID I MAY NOT BE ABLE TO REVEAL THAT.

5 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OTHER COMMENTS BY
6 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? THANKS, SOHEL. THAT WAS VERY
7 WELL DONE AND A VERY EXCITING COMPONENT OF A GREAT
8 PORTFOLIO. SO WE ALWAYS APPRECIATE YOU COMING TO
9 PRESENT.

10 WE ARE TRANSITIONING BACK TO DR. RING TO
11 INTRODUCE OUR PATIENT ADVOCATE SPEAKER, WHO HAS A
12 DAUGHTER WHOSE PICTURE IS UP ON SCREEN HERE. SON
13 WITH CURLS.

14 DR. RING: IT'S MY PLEASURE TO INTRODUCE
15 PRIOSH PRIYANK, WHO IS THE FATHER OF THIS ADORABLE
16 SON NAMED RONNIE. AND HE'S GOING TO SPEAK TODAY
17 ABOUT HIS FAMILY'S EXPERIENCE IN THE UCSF/ST. JUDE
18 SCID TRIAL. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR BEING HERE.

19 MR. PRIYANK: HI. THANK YOU FOR INVITING
20 ME OVER HERE AGAIN AND KEVIN. I'M REALLY EXCITED.
21 I WASN'T SURE THAT IT WOULD BE SO MUCH OVERWHELMING,
22 BUT I'M REALLY HAPPY THAT I AM HERE AND TRYING TO
23 SHARE.

24 DR. DIXON: COULD I ASK THAT YOU SPEAK UP
25 A LITTLE BIT OR GET CLOSER TO THE MIC?

1 MR. PRIYANK: RONNIE WAS BORN ON MARCH 9,
2 2017. WE WERE AT MERCY FOLSOM HOSPITAL. WE WERE
3 VERY EXCITED. ALL THE PRENATAL SCREENINGS OR
4 WHATEVER SCREENING THAT WE DO BEFORE A CHILD IS BORN
5 CAME VERY, VERY GOOD. EVERYTHING WAS PERFECTLY
6 NORMAL. AND WHEN HE WAS DISCHARGED FROM MERCY
7 FOLSOM, HE WAS ABSOLUTELY NORMAL. EVERYTHING WAS
8 VERY GOOD UNTIL WE RECEIVED A CALL FROM OUR
9 PEDIATRICIAN IN FOLSOM SAYING THAT THE SCID, WHICH
10 WE DON'T KNOW WHAT IT'S ABOUT, HE SAID IT'S COMING
11 OUT TO BE POSITIVE, SO CAN YOU GO BACK TO THE
12 HOSPITAL AND GIVE A SAMPLE OF BLOOD AGAIN.

13 SO WE STARTED DOING GOOGLE ABOUT THE SCID.
14 INITIALLY WE WROTE IT LIKE S-K-I-D, AND THEN WE
15 REALIZED IT'S ACTUALLY SCID. PEOPLE CALL IT SCID
16 HERE. IT WAS VERY, VERY THREATENING. IT WAS VERY,
17 VERY -- EVERYTHING THAT WIKIPEDIA SAYS, EVERYTHING
18 THAT GOOGLE SAYS IS KIND OF VERY SCARY ABOUT SCID.

19 WE KNOW THAT HE DIDN'T HAVE THE IMMUNE
20 SYSTEM, SO WE WENT BACK TO THE HOSPITAL. IT CAME
21 OUT AGAIN TO BE POSITIVE. THEN WE RECEIVED A CALL
22 FROM UCSF AND DOCTORS VERY KIND ENOUGH TO RECEIVE
23 THE NEWBORN SCREENING. WE ARE VERY GRATEFUL THAT WE
24 ARE IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. WE LIVE IN
25 CALIFORNIA AND THE NEWBORN SCREENING IS HERE. THAT

1 SAVED HIS LIFE.

2 WE CAME TO UCSF AS SOON AS DOCTOR SAID YOU
3 MIGHT HAVE TO COME AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. SO WE
4 RUSHED BY TWO HOURS AND THEN HE WAS ADMITTED HERE.
5 THEY SAID HE'S AN X-LINKED SCID. IT MEANS HE
6 DOESN'T HAVE T-CELLS. HE WOULDN'T HAVE THE NK CELLS
7 AND B CELLS AS WELL, BUT WE'RE NOT SURE. I THINK
8 THEY ARE STILL DOING THE RESEARCH. HE HAS NK CELLS
9 AND HE HAS B CELLS, BUT DOESN'T HAVE T-CELLS. HE
10 DIDN'T HAVE T-CELLS AT THAT POINT OF TIME.

11 WE WERE INTRODUCED WITH BONE MARROW
12 TRANSPLANT AS WELL AS THE TALKS OF GENE THERAPY WAS
13 GOING ON, BUT THEY SAID HE BEING X-LINKED SCID FROM
14 INDIA, WE ARE FROM INDIA, SO THEY SAID MAYBE THE
15 GENE THERAPY MIGHT NOT BE AVAILABLE FOR HIM BECAUSE
16 THAT TIME THEY WERE NOT SURE HE WAS X-LINKED SCID.
17 LATER ON AFTER ALL THE HLA MATCHING AND ALL THOSE
18 KINDS OF THING, THEY SAID HE IS X-LINKED, AND WE ARE
19 VERY FORTUNATE THAT HE COULD BE TREATED WITH THE
20 GENE THERAPY AS WELL IF WE ARE OKAY WITH THAT.

21 WE AGAIN STARTED GOOGLING ABOUT IT. AND
22 THE KIND OF WEB PAGES THAT CAME UP WAS VERY POSITIVE
23 ABOUT THE GENE THERAPY COMPARED TO BONE MARROW
24 TRANSPLANT BECAUSE IN BONE MARROW TRANSPLANT WE WERE
25 HEARING ABOUT THE GRAFT VERSUS HOST SITUATION AND

1 LOT OF OTHER PROBLEMS GOING ON. SO WE STARTED DOING
2 RESEARCH ON GENE THERAPY FOR THE X-LINKED SCID, AND
3 IT WAS VERY HOPEFUL. MOST OF THE PEOPLE, THEY WERE
4 TALKING ABOUT ADA-SCID AT UCLA, WHICH IS GOING VERY
5 WELL. THE OTHER KIND OF SCIDS WERE ALSO BEING
6 TREATED WITH GENE THERAPY AND WAS GOING VERY WELL.

7 DOCTORS WERE VERY KIND ENOUGH TO INTRODUCE
8 US WITH ONE OF THE OTHER PATIENT FROM SACRAMENTO,
9 ONE OF THE OTHER PATIENT FROM OTHER AREAS, AND
10 EVERYTHING WAS GOING WELL. SO WE SIGNED THE
11 CONSENT, AND NOW HE'S A VERY, VERY HEALTHY BABY. HE
12 HAS PERFECTLY GOOD T-CELLS. HE HAS PERFECTLY GOOD
13 IMMUNE SYSTEM NOW. WE ARE STILL WAITING FOR OTHER
14 CELLS TO COME UP, BUT HE IS DOING VERY GOOD. WE
15 WERE DISCHARGED TWO WEEKS AGO BACK TO OUR HOME, AND
16 HE'S DOING VERY WELL. I HAVE FEW PICTURES OF HIM
17 OVER HERE.

18 SO THIS IS THE DAY WHEN -- THIS IS LIKE
19 BEFORE YESTERDAY, I GUESS, WHEN HE HAD HIS FIRST
20 SOLID MEAL, RICE CEREAL WITH FORMULA MILK. THESE
21 ARE ALL OF HIS PICTURES. THIS IS HIM EATING THE
22 FIRST SOLID FEED. THIS IS THE DAY WHEN WE GOT
23 DISCHARGED FROM THE HOSPITAL. SO WE WERE TOLD TO
24 HAVE HIM IN THE MASK BECAUSE THE NUMBERS WERE STILL
25 VERY GOOD, BUT STILL WE WANTED TO TAKE CARE OF HIM,

1 STILL WE WANT TO MAKE HIM LIKE IN KIND OF ISOLATION,
2 BUT HE'S GOOD NOW.

3 THE GENE THERAPY IS KIND OF WORKING VERY,
4 VERY GOOD, AND WE ARE VERY HOPEFUL ABOUT IT. AS
5 SOON AS WE HEARD THAT THERE WILL BE A THING, LIKE
6 THE STEM CELLS WOULD BE COLLECTED OUT OF HIM, THEN
7 IT WILL BE CORRECTED WITH THE LENTIVIRAL AND THEN
8 WILL BE GIVEN BACK TO HIM, WE WERE KIND OF VERY
9 HOPEFUL WITH THE KIND OF TREATMENT PROCEDURE THAT
10 THEY WERE DESCRIBING. IT WAS KIND OF VERY HOPEFUL,
11 AND WE WERE PERFECTLY SURE THAT WE NEED TO SIGN IT
12 UP.

13 BONE MARROW TRANSPLANT FROM THE BEGINNING
14 SOUNDED VERY KIND OF SCARY. LIKE, WE KNEW THAT I
15 WAS GOING TO BE THE DONOR. AND WHEN MY STEM CELLS
16 GOES INTO MY SON, IT MIGHT WORK VERY WELL FOR HIM.
17 BUT, YOU KNOW, IT COULD BE OVERWHELMING FOR HIM OR
18 COULD NOT WORK FOR HIM. SO GENE THERAPY SOMEHOW
19 SOUNDED VERY RELIEVING, AND WE KNEW THAT IT WOULD
20 DEFINITELY WORK FOR HIM. IT'S WORKING VERY WELL.
21 AND HIS B CELLS COUNT ARE ALSO COMING UP. HIS
22 T-CELLS ARE VERY, VERY GOOD. LAST WE HEARD FROM THE
23 DOCTORS WERE THE AVERAGE POPULATION IN THE WORLD,
24 THE T-CELLS ARE LIKE 53 PERCENT WORKING; BUT FOR
25 HIM, THE FIRST TEST WHEN THE FUNCTIONAL TEST CAME

1 BACK, IT WAS LIKE 59 PERCENT OF THIS T-CELLS WERE
2 WORKING. SO WE WERE LIKE IS IT REALLY WORKING
3 BEYOND HUMAN LEVEL, OR IS IT LIKE -- THEY SAID IT'S
4 REALLY, REALLY GOOD. IT'S VERY GOOD NEWS.

5 HERE ARE SOME OF HIS PICTURES. THIS IS
6 THE PICTURE MY WIFE DESIGNED ON THE DAY WHEN WE
7 RECEIVED THE FIRST T-CELLS. AND THE FIRST T-CELLS
8 THAT WE RECEIVED, I THINK THE COUNT WERE LIKE 129,
9 WHICH IS REALLY, REALLY GOOD. AND THESE ARE THE
10 T-CELLS. MY WIFE JUST DESIGNED IT SAYING DID THE
11 T-CELLS COME? YAY, I CAN SEE SOME NUMBERS. BUT,
12 YEAH, HE'S DOING VERY GOOD. THANK YOU FOR THE TEAM
13 HERE --

14 (APPLAUSE.)

15 MR. PRIYANK: -- WHO HAS DONE EVERYTHING.
16 THANK YOU.

17 DR. DIXON: NICE STORY. WHAT A REALLY
18 NICE STORY.

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
20 COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? I THINK THAT
21 STORY SPOKE FOR ITSELF. THAT'S FANTASTIC.
22 CONGRATULATIONS.

23 MR. PRIYANK: THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY
24 MUCH.

25 DR. LUBIN: SO THAT WAS A BEAUTIFUL STORY.

1 JUST LIKE SICKLE CELL, NOW WE SCREEN ALL NEWBORNS
2 FOR IMMUNE DEFICIENCY AT THE TIME THEY' RE BORN IN
3 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. AND THE DISCOVERY OF THAT
4 TECHNOLOGY WAS AT UCSF. AND I THINK CIRM MIGHT HAVE
5 BEEN PART OF HOW THAT GOT STARTED. BUT THIS IS
6 GOING TO BE A MORE COMMON THING AS WE' LL SEE MORE
7 KIDS THAT ARE AFFECTED THAN WE DID BEFORE BECAUSE
8 THEY' RE PICKED UP AS PART OF NEWBORN SCREENING.

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. THANKS VERY
10 MUCH.

11 MR. PRIYANK: THANK YOU, EVERYONE.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. GOING TO JUMP
13 BACK TO ITEM NO. 9, CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT OF
14 NEW SCIENTIFIC MEMBERS TO THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP.
15 DR. SAMBRANO.

16 DR. SAMBRANO: THIS SHOULD BE A QUICK
17 ITEM. THERE' S NO SLIDES FOR THIS. THIS IS
18 REGARDING APPOINTMENT OF NEW MEMBERS TO THE GRANTS
19 WORKING GROUP. SO WE ARE PROPOSING THREE NEW
20 MEMBERS. THEY ARE DRS. KRISHANU SAHA, CHRISTOPHER
21 SCULL, AND KHALID SHAH. SO THEIR BIOS WERE PROVIDED
22 TO YOU IN THE MATERIALS. SO IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS,
23 HAPPY TO ADDRESS THEM.

24 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HEARING NONE, I THINK WE
25 CAN HAVE A VOICE VOTE WITH POLLING MEMBERS ON THE

1 PHONE.

2 DR. STEWARD: MOVE APPROVAL.

3 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: IT'S BEEN MOVED BY DR.
4 STEWARD.

5 DR. DEAS: SECOND.

6 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SECONDED BY DR. DEAS.

7 ANY COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC? HEARING
8 NONE, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A VOICE VOTE AND POLLING
9 THOSE ON THE PHONE. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY
10 AYE. OPPOSED? ABSTENTIONS? MARIA, PLEASE CALL THE
11 ROLL.

12 MS. BONNEVILLE: JACK DIXON.

13 DR. DIXON: AFFIRMATIVE.

14 MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.

15 DR. GASSON: AYE.

16 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.

17 DR. MELMED: AYE.

18 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.

19 MR. PANETTA: YES.

20 MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.

21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. ON TO ITEM
22 10, CONSIDERATION OF FINAL ADOPTION OF NEW IP RULES
23 FOR NEW AWARDS. MR. JUELSGAARD.

24 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO GOING BACK TO THE
25 BEGINNING OF THE YEAR, MR. TOCHER IS GOING TO TALK

1 TO US, MADE A PROPOSAL FOR CHANGING OUR IP
2 REGULATIONS IN THE SPIRIT OF CIRM 2.0, TRYING TO
3 MAKE THEM A LITTLE MORE COHERENT AND EFFICIENT IN
4 THEIR OPERATION. AND SO WITH THAT, WE APPROVED
5 PUTTING FORTH THESE PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE
6 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY POLICY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.
7 AND WE'VE NOW HAD THREE ROUNDS OF COMMENTS. AND
8 WITH THAT, I THINK WE'RE READY TO MOVE FORWARD WITH
9 THE PROPOSAL TO AMEND OUR CURRENT IP POLICY. SO I'M
10 GOING TO ASK SCOTT TO REVIEW THE PROPOSED CHANGES
11 WITH US AND ALSO THE PATH THAT WE TOOK TO GET HERE.

12 MR. TOCHER: GREAT. THANK YOU, STEVE. SO
13 I WILL JUST REMIND THE BOARD OF THE GOALS OF THE
14 PROJECT. AS STEVE HAS SAID, AS THE CIRM TEAM HAS
15 DONE WITH OUR OTHER POLICIES AND RULES PURSUANT TO
16 2.0, WE WANT TO ENSURE THAT THE REVENUE SHARING
17 COMPONENTS OF OUR IP REGULATIONS ARE AS CLEAR AND
18 SELF-EXECUTING AS POSSIBLE.

19 SO BASICALLY AT THE END OF THE DAY, THE
20 TEST WAS THAT INTERPRETING THE RULES SHOULDN'T
21 DEPEND ON TO WHOM YOU'RE SPEAKING AT CIRM OR TO OUR
22 SCIENCE OFFICERS. SO PART OF MAKING THAT POSSIBLE
23 IS ENSURING THAT THE RULES USE OBJECTIVE INSTEAD OF
24 SUBJECTIVE STANDARDS WHERE POSSIBLE. AND THAT MEANS
25 THAT WE SHOULD BE EXPLICIT ABOUT STATING EXPECTED

1 OUTCOMES AS OPPOSED TO TRYING TO REQUIRE A TYPE OF
2 BEHAVIOR, SUCH AS USING REASONABLE EFFORTS TO
3 LICENSE, FOR INSTANCE.

4 AS WE'VE HEARD PRETTY UNIFORMLY SINCE
5 GOING BACK AS EARLY AS 2005 WHEN CIRM FIRST STARTED
6 ITS OUTREACH TO COMPILE ITS FIRST IP POLICY, WE
7 HEARD CONSISTENTLY AND SINCE FROM INDUSTRY THAT THEY
8 ARE ACTUALLY LESS CONCERNED ABOUT A GIVEN BALANCE
9 POINT OR ROYALTY RATE IN OUR REGULATIONS THAN THEY
10 ARE ABOUT THE PREDICTABILITY OF MAKING THAT
11 CALCULATION IN ADVANCE. SO WE WANTED OUR REVENUE
12 SHARING RULES TO BE SIMPLER TO CALCULATE PRIOR TO
13 TAKING AN AWARD AND PROVIDE CERTAINTY AND CONFIDENCE
14 IN THOSE CALCULATIONS.

15 AND, FINALLY, WE KNEW WE WOULD HAVE A GOOD
16 SYSTEM IN PLACE WHEN THE CIRM TEAM RESOURCES ARE
17 FOCUSED ON CIRM'S STRATEGIC MISSION RATHER THAN
18 EXPENDING OUR EFFORTS GRAPPLING WITH INTERPRETATION
19 OF OUR OWN RULES AND HOW THEY MAY APPLY IN A GIVEN
20 COMPLICATED SITUATION.

21 SO WITH THOSE CHALLENGES, THE NEW POLICY
22 IS COMPRISED OF A COUPLE OF STRUCTURAL FIXES. AND
23 THAT IS WE HAVE ELIMINATED THE DISPARATE TREATMENT
24 OF AWARDEES, AND WE NOW WILL TREAT ALL AWARDEES
25 ALIKE. AND WE DO THAT BY ELIMINATING THE CONCEPT OF

1 LICENSING REVENUE FOR ALL AWARDEES AND FOCUS INSTEAD
2 ON THE COMMERCIAL REVENUE CONCEPT, WHICH IS
3 CURRENTLY APPLICABLE ONLY TO OUR FOR-PROFIT
4 AWARDEES.

5 NOW, I WANT TO REMIND THE BOARD THAT IN
6 MAKING THESE CHANGES TO OUR REVENUE SHARING RULES,
7 WE HAVE MADE NO CHANGES TO OUR CURRENT ACCESS AND
8 PRICING PROVISIONS AS THOSE ARE PRESERVED. WE
9 BELIEVE THAT BY ELIMINATING THE LICENSING REVENUE
10 CONCEPT AND FOCUSING INSTEAD ON THE NOTION OF
11 COMMERCIAL SUCCESSES, WE BELIEVE WE CAN OPTIMIZE
12 CIRM'S REMAINING RESOURCES WHICH WILL ALLOW US TO
13 FOCUS ON CIRM'S STRATEGIC MISSION. AND THAT BY
14 SIMPLIFYING OUR REVENUE SHARING RULES, WE WILL MAKE
15 THEM EASIER TO UNDERSTAND, EASIER TO EXPLAIN, AND,
16 FINALLY, EASIER TO ADMINISTER.

17 AS A RESULT, WE BELIEVE THAT POTENTIAL
18 APPLICANTS WILL BE ABLE TO MORE ACCURATELY PREDICT
19 THE COST OF CIRM FUNDING AND, THUS, LIKELY MAKE OUR
20 PROGRAMS ACTUALLY MORE ATTRACTIVE TO FOLLOW-ON
21 INVESTMENT AND COMMERCIALIZATION.

22 SO AS STEVE JUST MENTIONED, THE PROPOSAL
23 HAS UNDERGONE THE PUBLIC COMMENT PHASE OF THE
24 REGULATORY ADOPTION PROCESS SINCE EARLIER THIS YEAR.
25 AND SUBSTANTIVE INPUT WAS PROVIDED BY STANFORD

1 UNIVERSITY AS WELL AS THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
2 OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT. OVER THE COURSE OF SEVERAL
3 MONTHS AND CORRESPONDENCE AS WELL AS SEVERAL
4 IN-PERSON MEETINGS WITH THESE MEMBERS OF OUR
5 REGULATED COMMUNITY, THE PROPOSAL WAS FURTHER
6 DEVELOPED AND REFINED TO ADDRESS THE CONCERNS THAT
7 THEY RAISE AS WELL AS TO IMPROVE THE POLICY.

8 I'M HAPPY TO REPORT THAT WE WERE ABLE TO
9 RESOLVE THEIR CONCERNS, AND THE COMMENTERS HAVE
10 INDICATED TO US THAT THEY SUPPORT THE REVISIONS.

11 SO WITH THE INPUT OF THE REGULATED
12 COMMUNITY AND, OF COURSE, THE GUIDANCE AND EXPERTISE
13 THAT STEVE HAS PROVIDED THE TEAM AS WE DEVELOPED
14 THIS POLICY, IT'S NOW READY FOR FINAL ADOPTION TODAY
15 BY THE BOARD. IF IT IS APPROVED TODAY, WE WILL THEN
16 SHIP THIS OFF TO THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW,
17 WHICH WILL CONDUCT ITS STATUTORY MANDATED REVIEW OF
18 THE REGULATIONS, WHICH TYPICALLY TAKES A COUPLE OF
19 MORE MONTHS.

20 BEN HUANG, WHO IS HERE IN THE AUDIENCE,
21 PLAYED AN INTEGRAL PART IN HELPING TEASE OUT
22 SOLUTIONS TO THE CONCERNS THAT WERE RAISED. SO HE'S
23 HERE. WE'RE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY
24 HAVE. BUT IN ANY EVENT, WE'D REQUEST A MOTION ON
25 THE LINES THAT IS HERE ON THE SLIDE ALONG WITH THE

1 FINDING THAT I HAVE HERE, WHICH IS A REQUIREMENT OF
2 LEGISLATION THAT ALLOWS THE AGENCY TO MAKE
3 AMENDMENTS TO ITS IP REGULATIONS. SO WITH THAT.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. TOCHER.
5 DO I HEAR A MOTION TO THAT EFFECT?

6 DR. JUELSGAARD: SO MOVED.

7 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MOVED BY MR. JUELSGAARD.
8 SECONDED BY --

9 DR. DULIEGE: SECOND.

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: -- DR. DULIEGE. ANY
11 COMMENTS BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD? PUBLIC COMMENT?

12 MR. REED: IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT
13 THE BUSINESS ABOUT STRIKING PROPOSITION 71 BALANCE
14 THE SHARE OF THE STATE REVENUE WITHOUT HINDERING
15 RESEARCH, I THOUGHT THAT WAS IN THE LANGUAGE OF THE
16 PROPOSITION. AND IF SO, CAN WE CHANGE THAT AND
17 SHOULD WE CHANGE THAT? I THOUGHT THAT WAS A VERY
18 IMPORTANT PIECE OF STRUCTURE. IS THAT SOMETHING
19 THAT CAN BE CHANGED BY THIS MANNER? I THOUGHT THAT
20 WAS IN THE ACTUAL CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.

21 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. TOCHER.

22 MR. TOCHER: WE'RE NOT AMENDING THE
23 LANGUAGE THAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO IN PROP 71 THAT
24 REQUIRES THE AGENCY TO BALANCE THE INTERESTS IN THE
25 STATE'S ABILITY TO BENEFIT FROM THE IP THAT IS

1 CREATED WHILE AT THE SAME TIME ENSURING THAT THE
2 RESEARCH IS NOT UNREASONABLY HINDERED. THAT
3 STATUTORY PROVISION WAS UNCHANGED. THERE WAS
4 LEGISLATION BACK IN 2010 THAT CODIFIED THE AGENCY'S
5 REVENUE SHARING PROVISIONS, BUT HAD AN ALLOWANCE
6 THAT THE AGENCY COULD NEVERTHELESS CONTINUE ITS
7 PROCESS OF FINE-TUNING THESE PROVISIONS SO LONG AS
8 IT MADE THE FINDING THAT IS INDICATED HERE.

9 MR. REED: SO THAT WOULD BE A FINE-TUNING?

10 MR. TOCHER: THAT'S RIGHT.

11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OTHER PUBLIC COMMENT?
12 ANOTHER VOICE VOTE PLUS ROLL CALL FOR THOSE ON THE
13 PHONE. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE SAY AYE. OPPOSED?
14 ABSTENTIONS? MARIA, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

15 MS. BONNEVILLE: JACK DIXON.

16 DR. DIXON: AYE.

17 MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.

18 DR. GASSON: AYE.

19 MS. BONNEVILLE: SHLOMO MELMED.

20 DR. MELMED: AYE.

21 MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA.

22 MR. PANETTA: YES.

23 MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.

24 MR. TOCHER: THANK YOU.

25 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. TOCHER.

1 AND TO, BEN, THANK YOU AS WELL. APPRECIATE YOUR
2 HARD WORK.

3 SO ITEM NO. 11 IS DEFERRED. THIS CLIN
4 BUDGET AGENDA ITEM WAS ORIGINALLY PLACED ON THE
5 AGENDA GIVEN THE HIGH VOLUME OF CLIN AWARDS IN THE
6 REVIEW PROCESS AND A RISK THAT THERE WOULD BE MORE
7 AWARDS RECOMMENDED THAN COULD BE COVERED IN THE 2017
8 ALLOCATION. THIS ITEM WILL NOW BE DEFERRED FOR A
9 COUPLE REASONS. NO. 1, AFTER THE SEPTEMBER GWG
10 REVIEW, THE TEAM HAS DETERMINED THAT THERE IS ENOUGH
11 ALLOTTED IN THE 2017 BUDGET TO COVER GWG
12 RECOMMENDATIONS THROUGH DECEMBER OF 2017.

13 NO. 2, IN ADDITION, RESEARCH BUDGET
14 SCENARIOS WILL BE DISCUSSED AT THE JOINT TRANSITION/
15 SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE MEETINGS IN NOVEMBER, WHICH
16 I'LL TELL YOU MORE ABOUT IN A MINUTE. INFORMED BY
17 THOSE DISCUSSIONS, THE CIRM TEAM WILL PRESENT A
18 BUDGET PROPOSAL TO THE BOARD IN DECEMBER 2017. SO
19 THAT IS THAT ITEM.

20 ITEM NO. 12 I'M ALSO GOING TO WORK INTO
21 STATEMENTS THAT I HAVE IN MY CHAIRMAN'S REPORT. SO
22 WE ARE DEFERRING THAT ITEM AS WELL.

23 BEFORE I GET TO THAT, WE'D LIKE TO HAVE
24 PUBLIC COMMENT -- JEANNE, ARE WE ALL PRESENT HERE --
25 BY A NUMBER OF PATIENT ADVOCATES AND OTHERS WHO

1 WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK TO THE BOARD.

2 MS. ROBB: HI. NICE TO SEE EVERYBODY.
3 NEW FACES TOO. MY NAME IS JENNIFER ROBB. I'VE HAD
4 PARKINSON'S FOR OVER -- DIAGNOSED FOR OVER 12 YEARS.
5 I BET YOU I'VE HAD IT FOR OVER 25. I REMEMBER MY
6 FIRST HAND FLUTTER. I ALSO REPRESENT
7 SUMMIT4STEMCELL FOUNDATION, AMERICANS FOR CURES, AND
8 THIS GROUP ALSO. I DO APPRECIATE CIRM IMMENSELY.
9 AND CONGRATULATIONS TO DR. MILLAN.

10 I'D LIKE TO EXPRESS OUR APPRECIATION FOR
11 THE SUPPORT THAT'S BESTOWED UPON THE PARKINSON'S
12 PROJECT AT THE LORING LAB BY CIRM. THANKS TO YOUR
13 SUPPORT, WE ARE THE GLOBAL LEADERS IN THIS
14 PARTICULAR ENDEAVOR REPLACING LOST DOPAMINE NEURONS
15 WITH BRAND NEW DNA-MATCHING DOPAMINE NEURONS.

16 I'D ALSO LIKE TO EXPRESS OUR GRATITUDE TO
17 THE ICOC AND THE STAFF FOR THEIR TIME AND EFFORTS.
18 I'D LIKE TO SHARE A QUOTE WITH YOU FROM THE COO OF
19 THE LA JOLLA INSTITUTE OF ALLERGY AND IMMUNOLOGY.
20 HIS NAME IS DR. STEVEN WILSON, AND THIS IS IN
21 REGARDS, OF COURSE, TO OUR PROJECT. "PROJECTS SUCH
22 AS THIS TRANSCENDS ALL ORGANIZATIONAL BOUNDARIES AND
23 REQUIRES US TO PULL EVERY LEVER WE CAN IN SUPPORT."

24 DUE TO THE SUPPORT OF THIS ORGANIZATION,
25 AND THE BRILLIANT GUIDANCE OF DR. LORING AND

1 DR. BRAAT-LEAL, THE RESEARCH HAS EXCELLED. AND WITH
2 CONTINUED SUPPORT FROM CIRM, IF OUR FUNDING ALLOWS,
3 WE COULD BEGIN CLINICAL TRIALS IN THE FIRST HALF OF
4 2019. THAT'S CLOSE. I CANNOT BEGIN TO CONVEY TO
5 YOU THE GROUND SWELL OF ANTICIPATION AND EXCITEMENT
6 WITHIN THE PARKINSON'S COMMUNITY. THE NUMBER OF
7 EMAILS AND PHONE CALLS I RECEIVE GROWS DAILY. ON
8 BEHALF OF ALL THOSE WITH PARKINSON'S, I IMPLORE YOU
9 TO KEEP US ON THE CIRM TRACKS TO SUCCESS WITH YOUR
10 CONTINUED SUPPORT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

11 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU.

12 MS. MALVY: FIRST OF ALL, CONGRATULATIONS
13 AGAIN, DR. MILLAN. AND THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR CIRM.
14 I'M REALLY HAPPY TO BE BACK AFTER TWO YEARS. I'M
15 HERE AS A PATIENT ADVOCATE FOR MY HUSBAND AND FOR
16 SUMMIT4STEMCELL. MY HUSBAND HAS PARKINSON'S. AND
17 WE ARE SO GRATEFUL TO CIRM FOR GIVING US THIS LEG UP
18 AND GETTING US CLOSER TO THE FINAL PUSH.

19 TWO YEARS AGO I SPOKE TO ALL OF YOU, AND
20 MY HUSBAND WAS ACTUALLY VERY, VERY ABLE TO SPEAK TO
21 YOU. TODAY HE'S NOT QUITE AS ABLE, SO I'M SPEAKING
22 ON HIS BEHALF. I JUST WANT YOU TO KNOW HE DESCRIBED
23 WHAT'S HAPPENING TO HIM NOW AS A TRAIN, A FREIGHT
24 TRAIN, COMING AT HIM. SO I FEEL LIKE THAT THIS HELP
25 THAT CIRM HAS FUNDED AND THE RESEARCH THAT IS BEING

1 DONE BY DR. JEANNE LORING IS OUR ONLY HOPE. AND
2 WE'RE SO GRATEFUL.

3 TODAY WE ARE SO CLOSE WITH ALL OF THE
4 POSITIVE THINGS THAT HAVE HAPPENED IN THE LAST TWO
5 YEARS WITH THE RESEARCH. IT'S AMAZING. AND THIS
6 WILL HELP SILENCE THE SYMPTOMS AND STRUGGLES OF THE
7 FAMILIES AND PATIENTS THAT ARE CAUSED BY THIS
8 DISEASE. WITH YOUR HELP SO FAR AND IN THE NEAR
9 FUTURE, HOPE AND RESULTS ARE DEFINITELY WHAT KEEP US
10 FIGHTING. AND, AGAIN, I WANT TO THANK YOU ALL. I'M
11 SO GRATEFUL. MARY ROSE MALVY.

12 MS. GOULD: MY NAME IS SHERRY GOULD. I'M
13 A NURSE PRACTITIONER AT SCRIPPS CLINIC. I'M HERE TO
14 REPRESENT THE CLINICAL CONTINGENT OF OUR EXCITING
15 PROJECT AND JUST BRING IT UP TO EVERYONE'S
16 ATTENTION. TALK ABOUT A DISEASE STATE WITH UNMET
17 NEEDS. I MEAN WITH NEEDS THAT ARE UNMET, RATHER.

18 SIXTY THOUSAND PEOPLE A YEAR, A YEAR,
19 60,000 AMERICANS A YEAR ARE DIAGNOSED WITH
20 PARKINSON'S DISEASE. A MILLION OF DIAGNOSED PEOPLE
21 IN THE UNITED STATES HAVE PARKINSON'S AND 10 MILLION
22 WORLDWIDE. IT'S REALLY GOING TO BECOME AN EPIDEMIC.
23 SO JUST SHORT AND SWEET, I JUST WANT TO THANK CIRM.
24 I WANT TO THANK ALL OF YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT THAT
25 YOU'VE GIVEN US IN THE PAST. AND I REMEMBER RANDY

1 MILLS SAID, WELCOME TO THE CIRM FAMILY. AND WE'RE
2 CERTAINLY HOPING THAT WE WILL CONTINUE IN THAT
3 CAPACITY IN THE NEAR FUTURE AS WELL AS THE DISTANT
4 FUTURE. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

5 DR. LAIKIND: HI. I'M DR. PAUL LAIKIND.
6 I'M PRESIDENT AND CEO OF VIACYTE, THE SAN DIEGO
7 COMPANY WORKING TO DELIVER A FUNCTIONAL CURE TO
8 PATIENTS WITH TYPE 1 DIABETES BASED ON AN EMBRYONIC
9 STEM CELL STARTING POINT.

10 FIRST, I WANT TO ALSO CONGRATULATE MARIA.
11 WE'RE REALLY EXCITED THAT YOU'VE TAKEN THIS ON. WE
12 KNEW YOU WERE THE RIGHT PERSON FOR THIS, SO IT'S
13 GREAT TO HEAR THAT. BUT I ALSO WANT TO THANK THE
14 ICOC FOR THEIR CONTINUED SUPPORT OF THE IMPORTANT
15 WORK WE'RE DOING. AND I WANT TO THANK YOU ON
16 BEHALF, NOT ONLY OF VIACYTE AND THE TEAM WE HAVE
17 THERE THAT HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THIS PROJECT FOR
18 WELL OVER A DECADE, BUT I REALLY WANT THANK YOU FOR
19 THE MILLIONS OF PATIENTS WITH TYPE 1 DIABETES. AND
20 WE HEAR FROM THESE ON A REGULAR BASIS AND WORK WITH
21 THEM.

22 CIRM ALONG WITH OUR FRIENDS AT JDRF HAVE
23 BEEN OUR PARTNER ON THIS JOURNEY SINCE THE
24 BEGINNING. AND TOGETHER WE'VE GONE FROM BANKING THE
25 STEM CELLS TO DEVELOPING THE PROCESS FOR PRODUCING

1 THE PANCREATIC PROGENITOR CELLS THAT WE ACTUALLY
2 IMPLANT, DEVELOPING THE DEVICES THAT WE USE TO
3 DELIVER THESE CELLS, AND CONDUCTING THE PRECLINICAL
4 WORK, AND NOW TREATING PATIENTS.

5 AS WAS NOTED DURING THE DISCUSSIONS
6 EARLIER OF THE CLIN2 AWARD, THIS IS NOT OUR FIRST
7 GRANT. HOWEVER, I CAN ASSURE YOU THIS HAS BEEN A
8 VERY RATIONAL PROCESS. AS WAS NOTED, OUR FIRST
9 CLINICAL TRIAL WAS WITH A DEVICE THAT WAS DESIGNED
10 TO PROTECT THE CELLS FROM THE IMMUNE SYSTEM. WE
11 WERE REALLY SWINGING FOR THE FENCES WITH THAT, AND
12 IT WAS THE POTENTIAL TO OPEN IT TO ALL PATIENTS WITH
13 THE DISEASE. HOWEVER, WE KNEW WE WERE SWINGING FOR
14 THE FENCES, AND THIS WAS THE FIRST TIME ANYBODY HAD
15 EVER DONE ANYTHING LIKE THIS IN PATIENTS. THE FIRST
16 TIME AN EMBRYONIC STEM CELL HAD GONE FORWARD,
17 DERIVED PRODUCT GONE FORWARD FOR DIABETES OR FOR
18 MOST ANYTHING, AND CERTAINLY THE FIRST TIME A
19 COMBINATION PRODUCT LIKE THIS WAS INTRODUCED INTO
20 PATIENTS.

21 SO WE KNEW WE HAD A LOT TO LEARN, BUT
22 PATIENTS ARE THE ONLY PLACE WE CAN LEARN IT. AS
23 MANY OF YOU KNOW, WHEN WE PUT A STEM CELL INTO A
24 PATIENT, IT'S WHAT'S CALLED AN ALLOGENEIC
25 TRANSPLANT. IT'S HUMAN CELL IN A HUMAN, BUT NOT THE

1 PATIENT'S CELLS. WHEN WE PUT THAT CELL INTO
2 ANIMALS, IT'S A XENOTRANSPLANT. SO THE ANIMAL
3 MODELS TELL YOU A LOT, BUT THEY DON'T TELL YOU
4 EVERYTHING. WE NEEDED TO GET TO THE PATIENTS.
5 THAT'S WHERE CIRM WORKING WITH US ALLOWED US TO DO
6 THAT, AND WE COLLECTED DATA FROM THOSE FIRST TRIALS.
7 AND THAT DATA HAS BEEN VERY IMPORTANT IN DRIVING THE
8 PROGRAM FORWARD.

9 BOTH THESE PRODUCTS USE THE EXACT SAME
10 ACTIVE BIOLOGIC, THE STEM CELL-DERIVED PANCREATIC
11 PROGENITOR CELLS THAT ARE EXPECTED TO MATURE TO THE
12 ISLET TISSUES UNDER THE SKIN AFTER IMPLANTATION.
13 THUS, WHAT WE LEARN FROM ONE PRODUCT APPLIES TO THE
14 OTHER. IN FACT, THE IND ON THE FIRST PRODUCT THAT
15 WAS FUNDED BY CIRM IS STILL OPEN. WE'RE STILL
16 WORKING ON THAT. THE PROTOCOL IS STILL OPEN. AND
17 WE ARE WORKING TO IMPROVE THAT DEVICE TO BRING IT
18 BACK INTO THE PATIENTS AND MOVE THAT PRODUCT FORWARD
19 FOR ALL PATIENTS.

20 IN THE MEANTIME, WE'RE WORKING ON THE NEXT
21 PRODUCT THAT IS TARGETING THE HIGH RISK PATIENTS.
22 NOT ONLY IS THAT HELPING THE SICKEST PATIENTS OUT
23 THERE, THE ONES THAT NEED THE MOST HELP WITH THE
24 GREATEST MEDICAL NEED, BUT IT IS INCREASING OUR
25 UNDERSTANDING OF THIS PROGRAM, THIS AREA, AND WHAT

1 WE NEED TO ACCOMPLISH TO TREAT ALL PATIENTS WITH THE
2 DISEASE.

3 SO WE'RE VERY EXCITED ABOUT THE PROGRESS
4 WE'VE MADE, AND WE COULDN'T HAVE DONE IT WITHOUT THE
5 TECHNICAL AND THE FINANCIAL HELP FROM CIRM. SO ONCE
6 AGAIN, I JUST WANT TO THANK YOU AND LOOK FORWARD TO
7 REPORTING ON OUR CONTINUED PROGRESS.

8 (APPLAUSE.)

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU.

10 DR. CHIU: ARLENE CHIU, CITY OF HOPE.
11 TODAY IS A GROUNDBREAKING DAY, AND I JUST WANT TO
12 SAY HOW EXCITED AND PLEASED I AM THAT YOU HAVE
13 CHOSEN A WOMAN TO LEAD THIS GREAT AGENCY. AND I
14 CONGRATULATE YOU.

15 (APPLAUSE.)

16 DR. CHIU: THE ONE COMMENT I WANTED TO
17 MAKE WAS I REALLY ENJOYED DR. RING'S NEW DASHBOARD
18 TO SEE THE GREAT PROGRESS THAT THE CLINICAL TRIALS
19 FUNDED BY YOU HAVE MOVED AHEAD. WHAT I WAS HOPING,
20 THAT MAYBE YOU MIGHT CONSIDER PUTTING ON THAT
21 DASHBOARD ALSO OTHER CLINICAL TRIALS THAT HAVE BEEN
22 INITIATED BY GRANTS THAT CIRM PUT FORWARD. THERE
23 ARE MANY PEOPLE WHO, SPURRED BY YOUR FUNDING, HAVE
24 GONE ON TO OTHER PLACES TO GET FUNDING FOR CLINICAL
25 TRIALS. AND THAT'S A TRIBUTE TO YOU THAT YOU GOT

1 THEM STARTED. SO THAT WAS ONE THOUGHT THAT CAME TO
2 MY MIND, BUT IT WAS A BEAUTIFUL DASHBOARD. THANK
3 YOU.

4 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: DR. STEWARD.

5 DR. STEWARD: I WANT TO TAKE THIS
6 OPPORTUNITY TO GO ON PUBLIC RECORD. ARLENE, YOU
7 TALKED ABOUT BEGINNINGS. AND I WANT TO GO ON RECORD
8 TO POINT OUT TO EVERYBODY WHO DOESN'T KNOW, THERE
9 ARE SOME NEW BOARD MEMBERS WHO MAY NOT, THAT
10 EVERYTHING WE TALK ABOUT TODAY REALLY DERIVES FROM
11 BASIC RESEARCH THAT CIRM FUNDED IN THE VERY
12 BEGINNING. AND SELECTING THAT RESEARCH AND THE
13 RESEARCH THAT'S GONE FORWARD REALLY DEPENDED ON A
14 SCIENTIFIC STRUCTURE, A REVIEW PROCESS, AND AN
15 ENTIRE ORGANIZATION THAT WAS BASED ON GOOD
16 MANAGEMENT. AND I WANT TO CALL OUT DR. ARLENE CHIU
17 AS THE ARCHITECT OF THAT IN THE VERY BEGINNING. AND
18 THANK YOU, ARLENE, FOR GETTING US GOING.

19 (APPLAUSE.)

20 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. ANY OTHER
21 PUBLIC COMMENT ON ANYTHING ANYBODY WOULD CARE TO
22 SPEAK ABOUT? A COMMENT FROM DR. PRIETO.

23 DR. PRIETO: I'M VERY PROUD ALSO THAT
24 WE'VE CHOSEN A WOMAN TO LEAD AS OUR PRESIDENT AND
25 CEO AND WAS THINKING ABOUT, ALONG THE LINES OF WHAT

1 OS SAID, THAT ARLENE WAS PRESENT AT THE CREATION AND
2 GOT MUCH OF THIS ENTERPRISE GOING. I ALSO WANTED TO
3 RECOGNIZE PAT OLSON WHO HAS BEEN WITH US AND LED OUR
4 TEAM.

5 (APPLAUSE.)

6 DR. PRIETO: WE HAVE HAD SOME REALLY
7 OUTSTANDING WOMEN IN SCIENCE LEADING US AND WORKING
8 ALONGSIDE US, AND I'M VERY HAPPY TO HAVE BEEN A
9 SMALL PART OF THAT.

10 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU. ANY OTHER
11 COMMENTS? OKAY. LAST, BUT HOPEFULLY NOT LEAST,
12 I'LL GIVE A FEW COMMENTS IN MY CHAIR'S REPORT.

13 SO OF CONSIDERABLE INTEREST, AS YOU
14 RECALL, AT THE JUNE BOARD MEETING, I CALLED FOR THE
15 ESTABLISHMENT OF A TRANSITION SEARCH SUBCOMMITTEE TO
16 START THE DIALOGUE GOING ON EXAMINING OPTIONS THAT
17 WE WOULD HAVE TO KEEP FIRM AND ALL OF THE WONDERFUL
18 PROJECTS THAT WE'VE FUNDED GOING BEYOND THE TIME
19 THAT WE USE UP THE FUNDS ALLOTTED TO US FROM PROP
20 71.

21 THE IDEA OF THIS MEETING, WHICH TOOK PLACE
22 LAST WEEK, WAS TO PUT FORTH A NUMBER OF OPTIONS IN
23 DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF WAYS WE COULD CONSIDER
24 SUSTAINING THE AGENCY AND TO, FROM THAT MEETING,
25 HAVE A CHANCE TO DISTILL THAT DOWN AND COME UP WITH

1 SORT OF A PROPOSED GAME PLAN ON HOW WE MIGHT
2 PROCEED. THE DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF THINGS WE
3 TALKED ABOUT, FOR THOSE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD WHO ARE
4 NOT PART OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE AND FOR MEMBERS OF THE
5 PUBLIC, BROKE DOWN INTO THE BALLOT MEASURE CONCEPT,
6 ALTERNATIVE FUND-RAISING CONCEPT, AND A JOINT
7 VENTURE CONCEPT.

8 WITH RESPECT TO THE BALLOT MEASURES, OF
9 COURSE, TO GET TO A POSITION WHERE WE HAVE A SIMILAR
10 AMOUNT OF FUNDING SUCH AS WE'VE ENJOYED UP TO THIS
11 POINT, BALLOT MEASURE IS REALLY THE BEST WAY TO GO.
12 THERE ARE TWO WAYS TO GET ON THE BALLOT. ONE IS TO
13 QUALIFY THROUGH THE LEGISLATURE. THE OTHER IS TO
14 HAVE A CITIZEN-LED CAMPAIGN SIMILAR TO WHAT BOB
15 KLEIN DID FOR PROP 71 IN 2004.

16 WE DISCUSSED SOME OF THE PROCEDURES FOR
17 THAT, AND PROS AND CONS OF EACH, AND AGREED, AS WITH
18 THESE OTHER TOPICS, THAT WE WOULD TAKE THESE IDEAS
19 UP IN MORE DETAIL AT A SOON-TO-BE-CALENDARED
20 MEETING, WHICH I'LL DESCRIBE IN A SECOND.

21 WITH RESPECT TO THE FUND-RAISING, WE HAVE
22 TWO THINGS WE NEED FUNDS FOR. NO. 1 IS
23 ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS IN THE EVENT WE ARE NOT
24 SUCCESSFUL WITH A BALLOT MEASURE OR IN GETTING
25 ALTERNATIVE FUNDS TO COVER IT, WE WOULD NEED TO

1 CONTEMPLATE WHAT WE DON'T WANT, WHICH IS A
2 WIND-DOWN, AND A WIND-DOWN WOULD ENTERTAIN AN
3 ADDITIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE COST TO MAKE SURE THAT ALL
4 PROJECTS THAT WE HAVE FUNDED ARE FOLLOWED THROUGH TO
5 THEIR LOGICAL CONCLUSION.

6 TOWARDS THAT END, I REPORTED THAT WE HAD A
7 COUPLE OF VERY GENEROUS GIFTS THAT CAME TO US FROM
8 BILL BOWES, WHO, AS WE ALL KNOW, VERY SADLY AND
9 TRAGICALLY PASSED AWAY A FEW MONTHS AGO, IN THE
10 AMOUNT OF FIVE MILLION AND ANOTHER GIFT FROM PITCH
11 JOHNSON OF TWO MILLION. THOSE GIFTS, WHICH WERE
12 SECURED BACK, I THINK IT WAS, IN 2015 HAD
13 CONTINGENCIES ATTACHED TO THOSE. GIVEN THE NEED FOR
14 CERTAINTY ON THE FUNDING THAT WE WILL REQUIRE, WE
15 WENT BACK AND TALKED TO PITCH, ON THE ONE HAND, AND
16 TO THE EXECUTOR OF BILL'S ESTATE ON THE OTHER, AND
17 THEY AGREED TO REMOVE THE CONTINGENCIES AND TO
18 ACCELERATE SUCH THAT WE WOULD HAVE FUNDS IN HAND BY
19 NO LATER THAN MARCH 31ST OF 2020.

20 WITH THAT ADDITIONAL AMOUNT OF FUNDING, IT
21 LOOKS LIKE, AND WE'RE REFINING THIS, THAT WILL CARRY
22 US THROUGH FROM AN ADMINISTRATIVE POINT OF VIEW INTO
23 EARLY 2021.

24 THE SECOND THING WE TALKED ABOUT WAS
25 FUND-RAISING, AND THERE ARE SORT OF TWO WAYS TO LOOK

1 AT THIS. ONE IS THE QUEST FOR LARGE GIFTS FROM
2 PHILANTHROPISTS WHO ARE INTERESTED IN MEDICAL
3 RESEARCH WHO SPECIFICALLY FAVOR REGENERATIVE
4 MEDICINE AND WHO MIGHT ENTERTAIN WHAT I CALLED AN
5 UNRESTRICTED GIFT WHICH COULD BE APPLIED AT CIRM'S
6 DISCRETION ACROSS THE BOARD TO A VARIETY OF
7 INDICATIONS AND DISEASES.

8 THE SECOND THING WE TALKED ABOUT WAS, AS
9 OPPOSED TO SORT OF LARGE UNRESTRICTED GIFTS, WAS A
10 CO-FUNDING STRATEGY WHERE WE WOULD TALK TO HIGH NET
11 WORTH INDIVIDUALS AND/OR FOUNDATIONS WHO WOULD BE
12 INTERESTED IN JOINING US TO COLLABORATE ON OUR
13 ADVENTURE HERE TO BRING STEM CELL TREATMENTS TO
14 PATIENTS THROUGH CO-FUNDING SELECTED PROJECTS. AND
15 THAT COULD EITHER BE ONE OR TWO OR IT COULD BE MANY.
16 SO THAT WAS A STRATEGY THAT WE TALKED ABOUT.

17 AND WE DISCUSSED THE JOINT VENTURE MODEL.
18 ONE WAY OF LOOKING AT THAT WOULD BE A PUBLIC PRIVATE
19 PARTNERSHIP, A VARIATION PERHAPS ON THE ATP3 THEME
20 THAT WE DISCUSSED AT GREAT LENGTH HERE THAT WOULD
21 REQUIRE FURTHER REFINEMENT FROM THE WAY WE HAD IT
22 ORIGINALLY STRUCTURED.

23 A SECOND OPTION WOULD BE IN THE FORM OF
24 EITHER A PARTNERSHIP OR A MERGING WITH SOME VERY
25 LARGE FOUNDATIONS THAT FAVOR MEDICAL RESEARCH. AND

1 VERY LARGE MEANING IN SORT OF ORDER, AS I MENTIONED,
2 GATES OR WELLCOME OR CHAN ZUCKERBERG OR WHATEVER,
3 AND THAT COULD TAKE THE FORM OF THEM POTENTIALLY
4 HELPING US TO FUND. OR IF WE GOT DOWN TO A
5 SITUATION WHERE WE WERE WINDING DOWN, WHICH, AGAIN,
6 IS CERTAINLY NOBODY'S GOAL HERE, TO THINK ABOUT
7 HAVING OUR HUMAN CAPITAL AND IP SET UP AND ALL OF
8 OUR DIFFERENT ELEMENTS HERE, GRANTS MANAGEMENT THAT
9 MAKE THIS SUCH A SUCCESSFUL OPERATION, TO MERGE INTO
10 ONE OF THOSE ENTITIES.

11 SO WE HAD A SORT OF ROBUST DISCUSSION
12 ABOUT THESE THREE DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF IDEAS; AND
13 THE TAKEAWAY FROM THAT WAS, AGAIN, USING WHAT WE
14 HEARD, HAVING GIVEN ALL MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE
15 OPPORTUNITIES TO TALK ABOUT ALL THESE DIFFERENT
16 THINGS, TO DISTILL DOWN INTO A TARGETED PLAN.

17 SECOND IDEA WAS WE WANTED TO COME BACK FOR
18 A FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THIS TOPIC, IN THE INTERIM
19 HAVING TIME TO REFLECT ON THE FIRST MEETING AND ADD
20 TO THAT MEETING, A CONSIDERATION OF HOW WE DEPLOY
21 THE FUNDS THAT WE HAVE LEFT SORT OF IRRESPECTIVE OF
22 THE FUND-RAISING PLAN. AS DR. MILLAN LAID OUT, WE
23 HAVE A CERTAIN AMOUNT IN THE BIG BUCKET. HOW ARE WE
24 GOING TO UTILIZE THAT? THERE ARE MANY THINGS THAT
25 ARE PART AND PARCEL OF THAT QUESTION. DO WE WANT TO

1 STICK TO THE STRATEGIC PLAN AND THE TIMING OF THAT?
2 DO WE WANT TO POTENTIALLY ACCELERATE GIVING OUT
3 AWARDS? HOW DOES THAT AFFECT LONG-TERM FUND
4 AVAILABILITY? WHAT SORT OF MOVE SHOULD WE TAKE TO
5 STRIKE A BALANCE BETWEEN DOING THE BEST BY PATIENTS
6 THAT WE CAN AND UTILIZING WHAT WE HAVE LEFT TO DO
7 SO?

8 SO THOSE LATTER QUESTIONS TOUCH ON TOPICS
9 THAT ARE SQUARELY IN THE DOMAIN OF THE SCIENCE
10 SUBCOMMITTEE. SO WHAT I CALLED FOR AT THE END OF
11 THAT TRANSITION SUBCOMMITTEE WAS A MEETING, A JOINT
12 MEETING OF TWO SUBCOMMITTEES, TRANSITION AND
13 SCIENCE, WHICH WE ARE NOW GOING TO HOLD, FOR
14 EVERYBODY'S BENEFIT, ON NOVEMBER 2D FROM ONE TO
15 FOUR. THAT MEETING WILL BE HERE. EVERYBODY IS
16 WELCOME. AND SO THAT IS A SUMMARY OF THAT
17 DISCUSSION, AND I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS
18 ALTHOUGH I WILL NOTE THAT WE SPECIFICALLY HAVE SAID
19 THAT WE WANTED TO WAIT FOR THAT JOINT MEETING TO
20 CONVENE TO ADDRESS A LOT OF THESE THINGS BECAUSE
21 THEY REQUIRE EVERYBODY'S PARTICIPATION OF THOSE TWO,
22 WHICH MAY OR MAY NOT BE HERE TODAY.

23 ANY COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE BOARD?
24 OKAY. THANK YOU. AND THANK YOU, BY THE WAY, ALL
25 THE MEMBERS OF THE TRANSITION SUBCOMMITTEE AND FOR

1 EVERYBODY WHO'S INTERESTED IN THIS. THIS IS
2 OBVIOUSLY A VERY FRONT-BURNER ISSUE WITH US, AND WE
3 ARE GOING TO DO EVERYTHING WE CAN TO MAKE A
4 SUSTAINED EFFORT HAPPEN.

5 I JUST WANTED TO SAY A FEW WORDS ABOUT A
6 COUPLE OF PROGRAMS THAT WE HAVE ANNUALLY THAT ARE SO
7 GOOD THAT I ALWAYS LIKE TO COMMENT ON THEM. THIS
8 GETS TO OUR EDUCATIONAL COMPONENT. FIRST IS THE
9 BRIDGES PROGRAM. AND I'M NOT SURE IF SENATOR TORRES
10 IS ON THE LINE STILL. HE MAY BE IN THE AIR. BUT HE
11 WAS, OF COURSE, INSTRUMENTAL IN THE ESTABLISHMENT OF
12 THIS PROGRAM. AND I'M GOING TO JUST TO GIVE YOU A
13 FEW HIGHLIGHTS FROM THIS YEAR.

14 THE MEETING TOOK PLACE IN LATE JULY IN SAN
15 DIEGO. WE HAD 124 BRIDGES SCHOLARS IN ATTENDANCE
16 AND JUST ABOUT 200 PEOPLE IN TOTAL. THIS YEAR WE
17 DID THINGS A LITTLE DIFFERENTLY THAN WE HAVE IN THE
18 PAST. NORMALLY WE'VE RUN THE EVENT. THIS YEAR WE
19 HANDED OVER THE PLANNING AND EXECUTION OF THE
20 MEETING DIRECTLY TO THE BRIDGES PROGRAMS THROUGH A
21 CIRM CONFERENCE GRANT AWARD. SAN DIEGO STATE AND
22 THEIR BRIDGES PROGRAM WON THAT GRANT, DID A TERRIFIC
23 JOB ARRANGING EVERYTHING, ALL LOGISTICALLY THAT MADE
24 IT RUN VERY SMOOTHLY AND LIKE CLOCKWORK.

25 WE'RE CURRENTLY OFFERING A CONFERENCE

1 GRANT TO HOST THE 2018 AND 2019 BRIDGES ANNUAL
2 MEETINGS AND EXPECT APPLICATIONS EITHER THIS MONTH
3 OR NEXT MONTH. THIS MONTH. THIS MONTH DOESN'T HAVE
4 A LOT LEFT, SO WE'RE GOING TO BE GETTING THEM IN
5 SOON.

6 THE STUDENTS THEMSELVES, AS THEY ALWAYS DO
7 EVERY YEAR, HEARD FASCINATING SCIENTIFIC
8 PRESENTATIONS FROM OVER A DOZEN RESEARCHERS IN THE
9 FIELD, INCLUDING FOUR BRIDGES ALUMNI, SOME FROM ALL
10 THE WAY BACK TO THE FIRST YEAR OF THE PROGRAM IN
11 2009. THEY ALSO HEARD A VERY POIGNANT KEYNOTE
12 ADDRESS BY ALICIA PADILLA VACCARO'S, LITTLE EVIE,
13 OUR POSTER GIRL'S MOTHER, WHO IF YOU'VE NOT HEARD
14 HER IS JUST SUCH A WONDERFUL SPEAKER AND SO
15 COMPELLING. AND AS ALWAYS, YOU CAN'T HEAR IT
16 WITHOUT IT MAKING YOU CRY BASICALLY. THIS WAS NO
17 DIFFERENT. SO SHE SPOKE ABOUT EVIE, WHO, AS YOU
18 HEARD EARLIER FROM SOHEL, WAS DIAGNOSED WITH SCID
19 FIRST FEW MONTHS OF LIFE. AND AS YOU HEARD, THAT'S
20 A DISEASE THAT IF YOU CONTRACT ANYTHING, IT CAN BE
21 FATAL, AND MOST KIDS DON'T SURVIVE THE AGE OF ONE.

22 SO TO HEAR HER STORY AND THE SAGA OF DR.
23 KOHN AND HIS TEAM AT UCLA AND WHAT THEY'VE BEEN ABLE
24 TO DO, NOT JUST FOR EVIE, BUT FOR, AS YOU HEARD, I
25 THINK IT WAS 40 SOME ODD PEOPLE THEY'VE TREATED NOW,

1 ALL OF WHICH ARE DOING GREAT. IT'S WHAT WE'RE ALL
2 ABOUT HERE. IT WAS JUST WONDERFUL TO HEAR THE STORY
3 AND JUST SUGGESTIVE OF HOW THE TEAM EFFORT AND WHAT
4 WE'RE DOING IS WORKING. IT'S FANTASTIC.

5 THE BOARD, I WILL JUST SAY, IS PROUD OF
6 THE INVESTMENT WE'VE MADE TO SUPPORT THIS REWARDING
7 BRIDGES PROGRAM. YOU TALK TO THESE STUDENTS, AND
8 THEY ARE SO GRATEFUL TO HAVE ACCESS TO THE STEM CELL
9 WORK THAT THEY ARE ABLE TO ENGAGE IN WHICH THEY
10 COULDN'T BUT FOR GRANTS, AND ARE UNDOUBTEDLY
11 ENTHUSIASTICALLY PART OF THE PIPELINE OF GREAT
12 TALENT THAT WE HAVE THAT'S GOING TO STEP INTO THE
13 INCREASING STEM CELL WORK BEING DONE IN THE STATE.

14 SECOND ONE I'D LIKE TO TALK ABOUT, WHICH
15 IS, AGAIN, A PERSONAL FAVORITE, I THINK, OF
16 EVERYBODY ASSOCIATED IS THE SPARK PROGRAM. THIS IS
17 THE HIGH SCHOOL KIDS WHO ARE -- THIS PROGRAM IS
18 REMARKABLE BECAUSE THESE KIDS, THEY APPLY FOR THESE
19 THINGS AND THEY GO INTO THE PROGRAM, AND THEY MAY
20 HAVE HAD SOME DEALINGS IN STEM CELLS IN THEIR HIGH
21 SCHOOL BIOLOGY CLASSES, BUT THERE'S A LOT OF STUFF
22 TO COVER AND THAT'S NOT A BIG PART OF THE COURSE,
23 AND SO THEY'RE REALLY STARTING OUT KIND OF NEW.

24 AND THEY GO FOR A NUMBER OF WEEKS EVERY
25 SUMMER, AND IT ENDS IN A CONFERENCE THAT WE CONVENE

1 WHERE THEY PRESENT POSTERS ON THEIR WORK. AND IT IS
2 UNBELIEVABLE BECAUSE THESE KIDS GO FROM HAVING SOME
3 FUNDAMENTALS TO SOUNDING LIKE PH. D. STUDENTS AS THEY
4 SIT OUTLINING THEIR WORK ON THE POSTER. AND THE
5 LEVEL OF ENTHUSIASM THAT THEY BRING AND THE LEVEL OF
6 INTEREST AND THE CLEAR INDICATION THAT THIS IS
7 SOMETHING THEY WANT TO PURSUE DOWN THE ROAD IS NOT
8 ONLY GREAT TO HEAR, BUT IT REALLY VALIDATES CIRM'S
9 THINKING THAT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO TRY TO
10 FACILITATE THESE KINDS OF THINGS.

11 SO JUST A COUPLE OF STATS ON THAT. THE
12 SECOND ANNUAL SPARK CONFERENCE, WHICH PREVIOUSLY, BY
13 THE WAY, WERE THE CREATIVITY AWARDS, HOSTED IN EARLY
14 AUGUST AT THE CITY OF HOPE, DR. MALKAS. SEVEN SPARK
15 PROGRAMS WERE IN ATTENDANCE: CALTECH, CEDARS, CITY
16 OF HOPE, UC DAVIS, UCSF, STANFORD, CHILDREN'S
17 HOSPITAL OAKLAND RESEARCH INSTITUTE. TOTAL OF 56
18 KIDS PRESENTED THEIR RESEARCH AND THEIR POSTER, AND
19 RESEARCH PRESENTATIONS, AS ALWAYS, WERE OFF THE
20 CHARTS.

21 THE CONFERENCE FEATURED A KEYNOTE ADDRESS
22 FROM CLIVE SVENDSEN AT CEDARS. ANOTHER HIGHLIGHT
23 WAS A SPECIAL TALK BY ALS PATIENT ADVOCATE LANCE
24 MCCOLLOUGH. HE'S ASSISTANT VARSITY COACH OF THE
25 BAKERSFIELD HIGH SCHOOL FOOTBALL TEAM. LANCE HAS

1 ALS. AND INSTEAD OF LETTING THE DISEASE TAKE OVER
2 HIS LIFE, HE'S BECOME A MOTIVATIONAL SPEAKER TO
3 OTHER ALS PATIENTS AND YOUNG ATHLETES. OTHER
4 SPEAKERS INCLUDED FORMER BRIDGES AND SPARK ALUMNI
5 FROM THE CITY OF HOPE AND AN AFTERNOON KEYNOTE
6 ADDRESS BY DR. JOHN ZAI A.

7 FEW OTHER ASSORTED SPARK FACTS. IT
8 STARTED 2016. IT'S A PROGRAM TO TRAIN THE NEXT
9 GENERATION OF STEM CELL SCIENTISTS AND HAVING
10 STUDENTS FOCUS ON PATIENT ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES AND
11 SCIENCE COMMUNICATION. HUNDRED FOURTEEN SPARKS
12 STUDENTS HAVE GONE THROUGH OUR PROGRAM IN THE FIRST
13 TWO YEARS.

14 OVERALL FEEDBACK FROM SPARK AND ITS
15 PREDECESSOR CREATIVITY PROGRAMS PAST ALUMNI FROM
16 THIS PROGRAM HAVE BEEN SHOWN TO PURSUE CAREERS IN
17 THE SCIENCES IN SIGNIFICANT PERCENTAGES; THUS, MANY
18 OF THESE STUDENTS WILL LIKELY CONTINUE WORKING IN
19 STEM CELL RESEARCH IN THEIR UNDERGRADUATE YEARS AND
20 BEYOND. SPARK ALUMNI ARE ATTENDING TOP UNIVERSITIES
21 AND COLLEGES, INCLUDING THE UC'S, BERKELEY, UCLA,
22 AND DAVIS, STANFORD, YALE, ETC., TO PURSUE DEGREES
23 IN SCIENCE AND MEDICINE.

24 I WANT TO JUST SINGLE OUT. TODD, CAN YOU
25 HEAR ME OUT THERE? I WANT TO SINGLE OUT TODD FOR

1 HIS LEADERSHIP IN THE BRIDGES PROGRAM. HE HEADED UP
2 THE EFFORT HERE FOR CIRM, DID A TERRIFIC JOB. AND
3 KAREN FOR DOING LIKEWISE IN THE SPARK PROGRAM. YOU
4 GUYS DO A TERRIFIC JOB, AND IT MAKES US -- EVERY
5 YEAR IT BRINGS BIG SMILES TO OUR FACE TO SEE THESE
6 KIDS AND KNOW WHAT THEY ARE ALL ABOUT AND INTERESTED
7 IN DOING.

8 SO WITH THAT, I WANT TO JUST ASK THE BOARD
9 IF THERE ARE ANY COMMENTS ON ANY OTHER ITEMS ANYBODY
10 CARES TO SPEAK ABOUT? I THINK WE'VE GOTTEN THROUGH
11 A LOT OF VERY IMPORTANT MATERIAL TODAY. I'D LIKE TO
12 SAY, ONCE AGAIN, TO CIRCLE BACK TO THE BEGINNING,
13 MARIA, CONGRATULATIONS. YOU ARE IN EVERYBODY'S
14 ESTIMATION THE RIGHT PERSON AT THE RIGHT TIME TO
15 CARRY CIRM FROM HERE ON INTO THE FUTURE. SO MANY
16 CONGRATULATIONS.

17 (APPLAUSE.)

18 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH AGAIN.

19 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: LASTLY, I'D LIKE TO
20 THANK -- I GOT TO TELL YOU, I DON'T KNOW WHAT ANYONE
21 ELSE THINKS, BUT I THINK HAVING THE BOARD MEETINGS
22 HERE WORKS OUT GREAT. THE SPACE IS GREAT. THE ROOM
23 IS THE RIGHT SIZE. SPECTACULAR VIEWS. AND I WANT
24 TO GIVE A MAJOR SHOUT OUT TO MEMBERS OF THE TEAM WHO
25 PUT THIS ALTOGETHER, NOT JUST THE ROOM AND THE

1 MEETING, BUT THE FIVE-STAR FARE WE HAD FOR LUNCH.
2 SORRY FOR YOU GUYS ON THE PHONE WHO WEREN'T ABLE TO
3 HAVE IT. IT WAS REALLY TASTY. TO AMY AND KIM AND
4 DOUG AND ILLIANA AND TRICIA AND EVERYBODY WHO
5 CONTRIBUTED HERE TO THIS EFFORT, THANK YOU SO MUCH
6 FOR ALL YOUR WORK. IT RAN SEAMLESSLY, AND WE ARE
7 VERY GRATEFUL. SO THANK YOU.

8 (APPLAUSE.)

9 CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO THAT CONCLUDES
10 TODAY'S AGENDA. THE NEXT IN-PERSON MEETING WILL BE
11 IN DECEMBER. WE WILL HAVE OUR REGULAR MEETINGS OF
12 THE BOARD AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE IN
13 OCTOBER AND NOVEMBER. AND WISH EVERYBODY A SAFE
14 TRIP BACK HOME. AND THANKS TO EVERYBODY FOR COMING,
15 AND WE WILL SEE YOU IN DECEMBER.

16 (THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT
17 1:47 P.M.)

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD AT THE LOCATION INDICATED BELOW

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 1650
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA
ON
SEPTEMBER 28, 2017

WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152
133 HENNA COURT
SANDPOINT, IDAHO
(208) 255-5453